

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL

WORK SESSION

JULY 14, 2025

CAPTIONING PROVIDED BY:

LNS CAPTIONING

\* \* \*

THIS TEXT, DOCUMENT, OR FILE IS BASED ON LIVE TRANSCRIPTION. COMMUNICATION ACCESS REALTIME TRANSLATION (CART), CAPTIONING, AND/OR LIVE TRANSCRIPTION ARE PROVIDED IN ORDER TO FACILITATE COMMUNICATION ACCESSIBILITY AND MAY NOT BE A TOTALLY VERBATIM RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

\* \* \*

>> IN CASE OF AN EMERGENCY EVACUATION, THE DOORS ARE AT THE BACK OF THE ROOM AND THE DOORS CAN BE USED AS NEEDED.

THANK YOU FOR JOINING US.

I NOW CALL THE JULY 14TH, 2025 CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION TO ORDER.

I'LL TURN TO THE CITY MANAGER TO INTRODUCE A WORK SESSION ON LONG RANGE FINANCIAL STABILITY FRAMEWORK.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR, COUNCIL PRESIDENT, COUNCIL.

I'M HERE TODAY WITH OUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER TWYLLA MILLER.

WE'RE GOING TO CO PRESENT TODAY'S PRESENTATION.

AND I AM JUST TRYING TO SEE IF THAT'S GOING TO WORK.

NOT SHARING IT.

I'LL PAUSE IT AND TRY IT AGAIN.

ALL RIGHT.

IF I CAN JUST GET MY FINGERS TO WORK, WE'RE IN THE MONEY.

I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO TONIGHT'S CONVERSATION WHICH REALLY BUILDS ON A LOT OF PREVIOUS DIRECTION YOU'VE GIVEN TO ME, AND SPECIFICALLY OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS, ON A DESIRE TO LOOK MORE LONG RANGE AT STABILITY AND SOME OF YOUR COSTS AND REVENUE NEEDS.

AND CLEARLY BASED ON THE LAST BUDGET CYCLE, WE HAVE A GROWING NEED FOR MORE STRUCTURE AND A MORE LONG TERM APPROACH TO HOW WE PLAN TOGETHER.

SO TONIGHT WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO COVER TWO ITEMS.

THE FIRST IS THE LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PLANNING.

AND I'M HOLDING OUT HERE AS THE SECOND ITEM THE CONTINUATION OF YOUR DISCUSSION ON THE OPTIONS REGARDING THE REFERENDUM PETITION.

SO THERE ARE TWO DIFFERENT ITEMS.

BUT I'M GOING TO HOLD THE SPACE, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE WE'RE IN A LITTLE BIT OF A DIFFERENT FORMAT TONIGHT.

THE FIRST PART OF TONIGHT'S CONVERSATION WILL FOCUS ON THE HISTORY AND CONTEXT OF OUR FINANCIAL PLANNING, SOME OF OUR STRATEGIC RHYTHM, SOME OF THE FOCUS THAT WE'VE HAD.

AND THEN I'LL SHIFT OVER TO TWYLLA TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE ELEMENTS OF FINANCIAL PLANNING.

AND WE'LL END ON THE AD HOC COMMITTEE.

I'M REALLY HOPING TONIGHT WE CAN GET DIRECTION ON WHERE TO GO WITH THE COMMITTEE SO WE CAN GET STARTED ON THAT WORK, AND ALSO SOME DIRECTION ON WHERE YOU WOULD LIKE TO GO WITH THE REFERENDUM PETITION.

SO AS I WAS PREPARING FOR TONIGHT, I WAS THINKING ABOUT THIS CONVERSATION AND A DESIRE FOR A LONG TERM SUSTAINABLE BUDGET.

AND I THOUGHT, YOU KNOW, I THINK WE'VE BEEN TRYING, AND WE'VE HAD THIS AS A GOAL MAYBE MY ENTIRE CAREER.

I WAS THINKING BACK ON I REMEMBER A WORKSHOP ON THIS EXACT SAME TOPIC.

YOU MAY HAVE STILL BEEN OUR FIRE CHIEF, WE'VE HAD NOTES UP EVERYWHERE.

BUT IT HAS BEEN A LONG STANDING GOAL OF THIS COUNCIL TO HAVE A LONG TERM BUDGET AND TO BE REALLY STABLE IN HOW YOU PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC.

SO I PULLED ONE OF YOUR GOALS, SO YOU HAVE MANY, YOU HAVE A HANDFUL OF VISIONS, VALUES, AND GOALS, THIS IS ONE OF THEM.

SOME OF THE VERY SPECIFIC ACTIONABLES WHEN YOU LAST LOOKED AT THIS WAS YOU WANTED A LONG TERM, SUSTAINABLE BUDGET, YOU WANTED TO LOOK AT NEWER TRANSPORT REVENUE SOURCES AND ACCEPTABLE AND TRANSPORT FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

IT'S BEEN A LONG STANDING EXPECTATION OF THE CITY MANAGER TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER ON THESE RESULTS.

SINCE I'VE BEEN IN THIS ROLE, YOU'VE ADOPTED A STRATEGIC PLAN.

I REALLY LIKE THE WAY THE STRATEGIC PLAN TALKS ABOUT, WHEN YOU START TALKING ABOUT ORGANIZATIONAL WELLBEING, YOU TALK ABOUT THE RESOURCES AND THE SERVICES.

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF RESOURCES AND REVENUES FOR THE RIGHT LEVEL OF SERVICES THAT YOU NEED IN THIS COMMUNITY.

AND AS I'VE BEEN TALKING WITH EACH OF YOU, I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THOSE BEING SORT OF OVERLAPPING AND SORT OF THREE DIMENSIONAL.

BUT THERE ARE SOME WAYS IN WHICH THIS CONVERSATION, THEY'RE ALSO SEPARATE.

SO AS WE TALK TONIGHT, YOU'RE GOING TO SEE THESE COLORS POP UP, AS I START TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN CONVERSATIONS WE'RE HAVING ABOUT RESOURCES AND CONVERSATIONS WE'RE HAVING ABOUT SERVICES.

I FORGOT ABOUT THAT CATCHY ANIMATION.

THAT'S OKAY.

SO WHEN WE I WAS ALSO GOING BACK THROUGH, I'VE BEEN COMMUNICATING THE NEED TO BE IN THIS KIND OF STRATEGIC CYCLE SINCE I FIRST WAS IN THIS ROLE.

AND I REMEMBER IT STARTED WITH A CONVERSATION ABOUT THE BUDGET, AND SOMEBODY SAYING, SHOULDN'T WE BE SETTING OUR PRIORITIES BEFORE WE SET THE BUDGET?

IT FELT LIKE WE HAD THINGS IN THE WRONG ORDER.

SO I HAD INTRODUCED THIS PROGRAM, TALKING ABOUT, IDEALLY, YES, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING, WE'RE GOING OUT IN THE COMMUNITY, ASKING ABOUT THEIR NEEDS, HOW THEY'RE EXPERIENCING US.

WE'RE TAKING THAT INPUT, WE'RE USING THAT TO DRIVE YOUR GOALS, YOUR STRATEGIC PLAN.

AND THEN THAT FOCUSES THE ORGANIZATION, AND WE BUILD A BUDGET AROUND THAT.

AND THAT SHOULD BE KIND OF AN ONGOING RHYTHM.

AND I DREW THAT THE FIRST YEAR.

I THINK I DREW IT THE SECOND YEAR WITH A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL.

AND I THINK I'VE DRAWN IT MAYBE EVERY YEAR THAT I'VE BEEN IN THIS ROLE.

LIKE HERE'S THE CYCLE, WE'RE TRYING TO BEGIN IT, IT'S NOT NEW.

AND IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, WE'RE NOT GOING TO ACTUALLY EVER GET OUT OF THE CYCLE YOU. JUST KEEP GOING.

IT'S A SPIRAL.

IT'S GOOD GOVERNANCE.

IT'S GOOD BUSINESS PRACTICE, THAT YOU'RE CONSTANTLY CHECKING IN WITH YOUR CUSTOMERS AND ADJUSTING YOUR PRIORITIES AND YOU'RE ADJUSTING YOUR BUDGET.

ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT IT IS, I LIKE TO THINK ABOUT THE SOLID FOUNDATION THAT THIS ORGANIZATION HAS HAD AROUND FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP FOR MANY, MANY DECADES.

YOU THINK ABOUT IT AS A TREE, SO IF YOU GO BACK TO THAT FIRST YEAR, THAT WAS KIND OF THE POLICIES AND BUDGET WE WERE BUILDING ON.

THEN THE NEXT YEAR, WE BUILT ON THAT.

NEXT YEAR, WE BUILT ON THAT.

THIS YEAR, WE'LL BUILD ON IT AGAIN.

AND IN THE FUTURE, BEYOND MY DAYS AND BEYOND YOUR DAYS, PEOPLE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO BUILD ON HOW DO WE GET MORE STRATEGIC AND MORE EFFICIENT OVER TIME.

SO BACK TO THIS INITIAL RHYTHM, AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT TONIGHT AROUND HOW WE PLAN FOR AND MOVE FORWARD.

WE'RE ACTUALLY IN THE LOWER PART OF THIS ARC.

SO I'M JUST BRINGING IT BACK TO SAY, HEY, WE JUST ADOPTED A BUDGET, AND WE'RE ACTUALLY RIGHT WHERE WE SHOULD BE RIGHT NOW.

WE'LL BE MONITORING THAT BUDGET.

WE'LL BE GETTING FEEDBACK FROM THE PUBLIC.

WE'RE COMING BACK IN SEPTEMBER WITH A RESULTS FROM THE COMMUNITY SURVEY WHICH WILL THEN FEED INTO HOW YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO PRIORITIZE YOUR WORK.

SO WE'RE DOING SORT OF EXACTLY WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING.

I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT THAT SPIRAL AND CIRCLE, I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT ARCS OF FOCUS.

THE FIRST WILL BE A LONGER TERM ARC.

BECAUSE AS I'VE BEEN TALKING TO YOU AND TALKING TO COMMUNITY MEMBERS ABOUT WHAT IS IT THAT'S DRIVING SOME OF THE URGENCY AROUND THIS WORK, FOR MANY PEOPLE IT'S REALLY RELATED TO THE SORT OF THE NEXT SIX YEARS.

AND I KNOW LONG RANGE IS BEYOND SIX YEARS.

BUT IT'S THE WHEN YOU DROPPED THE BUDGET AND YOU ADOPTED A STORMWATER FEED THAT SHOULD EXPIRE OR BE CONSIDERED OR WHATEVER WE'RE GOING TO DO WITH IT IN '31, THAT SETS A SIX YEAR HORIZON.

YOU KIND OF COME BACK AND YOU'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ACTUALLY WEDNESDAY YOU'LL BE GETTING A LIBRARY REPORT AND IN THE FALL YOU'LL NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THAT.

WE'LL BE COMING AROUND NEXT YEAR TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO WITH THE PARKS AND REC LEVY.

WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT THE ROAD BOND IN 2027.

YOU'LL BE TALKING ABOUT THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PAYROLL TAX, CSPT.

YOU'LL BE GETTING A REPORT BACK FROM THE COMMITTEE THAT'S BEEN OVERSEEING THAT, THE CITY MANAGER, YOU'LL MAKE A DECISION ON WHETHER YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THAT, PUT IT OUT TO A VOTE.

YOU'LL BE DOING ALL OF THAT BEFORE YOU ADOPT YOUR NEXT BIENNIAL BUDGET.

THEN YOU'LL HAVE ANOTHER BIENNIAL BUDGET.

WE HAVE ALL OF THESE TOUCH POINTS.

EVEN IN THE SIX YEAR TIME FRAME, YOU'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE LIBRARY LEVY TWICE, WHICH SUDDENLY MAKES IT SEEM LIKE A REALLY LONG TIME, BUT IT GOES BY FAST.

THE OTHER PIECE I WANT TO POINT OUT WHICH IS A POINT OF TENSION, I BELIEVE, AND I FEEL LIKE I NEED TO DAYLIGHT IT PUBLICLY, IS THAT YOU'LL HAVE THIS CONVERSATION ABOUT THE PAYROLL TAX IN 2027.

IF YOU CHOOSE AT THAT TIME TO PUT IT OUT TO A VOTE, IT'S HAPPENING MID BIENNIAL.

IT WILL BE CHALLENGING TO BUILD A BUDGET IN '27 '29, NOT KNOWING WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THAT.

IT WILL BE CHALLENGING MID BIENNIUM.

IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A GOOD IDEA HAD IT BEEN PUSHED BUT AT THAT TIME WE WERE IN A BIENNIAL BUDGET SO WE DIDN'T PLAN FOR IT.

IT'S GOING TO BE A FUTURE POINT OF TENSION THAT WE SHOULD START TALKING ABOUT.

I'M GOING TO SWITCH BACK TO A YEAR.

THIS IS NOT ANIMATED.

AGAIN, I WANT TO JUST TALK ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN, THIS IS NOT A SAMPLING, THIS IS NOT EVERYTHING.

BUT WE'RE STARTING TONIGHT WITH LONG RANGE PLANNING AND WE'LL TURN AROUND AND START TALKING ABOUT THE LEVY AND THIS AD HOC COMMITTEE.

THE AD HOC COMMITTEE IDEALLY, REGARDLESS OF IF IT'S A CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE OR COUNCIL COMMITTEE, YOU'LL BE GETTING UPDATES ON THAT FOR THE NEXT FIVE OR SIX MONTHS.

YOU'LL HAVE A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET DURING THAT TIME.

WE'LL HAVE A RETREAT DURING THIS TIME.

WE'LL SOMEHOW ADOPT A LONG RANGE PLAN DURING THAT TIME.

ON THE OTHER SIDE WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT SERVICES WITH A COMMUNITY SURVEY, THE STRATEGIC PLAN.

YOU'LL BE TALKING ABOUT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, SOME OF OUR URBAN GROWTH STRATEGIES, A NEW CITY MANAGER.

THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON THAT BOTH KIND OF WORK TOGETHER OVER TIME.

WITH THAT, I'LL GIVE IT TO YOU, TWYLLA, AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK TO ME.

>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCILORS, TWYLLA MILLER, YOUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER.

I'LL START OFF TALKING ABOUT FINANCIAL PLANNING.

STAFF ARE CONTINUING TO EXPAND OUR LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PLANNING EFFORTS AS WE GO INTO OUR SECOND BIENNIUM.

LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PLANNING INVOLVES REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, KEY FACTORS SUCH AS UNFUNDED NEEDS, OUR DEBT CAPACITY, ASSESSING RISKS, AND EXTERNAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS TO DEVELOP STRATEGIES AS WE LOOK TO THE FUTURE.

WHEN WE THINK ABOUT THE TIME HORIZON, WE THINK ABOUT THIS IN THREE AREAS.

THE FIRST IS NEAR TERM.

SO THAT'S REALLY THE BUDGET CYCLE WE'RE IN.

THIS IS THE BUDGET THAT BEGAN JULY 1ST.

MIDTERM IS REALLY TWO TO SIX YEARS.

SO THAT SIX YEAR FORECAST HORIZON THAT WE OFTEN BRING YOU WHEN WE'RE HAVING BUDGET CONVERSATIONS.

AND THEN LONG TERM IS REALLY SIX PLUS.

SO LOOKING SEVEN, TEN, 15 YEARS OUT AS A LONG TERM STRATEGY.

SO STAFF WILL BE WORKING THIS SUMMER TO UPDATE OUR UNFUNDED NEEDS ASSESSMENT, LOOKING AT THAT TEN YEAR HORIZON.

WE'LL BE UPDATING OUR FORECAST AND DOING SOME OTHER ANALYSIS TO BRING FOUNDATIONAL WORK TO COUNCIL THIS WINTER, AS YOU START TO HAVE YOUR LONG RANGE FINANCIAL STABILITY CONVERSATIONS.

THIS WILL ALLOW FOR A HOLISTIC LOOK AS WE REVIEW FINANCIAL POLICIES, SERVICE PRIORITIES, AND THE ROLE OF DEVELOPMENT AND OTHER POTENTIAL MID AND LONG TERM STRATEGIES.

NEXT SLIDE.

SO THE OUTCOME OF THE OVERALL PROCESS, THE LONG RANGE FINANCIAL STABILITY OUTCOMES, ARE SHOWN HERE.

SO THE FIRST IS TO CREATE A COMMON KNOWLEDGE OF FINANCIAL LEVERS AND OUR FINANCIAL CONDITION.

CITY SERVICES, FUNDING NEEDS, AND OTHER MECHANISMS.

TO DEVELOP A PRIORITIZATION FRAMEWORK TO GUIDE STAFF AND POLICYMAKERS AS FUTURE DECISIONS ARE MADE, WE HAVE IDENTIFIED STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE STRUCTURAL UNBALANCE IN THE GENERAL FUND, DISTRESSED FUNDS AND OTHER FUNDING NEEDS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION, AND TO DEVELOP FINANCIAL RESILIENCY THROUGH IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL RISKS AND CAUSES OF FISCAL STRESS INCLUDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF SAFETY MEASURES TO HELP WITHSTAND FUTURE FINANCIAL SHOCKS TO OUR SYSTEM.

AND THEN THIS SLIDE IS REALLY SHOWING THE SUGGESTED PROCESS FOR THIS WORK, WHICH INCLUDES AN AD HOC COMMITTEE.

SO AS I TALK HERE, I'LL TALK A BIT ABOUT THE PROCESS OF THE COMMITTEE AND THEN THE CITY MANAGER WILL TALK ABOUT THE STRUCTURE, POTENTIAL STRUCTURES OF THE COMMITTEE IN JUST A BIT.

THE AD HOC COMMITTEE WORK WOULD BEGIN AFTER YOUR SUMMER BREAK.

THE FOCUS OF THE GROUP WOULD BE ON THE NEAR TO MIDTERM OR SIX YEAR HORIZON.

THE COMMITTEE WOULD RECEIVE INFORMATION ON GENERAL FUND REFERENCE, SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE GENERAL FUND, AND ALTERNATE SOURCES FOR SERVICES TRADITIONALLY FUNDED THROUGH THE GENERAL FUND SUCH AS THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PAYROLL TAX.

THE COMMITTEE CAN MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON EFFICIENCIES, COST MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES, THE GENERAL FUND GAP THAT WILL OCCUR WHEN THE ADDITIONAL STORMWATER RATE INCREASE SUNSETS IN SIX YEARS, OTHER REVENUES OPTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR LONG TERM ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS.

IF THE COMMITTEE IS FORMED AS A CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE, THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WOULD COME BACK THROUGH THE CITY MANAGER AS PART OF YOUR WINTER WORK.

IF THE COMMITTEE IS FORMED AS A COUNCIL COMMITTEE, THOSE REPORTS WOULD COME DIRECTLY BACK TO COUNCIL.

COUNCIL WOULD HAVE A SERIES OF WORK SESSIONS OR A LONGER RETREAT IN THE WINTER OF 2026 TO FOCUS ON LONG RANGE FINANCIAL STABILITY, LOOKING THROUGH A LONGER LENS OVER, AGAIN, THAT TEN YEAR TIME HORIZON.

COUNCIL WOULD RECEIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION TO INFORM DISCUSSIONS ON STABILIZATION AND LONG TERM STABILITY.

A FINANCIAL CONDITION UPDATE ON THE GENERAL FUND AND DISTRESSED FUNDS WHICH WOULD ALSO INCLUDE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, UNFUNDED NEEDS ASSESSMENT WITH INFORMATION ON FUTURE NEEDS OVER A TEN YEAR PERIOD INCLUDING CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONAL AND PROGRAM NEEDS AS WE LOOK TO THE FUTURE.

THIS WOULD INCLUDE CAPACITY ENHANCEMENTS AND PRIORITY ITEMS THAT WE'VE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED ON A ONE TIME BASIS WITH ONE TIME DOLLARS.

A FINANCIAL POLICY REVIEW AND SERVICE PRIORITIZATION DISCUSSION, AND ALSO STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT.

AND THIS WOULD INCLUDE REVENUES, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ANNEXATION, TAX REFORM AND OTHER LEVERS THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD CHOOSE TO REVEAL.

THESE CONVERSATIONS WOULD HELP US DEVELOP A PRIORITIZATION FRAMEWORK AND STRATEGIES COMING INTO THE SECOND YEAR OF THE BIENNIUM AS BUDGET DEVELOPMENT WOULD BEGIN IN THE SECOND YEAR OF THE BIENNIUM WHICH WILL BEGIN A YEAR FROM NOW.

I'LL TURN THINGS BACK TO SARAH.

>> I'M GOING TO DIP BACK INTO YOUR COUNCIL GOALS AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT EDUCATION ENGAGEMENT, BECAUSE YOU'VE ALSO HAD A LONGSTANDING GOAL TO BE

REALLY TRANSPARENT AND INTERACTIVE WITH THE COMMUNITY TO INVOLVE THE COMMUNITY PRETTY BROADLY, TO BE EQUITABLE AND BUILD STRONGER PARTNERSHIPS.

I'M BUILDING BACK INTO THAT BECAUSE AS WE WENT THROUGH THE BUDGET PROCESS I HEARD A LOT FROM YOU AND FROM THE PUBLIC ABOUT THE NEED FOR BROADER, DEEPER COMMUNICATIONS.

SO THERE WAS SOME OF YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT EVEN DOING YOUR OWN SERIES OF VIDEOS TO HELP EDUCATE PEOPLE ON THE BUDGET, NOT JUST ONE OF YOU, MULTIPLE OF YOU.

WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE NEED FOR REALLY GETTING MORE INFORMATION OUT ABOUT WHAT WE LEARN IN THE COMMUNITY SURVEY.

SO WE KNOW COMING INTO IT, THAT'S WHY WE PUT SOME ONE TIME DOLLARS IN, TO HELP SUPPLEMENT THAT COMMUNICATION.

BUT THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF NEED TO HELP EDUCATE PEOPLE ON WHERE WE'RE AT, WHY WE'RE HERE, AND KIND OF WHAT WE'RE DOING TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM IN A LONG TERM WAY.

ONE ASPECT OF THAT, NOT THE ONLY ASPECT OF THAT, IS PULLING TOGETHER A GROUP, I'M STARTING TO THINK OF THEM AS TECHNICAL ADVISORS ON FINANCIAL ISSUES.

SO WE'VE TALKED A LOT ABOUT THIS, BUT NOT, YOU KNOW, REALLY DEEP INTO THE HOPES AND DREAMS.

BUT AS I'VE BEEN MEETING WITH FOLKS, IT'S BEEN FEELING LIKE IT REALLY NEEDS TO BE A GROUP OF EXPERTS, PEOPLE THAT REALLY UNDERSTAND FINANCE AND OPERATIONS, HAVE SOME EXPERTISE AND REVENUES, DEVELOPMENT.

COULD BE THAT THERE'S SOMEBODY ON THE GROUP THAT UNDERSTANDS GOVERNMENT FINANCE BUT NOT A CURRENT CITY EMPLOYEE.

FOLKS THAT JOIN THIS AND THE REASON I'M SAYING EIGHT TO TEN IS THEY'LL NEED TO BE ABLE TO COMMIT TO A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME IF YOU WANT TO DO THAT WORK THIS FALL.

THEY'RE COMMITTED TO DOING A LOT OF LEARNING AND BEING OPEN TO LEARNING AND ALSO OPEN TO DOING A LOT OF OUTREACH.

I WAS HOPING TONIGHT WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT, AND TALKING WITH A HANDFUL OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS, IT FEELS LIKE SOME OF THAT WORK'S GOING TO NEED TO BE CO CREATED.

SO WE DON'T WANT TO GO IN WITH LIKE, HERE'S THE AGENDA AND HERE'S WHAT IT HAS TO BE.

IT HAS TO BE, HERE'S THE TYPE OF PERSON WE'RE LOOKING FOR AND THESE ARE GENERALLY THE CONVERSATIONS WE'LL HAVE.

I WENT BACK TO THE TREE RING REFERENCE BECAUSE IT HAS FELT LIKE IT NEEDED TO BE A FRESH START, NEW FACES AND PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY FOLKS WE ALWAYS HEAR FROM.

WITH THAT, WE WOULD SPEND KIND OF BETWEEN NOW AND SEPTEMBER PREPARING FOR THAT, IDENTIFYING WHO THE MEMBERS MIGHT BE, INVITING THEM, IDENTIFYING SOME TYPE OF OUTSIDE FACILITATOR FOR THAT WORK AND DEVELOPING THE EDUCATION AND RESOURCES IN ORDER TO LAUNCH THAT WORK IN SEPTEMBER, WHERE WE WOULD BE YOU KNOW, ONE IDEA IS THAT THEY TRY TO WRAP UP BY DECEMBER.

AGAIN, THINKING ABOUT THAT ARC OF FOCUS AND THE URGENCY PEOPLE FEEL AROUND, HEY, WE'RE GOING TO TURN AROUND IN THE SPRING AND HAVE SOME CONVERSATIONS AROUND MULTIPLE LEVIES AND DO YOU WANT THIS WORK TO INFORM THAT, AND GROUND THIS AROUND SOME OF THE INFORMATION YOU MAY WANT IN YOUR RETREAT.

THINKING ABOUT JANUARY AND BEYOND OR EARLY WINTER AS BEING A GOOD TIME FOR THE COUNCIL RETREAT, THAT YOU HAVE DEVELOPED SOME EDUCATION FOR THAT, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HERE TONIGHT TO TALK ABOUT AND HEAR WHAT YOUR INTERESTS ARE.

SO WE'LL SPEND SOME TIME DOING THAT, DISCUSSING, GETTING SOME FEEDBACK ON THIS.

THESE ARE NOT QUESTIONS YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW, THEY'RE JUST THINGS I WAS THINKING ABOUT.

AND THERE'S A MOTION, THERE'S TWO MOTIONS YOU HAVE, ONE ON THE FORMATION OF THE COMMITTEE, AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE TIME SAVED TO SHIFT TO THE REFERENDUM PETITION DISCUSSION.

I'LL PAUSE AND TURN IT BACK TO COUNCIL PRESIDENT.

>> EVANS: SO DO WE HAVE ANYONE WHO WANTS TO START THIS CONVERSATION OFF? THOUGHTS FROM COUNCIL?

COUNCILLOR GROVES, START US OFF.

>> GROVES: OH, IT MUST HAVE BEEN ON TO BEGIN WITH.

ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU, COUNCIL PRESIDENT.

THANK YOU, SARAH, THANK YOU, TWYLLA, FOR THIS PRESENTATION.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THIS GROUP WE'VE ASKED FOR IS A TECHNICAL GROUP, AND THAT'S MORE FOR THE COMMUNITY THAT'S LISTENING IN.

AND I THINK WE'RE LOOKING FOR PEOPLE WITH PARTICULAR SKILLS.

AS YOU MENTIONED, WHEN WE GET THROUGH PRIORITIZATION, THAT WOULD BE A BROADER GROUP, BROADLY REPRESENTING THE COMMUNITY.

AS FAR AS WHO THIS GROUP SHOULD REPORT TO, I'M FINE EITHER WAY.

HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, WHEN WE GET THE REPORT OUT, I WOULD LIKE THE WHOLE COMMITTEE, AD HOC COMMITTEE, TO BE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS FROM US.

AND THAT'S NOT THE WE DON'T TRUST YOU, IT'S NOT THAT I DON'T TRUST YOU.

IT'S JUST, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT, WHEN WE START GETTING INTO THE RECOMMENDATIONS, THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND KIND OF WHERE THEY'VE COME FROM AND WHY.

SO IN THE INTERESTS OF TRANSPARENCY, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE REPORT OUT AND OUR QUESTIONS OF THIS GROUP ARE DONE IN A PUBLIC MEETING.

SO I'M REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS PROCESS.

I THINK WE'VE NEEDED IT FOR SOME TIME.

I THINK IT WILL HELP REINFORCE THAT REALLY THE CITY IS WELL MANAGED AND WELL RUN.

BUT SOMETIMES IT'S GOOD TO HAVE SOMEBODY FROM THE OUTSIDE, A DISINTERESTED THIRD PARTY COME IN AND TAKE A LOOK, ALTHOUGH I WOULD LIKE TO THINK ANYONE FROM OUR COMMUNITY WILL BE INTERESTED IN WHAT WE DO.

BUT THOSE ARE JUST SOME INITIAL THOUGHTS.

I MAY WANT TO HAVE A SECOND ROUND.

BUT FOR NOW, I THINK I'LL JUST STOP THERE AND AGAIN, I'M EXCITED TO SEE WHERE THIS GOES.

>> EVANS: NEXT UP, I HAVE COUNCIL CLARK AND THEN FOLLOWING COUNCILOR CLARK, COUNCILOR ZELENKA.

>> CLARK: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION.

I'M GOING TO NAME THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM, THE UNFORTUNATE ONE, THE ONE I DON'T LIKE, WHICH IS THAT AT THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR, ONE OF THE FOLKS AT THE TABLE IS GOING TO RETIRE.

AND I THINK THAT HAS TO BE AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE CONVERSATION.

AS A RESULT, I THINK THIS OUGHT TO BE A MANAGER'S COMMITTEE, BECAUSE COME JANUARY, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A NEW MANAGER.

THAT MANAGER IS GOING TO NEED TO NOT ONLY BUY INTO A PROCESS THEY DIDN'T START, BUT HAVE A SPECIAL HAND OFF FROM YOU OF, HERE'S WHY I PICKED THESE FOLKS.

I THINK THAT THE CLOSER THE CITY MANAGER IS WORKING WITH THIS COMMITTEE, THE EASIER IT WILL BE TO HAND OFF TO A NEW CITY MANAGER AND A MORE SMOOTH AND SEAMLESS TRANSITION THAT CAN TAKE PLACE.

THE NEW CITY MANAGER MAY HAVE NEW IDEAS ABOUT STUFF, AND THAT'S GREAT, THEY CAN ADD TO IT.

BUT THE CONTINUITY WILL BE THAT THE MANAGER'S COMMITTEE WILL BE DOING THE WORK YOU STARTED, AND THEY WILL PROBABLY FINISH.

SO I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION WE NEED TO I HOPE MY COLLEAGUES WILL AGREE TO.

THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR ZELENKA AND THEN I HAVE COUNCILOR LEECH.

>> ZELENKA: YEAH, I'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS A LOT, THAT THIS IS KIND OF PHASE I, PHASE II, PHASE I IS THE INVESTIGATION INTO THE BUDGET AND PHASE II IS THE VISIONING AND COURT SETTING.

THEY'RE DIFFERENT, THEY'RE SEPARATE, THEY'RE DIFFERENT GROUPS AND DIFFERENT EFFORTS.

AND THE PHASE I INVESTIGATION INTO THE BUDGET, AND I THINK THAT NEEDS TO FOCUS AROUND HOW DOES THE BUDGET WORK, WHAT ARE THE CONSTRAINTS, WHAT ARE THE OPTIONS THAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US.

AND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EXPERTS AND PEOPLE WE'RE VERY INTERESTED TO INVESTIGATE SPECIFIC IDEAS ABOUT WHAT THEY THINK IS EFFICIENT.

I MEAN, YOU'RE NEVER GOING TO CONVINCE THE PEOPLE WHO THINK THAT GOVERNMENT IS INHERENTLY INEFFICIENT, THEY PROBABLY WON'T BE ON THE COMMITTEE ANYWAY.

BUT THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE OPEN MINDED CAN BE ON THE COMMITTEE AND MAYBE THEY CAN HAVE SOME GOOD IDEAS, WITH THE TWOFOLD GOAL OF HAVING A COMMON UNDERSTANDING ABOUT HOW THE BUDGET WORKS, AND WHAT THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE, AND ALSO ASSESSING WHETHER OR NOT WE'RE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT IN DELIVERING THOSE SERVICES.

AND I THINK THE OUTCOME IS SIMILAR TO RANDY'S, WHERE I THINK WHAT WE'LL FIND IS THE CITY OF EUGENE IS EXCEPTIONALLY WELL RUN.

IT'S VERY EFFICIENT AND IT'S A VERY EFFECTIVE.

IT'S ON PAR WITH ANY PRIVATE BUSINESS THAT I'VE SEEN IN EUGENE AND AROUND THE STATE OF OREGON.

SO AND BETTER THAN MOST, ACTUALLY.

AND SINCE THE OTHER PART OF IT IS THAT SINCE WE'VE HAD 13 TO 16 CUT BUDGETS, \$60 MILLION PLUS IN CUTS, THAT'S RESULTED IN TREMENDOUS INCREASES IN EFFICIENCY AND ELIMINATION OF ALL THE REDUNDANT SERVICES.

AND NOW WE'RE AT THE POINT OF ELIMINATING WHOLE SERVICES OR PARTS OF SERVICES INSTEAD OF HAVING TWEAKS IN THE SYSTEM THAT WOULD SAVE US MONEY.

I WOULD BE SURPRISED IF WE FOUND ANY SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL SAVINGS, BECAUSE IT IS SO WELL RUN.

AND ON THE PART 2, I THINK ALL OF THAT IS FINE, AND IT SHOULD FEED INTO PART 2 OR PHASE II, AND THAT NEEDS TO GO BEYOND JUST THE INVESTIGATION, WE'VE GOT TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WE DO WITH THAT INFORMATION.

AND THAT SHOULD BE MORE BROADLY REPRESENTED, AND WITH MULTIPLE POINTS OF VIEW.

AND THE QUESTIONS THERE ARE, WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO BE A CITY?

IS IT MORE THAN JUST FIRE AND POLICE?

IF SO, HOW FAR DOES IT GO BEYOND THAT?

WHY DO PEOPLE LIVE HERE?

IF YOU CAN CHOOSE TO LIVE ANYWHERE, WHY DO THEY LIVE HERE?

THAT'S OUR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE.

WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE MAINTAIN THAT AND THAT WE FUND ALL THOSE THINGS THAT SUSTAINABLY FUND ALL THOSE THINGS THAT MAKE THAT A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AND ATTRACT PEOPLE TO BE HERE AND STAY HERE.

THAT'S KIND OF MY VISION FOR PHASE II.

IN THE SHORT RUN

>> EVANS: YOU'RE OUT OF TIME.

DO YOU WANT A SECOND ROUND?

SECOND ROUND FOR COUNCILORS ZELENKA.

COUNCILOR LEECH.

>> LEECH: THANK YOU TO THE COUNCIL, THE COUNCIL PRESIDENT, AND TWYLLA FOR THE PRESENTATION.

THERE'S SO MUCH THAT NEEDS TO BE DISCUSSED.

ANY ONE COMMITTEE WITH SUCH A SHORT TIME FRAME IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS ALL OF THIS.

SO WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THAT URGENT NEED FOR INPUT AROUND THAT MIDTERM UP TO SIX YEARS AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD.

AND THEN ALSO, AND THAT'S REALLY, YOU KNOW, CITY MANAGER, ADVISORY COMMITTEE, DIRECTLY TO THE CITY MANAGER, VERSUS THIS OTHER STRATEGY OF LOOKING AT ALL OF THOSE DIFFERENT PIECES.

LIKE YOU MENTIONED, URBAN RENEWAL, URBAN RESERVES, RUBG, ANNEXATION.

THESE ARE HUGE TOPICS THAT ARE GOING TO TAKE A LOT LONGER FOR PEOPLE, EVEN PEOPLE THAT ARE EXPERTS IN FINANCE, TO WRAP THEIR HEADS AROUND EVEN CULTURALLY.

I THINK ANNEXATION IS ONE OF THOSE THAT'S GOING TO NEED MORE OF A DEEP DIVE.

SO FORGIVE ME FOR THIS QUESTION.

IS IT POSSIBLE TO HOLD BOTH OF THESE COMMITTEES, ONE ADDRESSING THE URGENT NEED AND ADDRESSING THE TRANSITION OF OUR CITY MANAGER AND HAVING KIND OF THAT LIKE COUNCILOR CLARK MENTIONED, ABILITY TO HAVE THIS KIND OF NICE SEGUE INTO OUR NEW CITY MANAGER, AND THEN ALSO, REALLY THINKING ABOUT A COMMITTEE FOR THE COUNCIL THAT WILL WORK ON SOME OF THOSE PRIORITY POLICY LEVEL DECISIONS IN TERMS OF LONG TERM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT?

THAT WAS ACTUALLY A QUESTION.

>> EVANS: OKAY, I'M SORRY.

>> AS I'M UNDERSTANDING THE QUESTION YOU'RE ASKING, COULD WE STAFF TWO COMMITTEES AT THE SAME TIME.

AND THAT WOULD BE PRETTY CHALLENGING TO DO.

AND MAYBE A LITTLE CONFUSING FOR THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS.

IT FEELS MORE LIKE A

>> LEECH: I WAS THINKING OF LIKE THE CITY MANAGER ONE, YOU SAID KIND OF THROUGH THE FALL, HAVE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE END OF THE YEAR.

IN THE FALL, WE CAN START TALKING ABOUT IN A.I.S., IT SAID IN SEPTEMBER WE WOULD IF WE CHOSE THE COUNCIL DIRECTION, WE WOULD COME BACK AND START TO THINK ABOUT AND TALK ABOUT WHAT IT IS WE WANT IN THAT.

AND WE CAN SET THAT MAYBE EVEN BEYOND THE RETREAT.

SO THINKING ABOUT MORE LIKE SPRING 2026, ENGAGING IN THIS LONG TERM STRATEGY COMMITTEE.

SO KIND OF NOT STACKING THEM ON TOP OF ONE ANOTHER, BUT CONCURRENT.

>> LET ME JUST CLARIFY THE TIMING PIECE.

SO IF IT'S A COUNCIL COMMITTEE, THEN HOW THAT TYPICALLY SO THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT WAYS TO HAVE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE, BY CODE.

ONE OF WHICH IS A CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE.

IF THAT'S THE CASE, THEN YOU'LL NEED TO WE'LL NEED TO SPEND THE SUMMER COMING BACK WITH RECOMMENDATIONS AROUND SO WE CAN DO IT BY CODE, THE MAYOR TYPICALLY NOMINATES THE MEMBERS.

WE NEED TO HAVE A CHARTER OF WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO, A BEGINNING, KIND OF AN END DATE.

SO WE WOULD BE COMING BACK IN SEPTEMBER AND YOU WOULD HAVE TO ADOPT LIKE YES, THOSE ARE THE MEMBERS.

YOU HAVE TO APPROVE THAT, THE CHARTER, THE DATES, THE MEMBERS.

SO YOU WOULD BE DOING THAT IN SEPTEMBER.

THE SAME THINGS ARE SORT OF TRUE FOR THE CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE.

WE STILL HAVE TO YOU KNOW, I STILL HAVE TO IDENTIFY MEMBERS.

WE WOULD SPEND THE SUMMER DOING THAT.

THE ONLY REAL DIFFERENCE IS THAT YOU WOULDN'T BE YOU WOULDN'T BE APPROVING THE CHARTER.

WE COULD JUST GET STARTED QUICKER ON THAT.

SO WE'LL BOTH BE OPERATING THROUGH THE THAT WILL BE OPERATING THROUGH THE FALL.

I GUESS MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE, SEE WHAT HAPPENS WITH THAT WORK.

THAT COULD STILL BE A COUNCIL COMMITTEE, I ACTUALLY DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL IS GOING TO FALL ON THAT, SEE WHERE THAT GOES, LET THAT HELP INFORM THE WORK WE BRING TO THE RETREAT, AND IF THERE'S A NEED TO HAVE ANOTHER COUNCIL COMMITTEE THAT LOOKS AT SERVICES AND PRIORITIES THAT WE WOULD ADDRESS THAT AT THE TIME.

BUT STAFFING BOTH OF THOSE AT THE SAME TIME WOULD BE REALLY HARD.

>> LEECH: OKAY.

THANK YOU.

I THINK I WOULD LIKE US TO MOVE FORWARD MORE QUICKLY, SO I WOULD SUGGEST THE CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE.

HOWEVER, IS THAT ABLE TO BE PUBLICLY BROADCAST?

I THINK THAT WAS THE OTHER PIECE.

LIKE COUNCILOR GROVES, THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO SEE AND HEAR WHAT IT IS THAT'S BEING DISCUSSED.

>> THERE'S PROS AND CONS BOTH WAYS.

I THINK WE TALKED ABOUT THIS THE LAST TIME.

ARE WE ABLE TO?

YES.

IS IT THE RIGHT DIRECTION?

I'M NOT SURE.

>> LEECH: OKAY.

WE HAD A REVENUE, YOU KNOW, COMMITTEE IN WAS IT ALMOST TWO YEARS AGO, AND I REALLY DID APPRECIATE THE ABILITY TO NOT HAVE IT PUBLICLY BROADCAST.

SO WE COULD GET INTO THESE NITTY GRITTY CONVERSATIONS WITHOUT THIS FEAR ABOUT TALKING ABOUT SPECIFICS WHEN YOU'RE DISCOVERING A LOT OF DIFFERENT INFORMATION.

BUT IN THIS PROCESS I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO BE PUBLIC WITH THAT.

AND SO I THINK I'M RUNNING OUT OF TIME, BUT I'M INTERESTED IN HEARING WHAT THE REST OF THE COUNCILORS HAVE TO SAY.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR, YOU'RE OUT OF TIME.

NEXT ON MY LIST IS COUNCILOR KASHINSKY AND COUNCILOR KEATING AND COUNCILOR YEH.

>> KASHINSKY: THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION.

TO BE CLEAR, THERE IS A LARGE SENSE OF URGENCY SURROUNDING THIS WORK AND IT SOUNDS LIKE, IF I'M UNDERSTANDING CORRECTLY, A CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE WOULD BE ABLE TO GET STARTED A LITTLE BIT SOONER, WOULD PERHAPS BE A LITTLE BIT LESS LOGISTICALLY CHALLENGING TO MANAGE, AND SO WOULD BE ABLE TO MAYBE GET MORE IN DEPTH WITH THE WORK IN A MORE URGENT FASHION THAN A COUNCIL COMMITTEE, IS THAT RIGHT?

I SEE YOU NODDING.

OKAY.

SO I WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT.

THE SECOND THING I WANTED TO ASK ABOUT WAS, IN THE SLIDE WITH THE DIFFERENCE IN THE COMMITTEES AND THE SCOPE OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE, I KNOW THAT ONE OF THE CRITICISMS SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE REVENUE COMMITTEE THAT CAME OUT WITH THE FIRE FEE WAS THAT WE DIDN'T TALK ABOUT ON THAT COMMITTEE THE POTENTIAL FOR CUTS OR THE POTENTIAL FOR CHANGES IN LEVEL OF SERVICE AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

I NOTICE THAT ONE OF THE THINGS YOU HAVE LISTED ON HERE AS PART OF THE SCOPE OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE IS EFFICIENCIES.

AND I HEAR THE WORD "EFFICIENCIES" BEING USED AS SORT OF A EUPHEMISM FOR TWO DIFFERENT TYPE OF THINGS.

ONE IS SORT OF PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS, WHERE WE CAN DELIVER OUR SERVICES IN A WAY THAT DELIVERS THE SAME LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR LESS COST, AND THE OTHER WAY I HEAR IT IS AS A EUPHEMISM FOR CUTS, TO SAY WE SHOULD NOT BE DELIVERING THIS SERVICE OR WE SHOULD NOT BE DELIVERING THIS SERVICE AT THIS LEVEL.

I KNOW SOME PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY WANT TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION ABOUT WHAT ARE THE LEVEL OF SERVICES, WHAT ARE THE SERVICES WE'RE GOING TO PRIORITIZE.

AND I THINK THIS PARTICULAR COMMITTEE ABOUT FINANCIAL PLANNING IS PROBABLY NOT THE PLACE TO BE HAVING THAT DISCUSSION, BECAUSE THAT'S SOMETHING THAT'S MORE ABOUT VALUES AND STRATEGY AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

AND SO I THINK IT'S PROBABLY HELPFUL FOR US TO BE CLEAR, LIKE WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT EFFICIENCIES, ARE WE TALKING ABOUT CUTS, PRIORITIZATION, LEVELS OF SERVICE DISCUSSIONS, OR ARE WE TALKING ABOUT, HEY, IS THERE A WAY THAT WE COULD DO THIS THING IN A WAY THAT COSTS LESS MONEY.

>> THANK YOU.

I THINK YOU HIT THAT SORT OF RAZOR EDGE THIN LINE.

THAT'S EXACTLY WHY I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT SORT OF RESOURCES AND SERVICES.

AND AS I SEE THIS GROUP, I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO FOCUS IN ON THE TECHNICAL NATURE OF IT, WHICH IS NOT SHOULD WE OR SHOULDN'T WE BE DOING A CERTAIN THING.

THAT SAID, I ALSO DON'T WANT TO START AND WORK AGAIN WITH A COMMITTEE THAT FEELS LIKE THEY WERE TOLD THEY COULDN'T TALK ABOUT SOMETHING.

SO THERE IS A LEVEL OF CO CREATION I THINK THAT HAS TO HAPPEN AT THE BEGINNING, SO THAT THE WHOLE PROCESS IS CREDIBLE.

THE INTENT IS NOT TO HAVE THIS GROUP SETTING SERVICE LEVELS.

THAT'S A DIFFERENT CONVERSATION.

IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT ARE THERE THINGS FROM A DIFFERENT BUSINESS MODEL THAT WE COULD CONSIDER?

ARE THERE DIFFERENT WAYS OF DOING WHATEVER THE THING IS?

BUT I DO THINK FOR THIS EXACT REASON, IT'S GOING TO BE A LOT MORE IMPORTANT TO COME BACK WITH REGULAR UPDATES ABOUT, HEY, THIS IS KIND OF HOW THE WORK IS BEING CHARTERED, THIS IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, THIS IS WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT LAST TIME, MORE FREQUENTLY THAN THE REVENUE ADVISORS, BECAUSE I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF FEAR ABOUT THAT.

>> KASHINSKY: THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR KEATING, THEN COUNCILOR YEH.

>> KEATING: THANK YOU, COUNCIL PRESIDENT, THANK YOU, CITY MANAGER, TWYLLA, AND THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES, FOR CHIMING IN.

I WANT TO PICK UP WHAT I'M HEARING COUNCILOR LEECH PUT DOWN, AND VOICE MY INTEREST IN EXPLORING A "YES, AND" APPROACH.

I THINK THAT WE CAN DO BOTH, JUST NOT BOTH AT THE SAME TIME.

I THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT AS OUR COUNCIL GOALS OUTLINE, TO EMPOWER THE CITY MANAGER, TO ROLL WITH THE TECHNICAL EXPERTS NEEDED TO GET THE JOB DONE, AND THEN REEVALUATE AT THE TIME OF TRANSITION WITH THE NEW CITY MANAGER, AND EXPLORE WHETHER IT IS PRUDENT TO HAVE A COUNCIL DRIVEN COMMISSION STYLE COMMITTEE THAT COUNCIL OVERSEES FOR LONG TERM SUSTAINABILITY THAT IS A LONG TERM INVESTMENT AND STAFFED AND RESOURCES PROVIDED SIMILAR TO HOW WE DO THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION, THE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, ET CETERA.

SO I WOULD WANT TO SAY YES, AND DOUBLE DOWN ON MY ENTHUSIASM FOR A LONG TERM, COUNCIL DRIVEN COMMISSION TO EXPLORE THE LONG TERM SUSTAINABLE RESOURCES THAT ARE NEEDED TO KEEP THIS CITY AFLOAT.

>> CAN I RESPOND TO THAT?

BECAUSE WHEN YOU WERE SPEAKING, I NEGLECTED TO TALK ABOUT ONE OF MY BULLET POINTS, WHICH IS I'M NOT SAYING IS THAT I NEGLECTED TO TALK ABOUT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, BECAUSE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IS A COMMITTEE THAT IS A LONG TERM, SUSTAINED EFFORT.

AND I DO HEAR FROM THEM THAT THEY WOULD LIKE A BROADER ROLE.

AND THEY REPRESENT MORE THAN JUST BUSINESS EXPERTISE AT THE TABLE.

SO, YES, AND, AND.

ANOTHER "AND."

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR YEH.

>> YEH: THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU TO STAFF FOR THIS PRESENTATION.

TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS, I THINK THESE DO SOUND LIKE THE RIGHT AREAS OF FOCUS TO ME.

THE TIMING FEELS DOABLE, WHICH I THINK IS A BIG PART OF MAKING THESE DECISIONS, IS WHAT CAN WE HANDLE WITH OUR CAPACITY.

SO THIS SEEMS DOABLE TO ME.

I LIKE THE IDEA OF STAYING OPEN TO THE FACT THAT WHEN WE'RE DONE WITH OUR RETREAT, WE MAY HAVE ADDITIONAL THINGS THAT WE WANT THE COMMUNITY TO LOOK AT, AND MAYBE THAT WOULD BE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, AND MAYBE THAT WILL BE SOMEONE ELSE, MAYBE IT WILL BE THE COMMITTEE WE HAD ALREADY, YOU KNOW BRING THEM BACK AND HAVE THEM I JUST DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO BE DONE WITH THIS AT OUR RETREAT.

I THINK WE'LL PROBABLY HAVE SOME ANSWERS AND WE'LL PROBABLY HAVE EVEN MORE QUESTIONS.

SO I LIKE THAT IDEA.

I DON'T KNOW ABOUT A PERMANENT NEW COMMITTEE.

I FEEL LIKE WE HAVE A LOT OF THOSE.

BUT JUST STAYING OPEN TO THAT OPTION I THINK WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA.

I AM IN FAVOR OF THE COMMITTEE, THE AD HOC COMMITTEE REPORTING TO THE CITY MANAGER FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS.

MAINLY I REALLY LIKE COUNCILOR CLARK'S FRAMING OF IT, AND I THINK THAT'S REALLY SMART, TO HAVE THAT TRANSITION LIKE THAT.

THAT WAS ALL THE QUESTIONS.

THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: THANK YOU.

AND WE'LL START ROUND 2 WITH COUNCILOR GROVES, THEN COUNCILOR ZELENKA.

>> GROVES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL PRESIDENT.

I THINK MY QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN SATISFIED AT THIS POINT.

I WILL SAY, I JUST REALLY WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT I DON'T SEE, AT LEAST IN THE BEGINNING, MULTIPLE COMMITTEES OPERATING AT THE SAME TIME.

I THINK STEP 1 LEADS TO STEP 2, LEADS TO STEP 3.

THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: ALAN?

>> ZELENKA: I AGREE, I THINK THIS IS A PHASED APPROACH, STEP 1 AND STEP 2 ARE IN SEQUENCE.

SO STEP 1 IS THE INVESTIGATION AND FORM.

STEP 2 IS ABOUT PRIORITIES AND SETTING CITY BUDGETS.

AND STEP 1 REALLY FOCUSES IN ON EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS AND NOT SERVICE LEVELS.

ALTHOUGH I'M GOING TO DIFFER A LITTLE BIT HERE, AND I THINK THAT THIS SHOULD BE A COUNCIL DRIVEN PROCESS FOR CONTINUITY PURPOSES.

I THINK WE ARE GOING TO SEE A NEW CITY MANAGER, AND THAT ALONE SHOULD BE ENOUGH TO MAKE THIS A CITY COUNCIL PROCESS AS OPPOSED TO A CITY MANAGER PROCESS, FOR CONTINUITY PURPOSES.

BUT WE JUST DID A CITY MANAGER PROCESS.

AND WHAT WE SAW WAS THE COUNCILORS NOT INVOLVED IN THAT ENDED UP OBJECTING TO THE OUTCOME OF WHAT WE SAW.

AND WE'VE SEEN THAT BEFORE, WHERE THE PEOPLE NOT ON THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T AGREE WITH WHAT WE DID.

I'VE SEEN THAT SEVERAL TIMES OVER MY TENURE AS A COUNCILOR.

SO I THINK WE ALL NEED TO BE INVOLVED IN THIS.

AND WE NEED TO BE FULLY ENGAGED AND INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS FROM THE GET GO, AND HAVE IT BE A COUNCIL DRIVEN PROCESS.

YES, IT'S TECHNICAL.

BUT IT'S ALSO POLITICAL.

AND IT'S KEENLY POLITICAL.

THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE, THAT'S WHY WE'RE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION.

AND AS TO THE URGENCY, YOU KNOW, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FIRST MEETING OF A COUNCIL DRIVEN PROCESS AND A MANAGER DRIVEN PROCESS MAY BE ONE MONTH, MAY BE TWO.

THIS IS MUCH MORE LONGER TERM AND MORE IMPORTANT THAN THAT.

AND THEN FINALLY, I THINK BECAUSE OF OUR RETREAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE, THAT'S GOING TO IMPACT WHAT WE THINK AND DO, ESPECIALLY IN MAYBE PHASE I, AND MAYBE PHASE II.

HAVING IT BE A COUNCIL DRIVEN PROCESS MAKES SENSE TO ME FOR ALL THOSE REASONS.

>> EVANS: OTHER COMMENTS IN ROUND 2?

I'LL PUT MY TWO CENTS IN HERE.

ALAN, I RESPECTFULLY WILL DISAGREE WITH YOU ON THIS, BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET INTO THOSE BROADER TOPICS AS WE GET INTO OUR COUNCIL RETREAT.

SO WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT SERVICE DELIVERIES.

WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT HOW DO WE MEET THOSE DELIVERY MARKS GIVEN THE FINANCIAL CONDITIONS THAT WE'LL BE FACING OVER THE NEXT SIX YEARS.

BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, I THINK OVER THE NEXT TEN YEARS, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK DOWN THE LINE AT BOTH WHAT ARE THE SERVICES THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO STAND UP AND SUPPORT AS A COUNCIL AND AS A COMMUNITY, AND WHAT THINGS WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO DIFFERENTLY IN ORDER TO SATISFY THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY, OR THERE MAY BE SOME SERVICES THAT WE ARE

COMBINING OR WE ARE NO LONGER DELIVERING, BECAUSE WE CAN'T SUSTAIN OURSELVES IN THAT PART OF THE BUSINESS.

SO I AM STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF US HAVING THIS COMMITTEE FIRST CONSTITUTED BY THE CITY MANAGER AND WE'LL LEAD INTO THE FOLLOWING CITY MANAGER, THE FUTURE CITY MANAGER, TO COME IN AND SEE EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, AND GET THAT ADVICE FROM, YOU KNOW, THE SET OF EXPERTS THAT I TRUST OUR CITY MANAGER WILL BE ABLE TO CONSTITUTE AND PUT TOGETHER, AND THAT AT EVERY STEP OF THE PROCESS, WE'RE GOING TO BE INVOLVED.

IT'S NOT LIKE WE'RE GOING TO BACK OFF AND BACK AWAY FROM THIS, BUT THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO LOOK IN AND SEE AND WATCH WHAT'S GOING ON, AND THEN BE ABLE TO, AT THE END OF THE PROCESS, OR WHERE THIS PARTICULAR STAGE ENDS, WE CAN BE INFORMED, INVOLVED, AND ENGAGED IN HOW WE BEGIN TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE OTHER PARTS OF OUR RETREAT, SOME OF THE THINGS THAT COME OUT OF THAT.

DID ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANYTHING TO COMMENT ON THIS?

OR ARE WE READY, COUNCIL VICE PRESIDENT, FOR A MOTION?

>> LEECH: READY.

>> EVANS: HOLD ON, ONE MORE COMMENT FROM COUNCILOR Z.

>> ZELENKA: I AGREE WITH WHAT YOU SAID AT THE END, EXCEPT HOW ENGAGED WERE YOU IN THE REVENUE ADVISORS GROUP WHEN YOU WEREN'T INVOLVED IN IT?

YOU GOT A REPORT AT THE END.

THAT WAS PART OF THE PROBLEM.

I THINK THE COUNCIL AT ITS OWN PERIL WILL LET THIS BE DRIVEN OUTSIDE COUNCIL PURVIEW.

I THINK THIS IS INHERENTLY A POLITICAL PROCESS.

AT THE SAME TIME IT'S GOT A TECHNICAL ASPECT TO IT.

BUT NOT DOING IT AS A COUNCIL COMMITTEE IS

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR LEECH, DO WE HAVE A MOTION?

>> LEECH: YES, SIR.

MOVE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO CREATE AN AD HOC CITY MANAGER COMMITTEE TO ACT AS A FINANCIAL TECHNICAL ADVISORY PANEL THAT WILL BEGIN

WORK IN SEPTEMBER 2025 AND COMPLETE WORK BY DATE SPECIFIED BY THE CITY MANAGER.

>> EVANS: IS THERE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> EVANS: MOVED BY COUNCILOR LEECH, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR CLARK.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR?

ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND SEVEN.

OPPOSED?

ONE.

IT PASSES, 7 1.

NOW WE'LL MOVE ON TO OUR SECOND AGENDA ITEM TONIGHT, AND THAT IS THE DISCUSSION ON THE OPTIONS REGARDING THE REFERENDUM PETITION 2025 1.

SO, CITY ATTORNEY, WE NEED TO BRING THIS OFF THE TABLE IN ORDER TO DISCUSS IT.

>> NO, YOU'RE OKAY.

>> EVANS: DO WE HAVE TO HAVE ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO PUT IT ON THE TABLE TO BRING IT OFF AND BRING IT BACK?

>> NO.

IT IS ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW.

IT IS ON THE TABLE AND SECONDED.

SO ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS DISCUSS AND THEN VOTE.

>> EVANS: DO WE HAVE ANY DISCUSSION OR CONVERSATION ON THIS?

WELL, THE MOTION IS, IS TO REPEAL THE ORDINANCE AND CANCEL THE FIRE SERVICE FEE ELECTION THAT WAS GOING TO BE SET FOR SOMETIME THIS FALL.

BUT I THINK OUR MAIN THING IS TO REPEAL THE ORDINANCE.

>> BOTH OF THE MOTIONS ARE ACTUALLY ON THE SLIDE SO YOU CAN SEE WHAT YOU INITIALLY PROPOSED AND THEN HOW

>> EVANS: GOT YOU.

>> THE ONE THAT IS ON THE TABLE AND READY TO BE VOTED ON IS THAT FIRST ONE, THE MOVE TO REPEAL ORDINANCE 20171.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR.

>> GROVES: I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO MAINTAIN FAITH WITH THE PUBLIC.

I KNOW I VOTED FOR THE REPLACEMENT FEE BASED ON THE INITIAL FIRE SERVICES FEE BEING WITHDRAWN.

I CERTAINLY INTEND TO VOTE TO WITHDRAW THAT.

I THINK IT WOULD BE REALLY POOR FORM TO GO FORWARD WITH TWO SEPARATE FEES.

THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR CLARK.

>> CLARK: THANK YOU, SIR.

I WILL PROBABLY VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS MOTION.

I'M REALLY RELUCTANT TO DO IT, THOUGH, I'VE GOT TO BE HONEST.

I THINK THAT THOSE PEOPLE WHO SIGNED THE PETITION BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY DESERVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SAY IT.

AND I THINK THEY WANTED TO TELL US HOW THEY FELT ABOUT IT.

AND I THINK THERE ARE AN AWFUL LOT OF PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT WANT TO HAVE A COMMENT ABOUT THIS.

BUT AT THE SAME TIME, I THINK ONE OF THE REASONS WE CAME TO A COMPROMISE AS A GROUP WAS BECAUSE OF THE LEVEL OF DISSENSION AND DIVISION THAT WOULD BE CREATED IN THE COMMUNITY TO PLAY THAT ALL THE WAY TO THE END OF THE PAGE.

AND I SUSPECT THE CAMPAIGN WOULD PRODUCE THAT.

THAT'S WHY I MENTIONED THE IDEA IN THE FIRST PLACE OF THIS AS A POTENTIAL COMPROMISE, BECAUSE I DON'T LIKE THE IDEA OF A BIG COMMUNITY FIGHT.

AND I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD PREFER NOT TO.

BUT I FEEL STRANGE ABOUT NOT LETTING PEOPLE HAVE THEIR SAY WHEN THEY DESERVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO.

SO AGAIN, I'LL PROBABLY VOTE IN FAVOR OF MOVING ON AND MAKING BETTER PLANS.

BUT NOT HAVING TO SPEND THE MONEY AND HAVE THE ARGUMENT.

BUT I KNOW THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME PEOPLE DISAPPOINTED THAT THEY DIDN'T GET THEIR SAY.

FOR THAT I ONLY SAY, PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS AS WE MOVE FORWARD TO CREATE A BETTER TOMORROW.

SO THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR?

>> I WOULD TRULY LOVE TO SEE WHAT THE CHAMBER'S ARGUMENT WOULD BE IN THEIR CAMPAIGN FOR THIS MEASURE.

I RELUCTANTLY AGREED TO THE COMPROMISE.

I DIDN'T LIKE IT, BUT I THINK MOVING FORWARD IN THIS DUAL FASHION WOULD BE NOT ONLY DIVISIVE BUT VERY EXPENSIVE.

AND I RELUCTANTLY WOULD WANT TO MOVE ON AS WELL.

>> EVANS: ALL RIGHT.

OTHERS?

COUNCILLOR KASHINSKY.

>> KASHINSKY: YEAH, THE FIRE FEE WENT THROUGH A LENGTHY PROCESS, LENGTHY DISCUSSION, LOTS OF COMMUNITY DISCUSSION, PRIOR TO BEING PASSED BY THIS COUNCIL, BECAUSE WE HAD A PROBLEM THAT WE WERE TRYING TO SOLVE.

THAT WAS A SOLUTION TO THAT PROBLEM.

THAT WAS A LONG TERM, PERMANENT SOLUTION TO THAT.

AND THAT I STILL THINK THAT AS WE GO THROUGH THESE OTHER PROCESSES THAT WE'RE SAYING WE'RE GOING TO SET UP, WE'RE GOING TO END UP BACK IN THIS SAME PLACE IN A COUPLE OF YEARS, BECAUSE A MOTOR FEE INCREASE, WHATEVER THE PLANS ARE TO FILL THESE GAPS, THIS IS ONE OF THE BEST STRATEGIES TO FILL THOSE GAPS.

AND I AM VERY RELUCTANT TO SAY, OKAY, LET'S REPEAL THIS, BECAUSE I FEEL LIKE FOR ME, I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS A GOOD IDEA TO HAVE PASSED IT, AND I THINK IT WAS A GOOD IDEA TO PASS IT.

>> EVANS: OUR COUNCILORS?

COUNCILOR YEH.

>> YEH: THANKS, CHAIR.

I'M IN FAVOR OF REPEALING IT.

I WANT TO THANK THE PEOPLE WILLING TO COME TO THE TABLE TO WORK OUT A COMPROMISE.

IT IS NEVER EASY TO ADMIT THAT YOU NEED TO TAKE A SECOND SHOT AT THINGS.

FOLKS FROM ALL DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES WERE WILLING TO TAKE THAT STEP.

AND THE SOLUTION WE HAVE IS NOT PERFECT.

BUT I THINK IT'S SOMETHING WE CAN ALL LIVE WITH WHILE WE MOVE ON TO THE NEXT STEPS IN THIS PROCESS.

AND TO ME, CONTINUING TO FIGHT THIS OUT AT THE BALLOT BOX IS TAKING AWAY TIME AND EFFORT THAT WE COULD BE PUTTING, ALL SIDES COULD BE PUTTING INTO THIS COMMITTEE, TO THESE CONVERSATIONS, TO THE NEXT STEP IN THIS PROCESS, TO GET TO SOMETHING BETTER.

SO FOR ME IT MAKES NO SENSE TO CONTINUE WASTING TIME AND MONEY, ANY OF US, SPENDING TIME AND MONEY ON THIS WHEN WE COULD BE SPENDING THAT TIME MORE WISELY.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR KEATING.

>> KEATING: THANK YOU, COUNCIL PRESIDENT.

QUICKLY, THE COMPROMISE THAT'S BEEN ALLUDED TO WAS, IN MY OPINION, GOOD FAITH EFFORTS BY EVERYONE AT THE TABLE TONIGHT, A GREAT MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THE AUDIENCE TONIGHT, TO FIND COMMON GROUND AND TO FIND A MIDDLE GROUND THAT NOT ONLY PRESERVED VITAL SERVICES BUT DIDN'T USE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AS THE VEHICLE TO DO SO.

THIS VOTE TONIGHT THAT I'M IN FAVOR OF, SENDS A STRONG SIGNAL TO THE COMMUNITY, WHEREVER YOU WERE ON THE FEE, WHETHER YOU SIGNED THE PETITION OR YOU DIDN'T, WHETHER YOU WERE ADAMANTLY OPPOSED OR YOU WEREN'T, THAT WE HEAR YOU.

I ENCOURAGE A REPEAL.

THANK YOU, CHAIR.

>> EVANS: COUNCILOR ZELENKA.

>> ZELENKA: YEAH, COUNCILOR KASHINSKY, I TOTALLY AGREE WITH EVERYTHING YOU SAID.

I THINK WHERE WE ENDED UP PRESERVED MOST OF WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO PRESERVE.

I DO THINK, HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE CONFUSING TO HAVE THIS BALLOT GO OUT IN NOVEMBER.

AND I TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU THAT ALL WE DID WAS KICK THE CAN DOWN THE ROAD. WE STILL HAVE THIS PROBLEM.

BUT I GUESS WHERE I COME DOWN IS, HOPEFULLY THIS PROCESS THAT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT HELPS US RESOLVE THAT.

IF IT DOESN'T, THEN WE'RE BACK IN THE SAME EXACT SPOT.

IF IT DOES, THEN IT'S WORTH THE EFFORT TO TRY.

AND I THINK HAVING A DIVISIVE AND EXPENSIVE AND POTENTIALLY CONFUSING BALLOT MEASURE OUT THERE ON A COMPLICATED, NOT WELL UNDERSTOOD MECHANISM, WHICH IS WHY I DIDN'T LIKE IT, ISN'T GOING TO SERVE US WELL.

>> EVANS: ANYBODY ELSE?

COUNCILOR LEECH.

>> LEECH: THANK YOU.

I AGREE WITH EVERYTHING EVERYONE HAS SAID.

AND I ALSO RELUCTANTLY WILL BE AGREEING WITH THIS MOTION TONIGHT.

I THINK, YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE AMAZING IF WE KEPT THIS ON THE BALLOT AND IT PASSED AND WE COULD FULLY FUND OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT AND ALL OUR ALTERNATIVE RESPONSE AND HONOR THE PEOPLE WHO PUT IT THERE.

BUT IT IS A VERY EXPENSIVE, YOU KNOW, THING TO DO.

IT DOES NOT HONOR THE WHOLE PROCESS THAT WE HAVE GONE THROUGH TO MAKE, YOU KNOW TO GET US TO HERE.

IT WAS DEFINITELY MIDDLE GROUND THAT WE REACHED.

WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO.

WE ARE DOING THAT WORK, AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO DO THAT WORK, AND WE'LL BE INVITING THE COMMUNITY IN AS MUCH AS WE CAN POSSIBLY DO SO TO KEEP MAKING GOOD DECISIONS MOVING FORWARD.

I APPRECIATE EVERYONE THAT HAS HAD INPUT TO THIS PROCESS AND HAS WORKED SO HARD TO GET US HERE TO THIS MOMENT.

SO THANK YOU.

>> EVANS: NOT SEEING ANYBODY ELSE IN THE QUEUE, I'M GOING TO ASK COUNCILOR LEECH TO MAKE THE MOTION.

>> THE MOTION IS ON THE TABLE.

>> EVANS: SORRY.

I'M THINKING I DID THAT BEFORE.

NEVER MIND.

LET'S JUST MOVE AHEAD.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND.

THOSE OPPOSED?

LOOKS LIKE IT PASSES 7 1.

WE ARE REPEALING THAT ORDINANCE.

I THINK WE'RE DONE UNTIL 7:30.

ALL RIGHT, FOLKS.

WE'RE OUT.