

ROUGHLY EDITED
TRANSCRIPT
CITY OF EUGENE
WORK SESSION
OCTOBER 8, 2025
12:00 P.M.

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This is NOT the official record of the proceedings.

* * *

>> Knudson: THANK YOU FOR JOINING US IN THIS VIRTUAL MEETING FORMAT.

THERE IS NO OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

THOSE WISHING TO ACCESS THE MEETING CAN DO SO BY WATCHING THE LIVESTREAM AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE.

THE BROADCAST ON COMCAST CHANNEL 21 OR CALLING INTO ONE OF THE PHONE NUMBERS LISTED FOR THIS MEETING ON THE PUBLIC WEBCAST AND MEETINGS MATERIALS WEBPAGE OF THE EUGENE CITY WEBSITE.

THANK YOU FOR JOINING US TODAY.

I NOW CALL THE OCTOBER 8, 2025 WORK SESSION OF THE EUGENE CITY COUNCIL TO ORDER.

I WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER TO INTRODUCE OUR FIRST TOPIC, A WORK SESSION ON AUTOMATIC LICENSE PLATE RECOGNITION, ALPR, CAMERA SYSTEM.

>> THANK YOU, CITY MANAGER MEDARY WILL BE HERE SHORTLY.

I WANT TO THANK CHIEF SKINNER AND THEIR TEAM FOR THE WORK THEY'VE DONE TO BE ABLE TO REALLY BRING THE BEST INFORMATION POSSIBLE TO SHARE WITH COUNCIL AS PART OF THIS CONVERSATION TODAY.

SO, WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO THE CHIEF.

>> THANK YOU, MATT.

LET ME GET THINGS UP TO SHARE HERE THAT WE NEED TO SHARE.

EVERYBODY SEE WHAT I'M SEEING?

DOES THAT LOOK GOOD EVERYBODY?

>> Knudson: LOOKS EXCELLENT, CHIEF.

>> CHRIS SKINNER, CHIEF OF POLICE FOR THE LAST SEVEN YEARS.

IT'S BEEN AN HONOR AND PRIVILEGE TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

MUCH-ANTICIPATED WORK SESSION.

A LOT OF GROUND TO COVER TODAY.

THROUGHOUT THIS PRESENTATION, IF I'M DOING MY JOB, I'LL HIT ON SOME POINTS THAT WILL BE REMINISCENT OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT WE'VE HEARD.

I'LL DO MY BEST TO ANSWER QUESTIONS THAT WE'VE HEARD THROUGHOUT PUBLIC COMMENT AT COUNCIL AS WELL AS POLICE COMMISSION.

I WON'T STOP AND POINT THOSE THINGS OUT.

BUT THAT INFORMATION WILL BE THERE FOR YOU AS WE MOVE FORWARD.

AS USUAL, I KNOW THAT SOME OF THE MOST IMPORTANT PIECES OF INFORMATION WILL COME AT THE END OF THE SESSION WITH COUNCIL'S QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS.

SO WE'LL TRY TO GET THERE AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN.

I ARRIVED HERE SEVEN YEARS AGO.

WHEN I GOT HERE TO THE CITY OF EUGENE, I REALIZED WE WERE A LITTLE BIT BEHIND ON HOW WE LEVERAGE OUR JOB IN THE PUBLIC LANDSCAPE.

WE WERE STILL HANDWRITING CITATIONS WHEN I GOT HERE, WHEN MANY MORE AGENCIES FOUND A MUCH MORE EFFICIENT AND TECH-SAVVY WAY TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

I'VE TRIED TO LOOK AT HOW CAN WE LEVERAGE TECHNOLOGY TO BE MORE EFFICIENT?

ESPECIALLY WHEN THINKING ABOUT THE LACK OF HUMAN RESOURCE AND THE LACK OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES THAT TECHNOLOGY HAS TO BE PART OF OUR SOLUTION WHEN WE THINK ABOUT HOW WE LEVERAGE RESOURCES.

ALPR IS A CRIME-FIGHTING TOOL.

THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT.

WE LOOKED AT BRINGING THIS TECHNOLOGY TO EUGENE, IT WAS SPECIFICALLY AROUND FIGHTING CRIME.

AND AMONGST OTHER THINGS THAT WE'RE DOING.

SO, THIS PARTICULAR TECH OPTION FOR US, THINK OF IT THROUGH THE LENS OF A POLICE DEPARTMENT TRYING TO FIND WAYS TO SOLVE AND FIGHT CRIME IN OUR AREA.

THE I-5 CORRIDOR, WE HAVE ALL TYPES OF CRIME PROBLEMS IN AND AROUND THE I-5 CORRIDOR.

THAT'S ONE OF THE BEST AND WORST THINGS.

WE HAVE ACCESSIBILITY BUT PEOPLE HAVE ACCESSIBILITY TO US, TOO.

THAT'S THE CHALLENGE WE'RE FACING.

BEHIND CRIMINAL TRESPASS, THERE'S A VARIETY OF PROPERTY CRIMES.

NUMBER TWO CRIME TREND WE HAVE IN OUR CITY IS AROUND PROPERTY CRIMES.

IT'S AGGRAVATED BY THAT I-5 CORRIDOR, INGRESS AND EGRESS.

WE THINK OF ALPR TECHNOLOGY, IT BECOMES SPECIFICALLY IMPORTANT TO BEING ABLE TO ADDRESS OUR PROPERTY CRIMES.

ONE THING IN A POLICE DEPARTMENT, WE TRY TO LEVERAGE OUR GRANTS.

THERE'S BEEN MUCH ABOUT THE GRANT THAT HAS BROUGHT THE ALPR TECHNOLOGY TO THIS PARTICULAR SPACE.

ON THE SLIDE YOU CAN SEE THAT THE OREGON CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION, CJC, HAS THIS GRANT FROM 23 TO 25.

MANY AGENCIES HAVE USED ALPR AS PART OF THEIR GRANT AWARDS, AMONGST OTHER THINGS.

BUT JUST A QUICK STOP HERE TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THIS IS A STATE-AWARDED GRANT.

THERE'S BEEN SOME TALK ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THIS WAS GENERAL FUND MONEY.

I WILL TELL YOU, THIS IS NOT GENERAL FUND MONEY WHEN IT COMES TO (INAUDIBLE).

THIS WAS ONE OF THE MORE ATTRACTIVE GRANTS.

THERE'S NOT A LOT OF REQUIREMENTS AROUND THIS GRANT.

NOT MATCHING.

THERE'S NO PROMISE TO KEEP THE PROGRAM ONGOING.

WE TOOK AN OPPORTUNITY TO LEVERAGE THIS GRANT MONEY, TO BRING THIS TECHNOLOGY TO EUGENE. NOT GOING TO TALK MUCH ABOUT THIS.

I WANT TO CALL ATTENTION TO YOUR TIMELINE ON THE GRANTS.

THERE HAS ALSO BEEN DISCUSSION ABOUT, YOU KNOW, WHEN DID WE START THIS JOURNEY ON BRINGING THIS TECHNOLOGY TO EUGENE.

YOU'LL SEE A VARIETY OF DATES ON THIS SLIDE.

BACK IN JULY OF '24, WE APPLIED FOR THE CJC ORGANIZED RETAIL THEFT GRANT.

AS YOU WALK THROUGH THE PROCESS OF GETTING THE GRANT, WE TOUCHED ALL THE BASES WHEN IT COMES TO THIS, UP TO AND INCLUDING OUR OWN CITY PROCESS, OUR OWN CITY INTERNAL PROCESS FOR PROCUREMENT THROUGH CITY'S OFFICE AND ISD, ESPECIALLY WITH THE SOFTWARE, DOING THE TYPES OF SECURITY CHECKS AND REVIEWS FOR THEM.

WE WERE FINALLY ABLE TO GET THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2025 FOR THE GRANT.

IT'S IMPORTANT FOR COUNCIL AND EVERYBODY WATCHING THIS PARTICULAR WORK SESSION TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS HAS BEEN A WORK IN PROGRESS AS FAR BACK AS JULY OF 2024, WHEN WE WANTED TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS OPPORTUNITY.

LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT SOFT FLOCK SAFETY IS.

A LOT OF ASSUMPTIONS AROUND WHAT'S CAPTURED.

WHAT YOU SEE ON YOUR SCREEN IS AN ACTUAL SCREEN GRAB OF WHAT THE TECHNOLOGY CAPTURES.

THESE ARE STILL PHOTOGRAPHY CAMERAS.

THEY ARE NOT VIDEO CAMERAS.

THEY DO NOT HAVE A PAN TILT OR ZOOM CAPABILITY.

THEY ARE POINTED DIRECTLY AT THE OPEN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ARE SIMPLY CAPTURED BY VEHICLE TRAFFIC AND SPECIFICALLY LICENSE PLATES.

IT SHOWS THE OPEN ROADWAY, THE VEHICLE.

IT CALLS OUT THE SPECIFIC LICENSE PLATE.

WE USED OUR OWN VEHICLES AS AN EXAMPLE HERE, GRABBING THEM AS WE'RE GOING THROUGH THE INTERSECTION OR CROSSING A CERTAIN LOCATION.

THE PHOTOGRAPH OR CAMERAS ARE NOT TRIGGERED BY PEDESTRIANS.

THEY'RE NOT TRIGGERED BY ANYTHING OTHER THAN SOMETHING AS LARGE AS A VEHICLE.

I WILL STOP HERE AND SAY THERE IS AN OUTSIDE CHANCE THAT IF A BICYCLE IS IN THE ROADWAY AND IT MIGHT BE -- IF IT HAPPENS TO BE TOWING, SAY, A TRAILER WITH A LOT OF DEBRIS IN IT, IT MIGHT BE LARGE ENOUGH TO TRIGGER THE CAMERA.

EVEN THEN, IT WOULD BE A STILL PHOTOGRAPH.

NONE OF THESE CAMERAS HAVE AI FACIAL RECOGNITION CAPABILITY.

I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE WORK WE'VE DONE WITH THE POLICE COMMISSION HAS PROHIBITED THE USE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION IN ANY OF THE TECHNOLOGY WE HAVE.

THAT'S REALLY GOOD WORK THAT THE POLICE COMMISSION HAS DONE OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS WITH SOME OF OUR OTHER TYPES OF CAMERA AND TECHNOLOGY PRODUCTS THAT WE HAVE.

WHETHER IT BE OUR DRONES, CAMERA TRAILERS THAT ARE SO WIDELY SUCCESSFUL AND SOUGHT AFTER IN THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

BUT NONE OF THOSE LEVERAGE AI OR FACIAL RECOGNITION.

I JUST WANTED TO SPECIFICALLY MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THESE ARE -- THIS IS THE TYPE OF PHOTOGRAPHS WE'RE

CAPTURING.

THIS IS THE ACTUAL DATA BEING STORED.

ACTUAL PICTURE.

DATE AND TIMESTAMPED WITH A LOCATION AND TIME OF DAY.

BACK OF THE VEHICLE, REAR OF THE VEHICLE.

AND A LICENSE PLATE.

NOW, YOU'LL SEE IN SOME OF THESE -- MAYBE WHAT YOU HAPPEN TO CAPTURE IS THE FRONT OF AN ONCOMING VEHICLE COMING THE OTHER DIRECTION.

THAT IS MORE SPECIFIC TO THE CONFIGURATION OF THAT INTERSECTION TO IT IS THAN WHAT THIS CAMERA IS ACTUALLY LOOKING TO CAPTURE.

OTHER CONVERSATIONS AND COMMENTS AROUND STORAGE.

AS YOU CAN SEE ON THIS SLIDE, FLOCK SAFETY ALPRs ARE STORED IN THE AMAZON WEB SERVICES GOVERNMENT CLOUD.

WITH THAT GOVERNMENT CLOUD SERVICE, IT HAS A VARIETY OF ACRONYMS.

SOC2 AND 3.

ISO IS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD FOR SECURITY MANAGEMENT.

IT GOES DOWN THROUGH A LAUNDRY LIST OF OTHER TYPES OF CERTIFICATIONS AROUND HIPAA AND FERPA, WHICH IS THE FAMILY EDUCATION RIGHTS PRIVACY ACT.

THE COMPLIANCE, FEDRAMP, ITAR AND NIST800, THOSE ARE FEDERAL COMPLIANCE WHEN IT COMES TO DELETION OF THE DATA ON THAT 30-DAY ROTATION.

AGAIN, A LOT OF DISCUSSION AROUND DATA SHARING AS WELL.

SO MANY PEOPLE ARE THINKING ABOUT, HEY, CHIEF, WE'RE NOT SO MUCH WORRIED ABOUT PEOPLE ACCESSING THE DATA AND HACKING

OUR DATA.

IF WE'RE PAYING ATTENTION, WE'VE GOT A VARIETY OF THINGS THAT OUR DATA IS OUT THERE THAT WE'RE HOPING DON'T GET HACKED.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, VARIETY OF BANKS HAVE BEEN VICTIMS OF SUCH HACKING WITH MUCH MORE ROBUST PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION THAN WHAT ALPR HAS.

WHICH, IN FACT, HAS NO PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION.

SO, THEY'VE MOVED AWAY FROM BEING LESS WORRIED ABOUT THE BREACH OF THE DATA AND MORE AROUND THE FLOCK SAFETY ALPRs AND THE NETWORK AND DATA SHARING.

THE THING THAT I THINK WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO POINT OUT HERE IS THAT WE HAVE OPTED OUT OF THE NATIONWIDE DATA SHARING NETWORK.

THAT MEANS NO ENTITY OUTSIDE ORGANIZE CAN ACCESS EPD'S IMAGE DATABASE.

THE ONLY OTHER AGENCIES THAT CAN ACCESS OUR DATABASE ARE THE ONES LISTED HERE ON YOUR SCREEN.

THE ONES LISTED HERE ARE CURRENT FLOCK CUSTOMERS.

WE HAVE THIS LOCKED DOWN TO JUST THE STATE OF OREGON.

THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO ME.

BECAUSE I FEEL MORE CONFIDENT IN HAVING OUR DATA SHARED WITH OTHER AGENCIES THAT ARE ALSO ADHERING TO SANCTUARY LAWS IN OUR STATE.

MIAMI 1A20.

HOPING THEY ARE ADHERING TO THOSE.

AND TRUSTING MY COLLEAGUES THAT THAT'S, IN FACT, WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

WE HAVE THIS VERY NARROW FOCUS TO THE STATE OF OREGON.

AND, AGAIN, HAVE OPTED OUT ANY KIND OF NATIONWIDE SEARCH WHEN IT COMES TO THE DATA THAT WE'RE COLLECTING.

THE CONTRACT WAS A REAL MAJOR BONE OF CONTENTION WITH MANY PEOPLE.

LIKELY, THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT THAT WE EVALUATED AND FELT COMFORTABLE WITH WAS A GENERAL CONTRACT THAT'S BEEN USED FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS NATIONWIDE.

WE RECOGNIZED THROUGH A LOT OF GOOD PUBLIC COMMENT AND QUESTIONS THAT MAYBE IT DOESN'T FIT OUR UNIQUE SITUATION HERE IN OREGON ESPECIALLY AS A SANCTUARY STATE AND CITY.

WE HEARD LOUD AND CLEAR FROM PEOPLE THAT THERE WERE ASPECTS OF THE CONTRACT THAT FELT TOO GENERAL IN NATURE.

I THINK THE WORD SQUISHY WAS USED, MORE THAN ONCE, WITH DIALOGUE WITH ME.

WE EMBARKED ON A PRETTY AMBITIOUS ENDEAVOR WITH FLOCK.

TO CALL THAT OUT MORE SUCCINCTLY, IT WAS LANGUAGE IDENTIFIED SUCH AS DISCLOSING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES AND WHO THOSE MIGHT BE.

AND, MAYBE MORE IMPORTANT, THE DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY OR EMERGENCY SITUATION.

AND WHO CAN ACTUALLY DECLARE AN EMERGENCY THAT WOULD BREAK OPEN THE SHARING OF THE DATA.

WE FELT LIKE THAT WAS A LITTLE BIT TOO GENERAL.

SO, WE WENT BACK TO FLOCK TO BETTER UNDERSTAND HOW WE MIGHT ADJUST AND CHANGE OUR CONTRACT LANGUAGE TO BETTER MEET OUR NEEDS HERE IN THE CITY OF EUGENE.

THROUGH A LOT OF DIALOGUE AND, REALLY, QUITE HONESTLY, A LOT OF HIGH LEVELS OF AGREEMENT FROM FLOCK WANTING TO GET US TO YES ON SOMETHING, WE HAVE ADJUSTED THE 5.3 LANGUAGE TO BE MUCH MORE ROBUST.

THE NEW 5.3 LANGUAGE IS IN FRONT OF YOU.

I'VE HIGHLIGHTED SOME OF THE THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN CHANGED AROUND THIS.

IT'S A MUCH MORE DETAILED 5.3 THAN YOU SAW ORIGINALLY.

TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION SPECIFICALLY TO SOME THINGS THAT I THINK NOT ONLY YOU BUT OUR PUBLIC WOULD WANT TO KNOW, WE TALK ABOUT APPROPRIATE LEGAL BASIS AND ADMINISTRATIVE WARRANTS ARE NOT AN APPROPRIATE LEGAL BASIS TO ASK US FOR OUR DATA.

MAYBE MORE IMPORTANTLY, EMBEDDED IN THERE IS THAT WE DON'T RECOGNIZE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AS ONE OF THOSE ENTITIES WITH AN APPROPRIATE LEGAL BASIS TO ASK US FOR OUR DATA.

WE ALSO REMOVED THE LANGUAGE AROUND EMERGENCY SITUATIONS COMPLETELY OUT OF CONTRACT.

SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE KIND OF THAT GENERALITY OF WHO CAN DECLARE AN EMERGENCY.

THAT'S BEEN A BIG CONVERSATION ABOUT WHO CAN DECLARE AN EMERGENCY AND WHETHER THAT ALLOWS ACCESS OF OUR DATA.

SO WE COMPLETELY REMOVED THAT FROM OUR CONTRACT.

GRATITUDE TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WHO HELPED US WITH THIS AND ISD, WHO HELPED US WITH THIS PARTICULAR PART, AND THE NEXT SLIDE YOU'RE GOING TO SEE.

WHICH WILL BE NEW LANGUAGE THAT WE HAVE IN THE CONTRACT.

SO WE TOOK IT FURTHER.

WE IDENTIFIED AREAS THAT WE WANTED NEW LANGUAGE IN THE CONTRACT.

AND THE AREAS OF NEW LANGUAGE REALLY ADDRESSED THREE KEY ISSUES.

ISSUE OF ANONYMIZED DATA.

AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THE LICENSE PLATE NUMBERS ARE STRIPPED FOR DATA, DE-IDENTIFICATION.

WE ALSO HAVE SECURITY BREACH OBLIGATIONS.

IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT, IT WAS JUST A BLANKET 72.

HOW THEY AGREED TO WITHIN 24 HOURS BUT NOT TO EXCEED 72 HOURS THAT THEY'RE RESPONDED AND MAKING THOSE REASONABLE EFFORTS.

THAT'S DIFFERENT.

THEN, OF COURSE, THE DATA STORAGE AND TRANSFER.

IT WAS IMPORTANT TO US TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WAS WITHIN THE LOWER 48 STATES AND WAS NOT BEING HOUSED SOMEWHERE ELSE.

AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FBI AND CJIS REQUIREMENTS, WHICH GOVERNS ALL THE DATA WE SHARE -- OR ALL THE DATA WE STORE AND COLLECT NEEDS TO BE CJIS COMPLIANT THROUGH THE FBI.

THAT'S CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEMS.

WE'VE GOT A LOT OF CONTROLS AROUND THAT.

WE WERE HAPPY WITH THE NEW LANGUAGE THAT FLOCK WAS WILLING TO PUT IN THERE TO HELP US FEEL BETTER ABOUT THESE THREE SPECIFIC AREAS.

THANK YOU TO COE AND ISD.

THERE WERE CONCERNS THAT WE WANTED TO ADDRESS ON THEIR BEHALF.

LET'S STOP HERE AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT.

THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF TALK ABOUT WHAT IT IS THAT THE OFFICERS ARE USING THIS FOR?

WHAT ARE THEY SEEING?

HOW ARE THEY LEVERAGING THIS?

THIS IS A BUSY SLIDE.

A COUPLE OF AREAS I WANT TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO.

FIRST THING I WOULD CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO IS THE TWO HIGHLIGHTED AREAS.

THIS IS THE VIEW THAT THE OFFICERS SEE WHEN THEY WANT TO SEARCH FOR A LICENSE PLATE.

REALLY, IF YOU THINK ABOUT THE DATA THAT'S BEING STORED, THINK OF IT AS BEING THOUSANDS OF LICENSE PLATE PICTURES BEING STORED THAT ARE, REALLY, LARGELY USELESS AS THEY SIT IN THEIR DATABASE UNTIL WE ASK THEM TO BE USEFUL.

WE HAVE TO ASK THE SYSTEM THE RIGHT QUESTION BEFORE ANY OF THE DATA IS RELEASED.

THAT RIGHT QUESTION IS, HAVE YOU SEEN THIS PLATE?

IN ORDER FOR US TO DO THAT, THERE'S TWO THINGS WE HAVE TO ACCOMPLISH.

NUMBER ONE ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE OF THAT HIGHLIGHTED AREA IS THE REASON FOR THE SEARCH.

THAT DROP-DOWN MENU HAS A HANDFUL OF REASONS THAT THEY HAVE TO CLICK ON, ALL OF WHICH -- EXCEPT FOR TWO -- ARE CRIMINAL REASONS, LIKE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORY REASONS.

THERE'S A MISSING PERSONS DROP-DOWN IN THERE.

WE CERTAINLY WANT TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY VEHICLES THAT HAVE MISSING OR ENDANGERED PERSONS.

THEN THERE'S ADMINISTRATIVE TEST OPTION FOR US TO ADMINISTRATIVELY TEST OUR SIDE OF THE SYSTEM.

BUT MAYBE MORE IMPORTANT, ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE HIGHLIGHTED AREA IS THAT OFFICERS HAVE TO LEGITIMIZE THE REASON FOR THEIR SEARCH.

THEY WOULD PUT IN A CASE NUMBER OR A CAD NUMBER,

COMPUTER-AIDED DISPATCH NUMBER, THAT LINKS THE SEARCH BACK TO A LEGITIMATE LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSE.

THINK OF IT FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE.

ANYTHING WE DO IN THIS SYSTEM, ANYTHING THAT ANYBODY DOES IN THE SYSTEM, EVEN WITH THE DATA SHARING WE HAVE WITHIN THE STATE, NEEDS TO BE -- WE DEMAND IT HAS A LEGITIMATE LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSE.

AND WE HAVE TO ARTICULATE THAT REASON.

THIS IS THE AREA ON OUR PORTAL THAT HELPS US ARTICULATE THAT.

WE HAVE (?) THAT REQUIRES THIS INFORMATION.

WE'RE SEEING HIGH LEVELS OF COMPLIANCE FROM OUR OFFICERS WITH RESPECT TO DOING THAT.

IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR US, MUCH LIKE THE OTHER DATABASES THAT WE ACCESS ON A DAILY BASIS THAT ALREADY HAVE AUDIT MECHANISMS KIND OF BUILT IN PLACE TO MILWAUKEE SURE WE ARE ABLE TO LINK BACK OUR REQUEST FOR THE DATA TO A LEGITIMATE LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSE.

ALSO POINT OUT THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND PORTION.

THROUGH SOME OF THE WORK THAT OUR SENATOR, RON WYDEN HAS DONE WITH FLOCK SAFETY IS THAT THERE ARE FILTERS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT IN PLACE THAT PREVENT SEARCH.

WHERE IT LOOKS AS IF IT IS ANCHORED TO UM GRADUATION OR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH.

THAT IS A PROPRIETARY TYPE OF ALGORITHM THAT THEY BUILT IN THAT WILL IMMEDIATELY ALERT AND/OR HALT THE SEARCH IN THE EVENT SOMEONE IS TRYING TO USE IT FOR THAT PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

I APPRECIATE THE WORK THE SENATOR HAS DONE ON THIS AND THE WORK THAT FLOCK HAS DONE TO IDENTIFY A WAY TO FILTER OUT THOSE PARTICULAR REQUESTS.

CONTINUING WITH ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT, THERE IS A

TRANSPARENCY PORTAL THAT IS PUBLIC FACING.

THIS IS UP AND RUNNING NOW.

MANY OF OUR PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS HAVE COME AS A RESULT OF PEOPLE KIND OF DIGGING IN AND AROUND THIS TRANSPARENCY PORTAL AND ASKING QUESTIONS TO BETTER UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS THEY'RE SEEING.

WE ARE CURRENTLY IN ONGOING DISCUSSIONS WITH THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE ON HOW THEY MIGHT HELP BRING HIGHER LEVELS OF ACCOUNTABILITY, MORE ROBUST ACCOUNTABILITY TO THIS.

I'M REALLY PLEASED WITH MY DISCUSSIONS WITH THE NEW AUDITOR, CRAIG RENETZKY, ON HOW WE THINK THEY MIGHT HAVE A ROLE IN THIS.

AND CERTAINLY ANYTHING, WHETHER IT'S THIS DATABASE OR ANY OTHER DATABASE.

IF WE GET A SENSE THAT SOMEBODY HAS USED THE DATABASE INAPPROPRIATELY, OUTSIDE OF OUR POLICY OR FOR PERSONAL USE, WE HAVE A VERY ROBUST MECHANISM TO IDENTIFY THAT, TO ALLEGATE AND BUILD ALLEGATIONS AROUND THAT USE AND PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS.

WE ALREADY HAVE THIS HERE IN EUGENE, HOLDING OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE.

I'M VERY CONFIDENT THAT ANY MISUSE OF THIS DATABASE AS WELL AS OTHERS THAT WE HAVE A SYSTEM THAT WILL CATCH THAT.

AND WE'LL BE ABLE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND HOW THAT HAPPENED.

MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS WE NEED TO MAKE, TO MAKE SURE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN AGAIN.

YOU'VE HEARD ME REFERENCE OTHER DATABASES AND THE INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE.

AND EVERY DAY IN THE WORK THAT WE DO, WE ARE ACCESSING DATA.

WE ARE AN INDUSTRY THAT IS DATA HEAVY.

WE ARE CALLED (INAUDIBLE) INFORMATION THAT IS PART OF OUR INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC WORK TOOLS, BUT OTHERS MAYBE DON'T KNOW ABOUT IT.

THIS PIE CHART KIND OF GIVES YOU A SENSE AND BRINGS SOME CONTEXT TO HOW OFTEN WE'RE LEVERAGING DATA IN OUR DAILY WORK.

THIS IS OVER A 30-DAY AVERAGE.

YOU CAN SEE THE ALPR DATA IS AT 1% OF ALL THE DATA THAT WE ARE LOOKING TO DO.

A NEW PIECE OF EQUIPMENT, WE ARE CERTAINLY ENAMORED WITH IT, AND TRYING TO USE IT.

IT'S A CRIME-FIGHTING TOOL.

WE'RE TRYING TO CATCH SUSPECTS WHEN IT COMES TO THIS.

STUDIES SUGGEST ABOUT 70% OF ALL CRIMINAL ACTIVITY HAS A VEHICLE INVOLVED AT SOME POINT.

THERE'S TWO THINGS WHEN WE'RE DOING INVESTIGATIONS THAT WE'RE ALWAYS ASKING PEOPLE.

WHAT IS THE SUSPECT'S DESCRIPTION?

AND WHAT'S A VEHICLE DESCRIPTION ASSOCIATED WITH THE SUSPECT?

AS WE GO THROUGH THAT, WE WILL CONTINUE TO USE ALPR.

BUT IT'S NOT BEING USED NEARLY AS MUCH AS YOU MIGHT THINK.

WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT COMPUTER-AIDED DISPATCH AND ALL THE INFORMATION WE'RE GATHERING THERE, GOING BACK THROUGH CAD AND HISTORY AND WHAT WE'VE SEEN FROM DIFFERENT ADDRESSES AS FAR AS TYPES OF SERVICE WE'RE GOING.

HUGE OFFICER SAFETY ISSUE, WHERE WE'RE GOING BACK, TO SEE IF THERE'S BEEN SOME VIOLENCE THAT OCCURRED IN THE PAST BEFORE WE SHOW UP.

OUR RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, RMS AT THE LOWER PART OF THIS GRAPH, HAS ALL OF OUR POLICE REPORTS.

THIS IS WHERE PEOPLE WITH (INAUDIBLE) WE ARE PULLING RECORDS OUT TO BE ABLE TO FILL THOSE REQUESTS.

THE OPS CENTER IS A PORTAL WE USE TO HELP LEVERAGE REGIONAL DATA AROUND SOMEBODY THAT HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN A LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTION IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION.

LASTLY, NCIC AND DMV, THOSE ARE DATABASES WE ACCESS TO FIND OUT IF PEOPLE ARE WANTED.

WHETHER THEY HAVE VALID DRIVER'S LICENSE.

AND WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT THE ALPR IS THAT THE ALPR DATABASE IS NOT CONNECTED TO ANY OF THE OTHER DATABASES THAT HAVE PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION.

SO IT'S A STAND-ALONE DATABASE.

IT HAS NO PII.

NOR DOES IT CHECK THE OTHER DATABASES FOR PII.

SOME WOULD SAY, CHIEF, YOU TOOK A PICTURE OF A LICENSE PLATE.

DON'T YOU AUTOMATICALLY KNOW WHOSE DRIVING THE CAR?

AND THE ANSWER IS NO.

BECAUSE IT DOES NOT CROSS REFERENCE THE LICENSE PLATE AGAINST OREGON DMV, OR ANY STATE'S DMV, TO SEE WHO MIGHT BE THE REGISTERED OWNER.

IT IS REALLY DEVOID OF ANY PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION.

JUST A WAY TO KIND OF LEVEL SET HOW MUCH WE USE THIS DATA.

LAST COUPLE OF SLIDES.

THEN WE CAN GET TO SOME OF THE DISCUSSION.

THANK YOU FOR BEARING WITH ME.

IT'S A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT WE'RE TRYING TO GET THROUGH.

HEARD A LOT OF VOICES OVER THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS IN A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT VENUES.

YOU'VE HEARD FROM ME.

I'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO CHAT WITH COUNCILORS.

I APPRECIATE THOSE COUNCILORS WHO HAVE TAKEN IT UPON THEMSELVES TO COME AND CHAT WITH ME ABOUT THIS.

I'M ALWAYS OPEN TO HAVING THOSE CONVERSATIONS.

WE HEARD A LOT FROM THE COMMUNITY IN PUBLIC FORUMS AND PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

ONE VOICE WE HAVEN'T HEARD IS THE VOICE OF THE VICTIMS THAT ARE BEING SERVED BY THIS TECHNOLOGY.

THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF THESE NUMBERS THAT YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN, THESE ARE VICTIMS THAT HAVE HAD THEIR REALLY TOUGH AND EMOTIONAL MOMENT IN TIME, THEIR BAD DAY, THAT ALPR HAS BEEN ABLE TO RESOLVE THAT FOR THEM.

YOU CAN SEE DOWN THE LIST OF TYPES OF THINGS THAT ALPR HAS HELPED US TO SOLVE.

WHEN WE THINK ABOUT THIS AS FIGHTING CRIME.

TRYING TO CREATE A SENSE OF SAFETY.

TRYING TO BRING A SENSE OF JUSTICE TO OUR VICTIMS.

THESE INDIVIDUAL NUMBERS SHOULD BE SEEN AS INDIVIDUAL VICTIMS THAT ARE HAPPENING APPROXIMATE JUST POINT OUT ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE THAT 63 OF THESE ARRESTS HAVE BEEN FELONY ARRESTS.

THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER.

WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT PETTY CRIMES WE'RE USING THIS FOR.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT REALLY SERIOUS CRIMES THIS IS BEING USED FOR.

THE HIGHEST VOLUME OF THOSE CRIMES IS STOLEN VEHICLE.

WE ARE ABLE TO RECOVERY STOLEN VEHICLES AT AN UNPRECEDENTED BASE, UNLIKE WE'VE EVER BEEN ABLE TO BEFORE, BECAUSE OF HOW QUICKLY WE'RE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THOSE VEHICLES.

AS I SWITCH TO THIS LAST SCREEN, WE TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE WAYS WE TRY TO PUBLICIZE THE POSITIVE OUTCOMES AND SUCCESSES WE'VE SEEN.

YOU'LL SEE A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT THINGS ON THIS SCREEN.

EVERYTHING FROM THE EARLY STAGES, BEING ABLE TO HELP SOLVE A SUSPECT VEHICLE AND HOMICIDE THAT HAPPENED OUT IN THE COUNTY TO SUSPECTS IN BURGLARIES, MEN ASKINGS, AND EVEN AN ALLEGED RAPE SUSPECT WITH A TEENAGE VICTIM.

THESE ARE REALLY IMPACTFUL THINGS THAT THIS TOOL HAS BEEN ABLE TO BRING RESOLUTION TO THESE VICTIMS.

BUT WITH THE VOLUME OF STOLEN VEHICLES, JUST IMAGINE HOW TOUGH THAT IS FOR A FAMILY.

MAYBE THAT'S THEIR ONLY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION STOLEN OUT OF THEIR DRIVE WAY.

TO BE ABLE TO RETURN A CAR BACK TO THE FAMILY BEFORE IT GETS COMPLETELY DESTROYED -- AND THAT VEHICLE MIGHT BE PART OF THEIR LIVELIHOOD -- IT'S REALLY REWARDING WHEN IT COMES TO FINDING A WAY THROUGH TECHNOLOGY TO SERVE VICTIMS IN OUR COMMUNITY THROUGH A VICTIM-CENTERED APPROACH.

I WANTED TO REMIND YOU THAT THERE'S PART OF THAT STORY THAT SOMETIMES GETS MISSED.

I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT ON ME TO MAKE SURE THAT I HELP EVERYONE IN THE COMMUNITY.

WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO STOP SHARING MY SCREEN.

MAYOR, I'LL TURN IT BACK OVER TO YOU, TO HELP FACILITATE

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS.

>> Knudson: THANK YOU, CHIEF.

VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THAT PRESENTATION AND THE WORK THAT EPD HAS BEEN DOING.

YOU MENTIONED ALSO WORK WITH STAFF, OUR CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND ISD.

AND VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THE FOCUS ON ADDRESSING QUESTIONS ABOUT THE USE OF THIS TECHNOLOGY IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THINGS THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE DIFFERENT HERE FROM WHAT HAS BEEN REPORTED IN OTHER LOCATIONS.

AND ALSO LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS CONTINUED DISCUSSION.

WITH THAT, I'LL OPEN THE FLOOR FOR COUNCIL DISCUSSION.

COUNSELOR YEH?

>> Yeh: THANKS, MAYOR.

THANKS, CHIEF.

I APPRECIATE YOU COMING AND DOING THIS WITH US TODAY.

I'M JUST GOING TO GO THROUGH THE QUESTIONS FROM A WORK POLL THAT DIDN'T MAKE IT IN, OR AT LEAST I DIDN'T CATCH THEM.

MY FIRST ONE IS -- YOU COVERED A LOT OF GROUND.

SO THAT WAS GREAT.

WHAT'S THE COST OF THE SYSTEM TO US ONCE THE GRANT IS OVER?

>> THAT'S A REALLY GOOD QUESTION.

I'LL HAVE TO GET BACK TO YOU.

I'VE BEEN SO FOCUSED ON HOW MUCH WE WERE AWARDED THE GRANT FOR.

THE CAMERAS ARE OWNED BY FLOCK.

SO, LET ME DO SOME QUICK RESEARCH AND FIND OUT POST-GRANT HOW MUCH IT COSTS US.

WHAT I WILL SAY IS CJC HAS OPENED THE SECOND ROUND OF GRANTS.

AND THE EXPECTATION IS THAT THEY ARE EXPECTING US TO RESUBMIT.

SO IT CONTINUES TO BE GRANT FUNDED INTO THE FUTURE.

>> Yeh: GREAT.

SO, I WAS READING ABOUT -- OKAY.

SO, YOU WERE EXPLAINING THAT THE PERSON PUTS IN A REASON FOR THE REQUEST AND A CASE NUMBER.

THEN THAT CASE NUMBER GET CHECKED BEFORE THEY ARE GETTING ACCESS TO THE DATA?

OR IS IT MORE OF AN AUTOMATIC THING IF YOU PUT IN THE CASE NUMBER, YOU PUT IN THE REASON, YOU GET YOUR DATA?

AND THE CHECK HAPPENS LATER?

>> YEAH.

THE WAY IT WORKS, BECAUSE OF THE DYNAMIC NATURE OF THE WORK THAT WE DO, AND SOMETIMES THE EXIGENCY.

WE ALMOST WANT TO START LOOKING FOR THAT VEHICLE.

THE CASE NUMBER GOES IN, WE WERE ABLE TO SEE THAT DATA.

ON THE BACK END, WE CAN GO BACK AND VALIDATE -- IF NEED BE, WE WILL VALIDATE THAT CASE NUMBER -- FOR US, ESPECIALLY INTERNALLY, ARE SEQUENTIAL.

IN SOME RESPECTS IT'S A BIT OBVIOUS THAT IT'S A RIGHT AND CORRECT CASE NUMBER.

BUT, YES, IT'S ON THE BACK END THAT WE WOULD CHECK THAT.

>> Yeh: I'M NOT SO MUCH CONCERNED ABOUT LOCAL FOLKS.

WE HAVE AN EXCELLENT OVERSIGHT SYSTEM HERE IN EUGENE.

AND I THINK YOU DO AN EXCELLENT JOB OF HIRING OFFICERS THAT ARE DOING THE JOB FOR THE RIGHT REASONS AND HAVE EXCELLENT TRAINING.

I'M MORE CONCERNED ABOUT SOMEONE SOMEWHERE ELSE.

ESSENTIALLY, SOMEONE COULD PUT IN AN AUTHORIZED REASON AND PUT IN A NUMBER THAT IS A CASE NUMBER.

AND THEY WILL BE ABLE TO ACCESS DATA.

WE COULD GO BACK LATER AND VERIFY WHETHER THAT WAS ACCURATE, BUT THEY WOULD HAVE THE DATA ALREADY?

>> JUST WITH THE AGENCIES WE'RE SHARING WITH.

AND THAT WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT, WE DO THAT WITH.

THE INTERESTING PIECE OF THIS THAT I WOULD SHARE WITH COUNCIL IS RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE IT OPENED UP TO ALLOW FOR DATA SHARING WITH THOSE OTHER AGENCIES.

WE CAN BE -- WE CAN LOCK THIS DOWN SO SPECIFIC TO IT JUST BEING EUGENE ONLY, IF THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE FEEL IS IMPORTANT FOR US HERE LOCALLY.

I WOULD SUGGEST MORE OF A COUNTY APPROACH.

BUT WE HAVE THE SCALABILITY TO BE ABLE TO LOCK THAT DOWN, TO MAKE SURE THAT OUTSIDE AGENCIES CAN'T ACCESS OUR DATA.

>> Yeh: OKAY.

SO, MY OTHER QUESTION IS -- AND I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS -- I UNDERSTAND THERE ARE HOT LISTS.

I WONDER IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN HOW THAT WORKS AND HOW THINGS GET ON THE HOT LIST.

AND HOW OFTEN ARE THEY CHECKED TO MAKE SURE IT'S NOT, LIKE, OLD REQUESTS?

>> THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION.

ESSENTIALLY, A HOT LIST IS A LIST THAT WE WOULD ENTER IN.

MOSTLY, IT'S VALIDATED THROUGH, OBVIOUSLY, A CASE NUMBER AND MAKING SURE THERE'S A LEGITIMATE LAW ENFORCEMENT REASON.

OUR SUPERVISORS ARE TAKING A LOOK AT THESE HOT LIST AND VALIDATING THAT'S A REASON TO HAVE THEM ON THE HOT LIST.

MANY HOT LISTS ARE LOADING THE STOLEN VEHICLES THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR.

REALLY, IT'S OUR JOB TO MAKE SURE AS THE VEHICLE IS -- MUCH LIKE IN NCIC, A STOLEN VEHICLE IS ENTERED INTO THAT SYSTEM.

THE MINUTE WE RECOVER A STOLEN VEHICLE, WE HAVE TO GO BACK INTO THOSE SYSTEMS AND CLEAR THEM OUT.

SO, THIS IS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE IN LEDS AND NCIC FOR THAT PARTICULAR DATABASE AS WELL.

>> Yeh: HAVE YOU GUYS INVESTIGATED WHETHER THERE'S ANY LIABILITY TO THE CITY IF THERE IS A SEARCH THAT ENDS UP BEING SOMETHING THAT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN DONE?

>> NO, IN THE SENSE THAT I WOULD HAVE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND A SEARCH THAT SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN DONE.

IF AN OFFICER IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE A LAWFUL LAW ENFORCEMENT REASON FOR THE SEARCH, THAT WOULD BE A VARIETY OF THOSE THINGS.

I CAN GO BACK IN HISTORY AND TALK ABOUT FOR INSTANCE.

AS LONG AS WE'VE HAD ACCESS TO DATABASES, LAW ENFORCEMENT HAS HAD, FROM TIME TO TIME, PEOPLE LEVERAGING THE DATABASES FOR PERSONAL REASONS.

AND AS WE ARE MADE AWARE OF THOSE PARTICULAR SITUATIONS, THE APPROPRIATE DISCIPLINARY PROCESS ENSUES.

AS FAR AS LIABILITY FOR UTILIZING THE SEARCH, I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT.

>> Yeh: THAT'S FAIR.

SO, I JUST WILL BE HONEST.

I DIDN'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT THESE CAMERAS WHEN THIS FIRST CAME UP.

AND I'VE LEARNED A LOT OVER THE TIME.

I'VE LEARNED A LOT TODAY.

THIS IS REALLY HELPFUL.

MY MAIN CONCERN WITH THIS IS I LIKE THAT YOU WENT BACK AND GOT SOME -- PROBABLY I NEED A SECOND ROUND.

WE'LL SEE.

THAT YOU GOT SOME CHANGES TO THE CONTRACT.

THEY SEEM LIKE GREAT CHANGES.

WHAT IT BOILS DOWN TO IS I'M NOT SURE I CAN TRUST THIS COMPANY.

THEY SEEM TO HAVE A TRACK RECORD OF CONTRACTS BEING VIOLATED.

MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS HAVE FOUND THAT THEIR DATA WAS USED IN A WAY THAT THE CONTRACT -- IT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN, BUT IT DID.

SO I'M NOT SURE HOW I CAN TRUST THIS CONTRACT IF BOTH PARTIES AREN'T NECESSARILY VYING TO STICK WITH IT.

I'M CURIOUS TO HEAR WHAT PEOPLE HAVE TO SAY.

THAT'S IT FOR NOW.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR KEATING?

COUNCILOR KEATING?

>> Keating: MAYOR, SORRY, TAKING MYSELF OFF MUTE AND SCRIBBLING NOTES.

I WAS SURPRISED I'M NUMBER TWO IN THE QUEUE.

OKAY.

CAN MY TIME START NOW, PLEASE?

CHIEF, THANK YOU.

I'VE GOT A LOT OF QUESTIONS.

I'M PROBABLY GOING TO NEED A SECOND ROUND.

I SHARE COUNCILOR YEH'S CONCERNS.

LESS ABOUT THE GUARD RAILS THAT HAVE BEEN SET UP LOCALLY.

AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE, FOR THE TIME BEING -- UNTIL THIS CONTRACT RUNS OUT -- YES, I APPRECIATE YOUR PERSPECTIVE, YOUR LEADERSHIP AND YOUR SUGGESTION THAT KEEPING OUR DATA WITHIN OUR OWN COMMUNITY IS PRUDENT.

I'M CONCERNED ABOUT NEIGHBORING JURISDICTIONS UP AND DOWN THE I-5 CORRIDOR WHO HAVE SHARED DATA WITH EITHER A THIRD-PARTY ENTITY OR THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

I, TOO, LIKE COUNCILOR YEH, EXPRESSED CONCERNS ABOUT THE DATA GOING TO OTHER AGENCIES.

EPD MAY NOT RECOGNIZE CERTAIN FEDERAL AGENCIES OR APPROPRIATE ENTITIES TO COLLECT AND STORE THE DATA BY LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, I'M GREATLY CONCERNED THAT SUCH A SENSITIVE SECURITY SURVEILLANCE CONTRACT WAS NOT SHARED WITH THIS BODY ON THE FRONT END.

AT THIS TIME IN HISTORY, WHEN THERE'S SO MUCH -- SO MANY QUESTIONS REVOLVING AROUND FOR-PROFIT THIRD PARTIES ACCESSING OUR DATA.

SO MANY CONCERNS SHARED WITH COUNCIL ABOUT A SURVEILLANCE STATE.

AGENCIES OPERATING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

OR EVEN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH ITSELF THUMBING THEIR NOSE AT RECENT JUDICIAL DECISIONS RIGHT HERE IN OREGON.

POSSIBLY ACCESSING OUR DATA, OUR MOVEMENT IS DEEPLY ALARMING.

CHIEF, COULD YOU EXPAND ON YOUR THOUGHT PROCESS AROUND, A, THE MISUSED GUARDRAILS THAT CAN HOLD BAD ACTORS ACCOUNTABLE?

B, WHAT PREVENTS THIRD-PARTY ENTITIES OR FEDERAL AGENCIES FROM USING PASSWORDS OF LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT?

AND, C, JUST YESTERDAY, THERE WAS AN ARTICLE PUBLISHED ON 404 MEDIA THAT ILLUSTRATED THAT A WOMAN IN TEXAS -- THOUGH THOSE FILTERS FOR SEARCHES EXIST THAT YOU REFERENCED ABOUT SEARCHING FOR IMMIGRATION OR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, JUST YESTERDAY THERE WERE MEDIA REPORTS THAT A WOMAN IN TEXAS WAS TARGETED BY POLICE.

APPARENTLY CONSIDERING REPORTING OR BUILDING A CASE OF DEATH INVESTIGATION INTO ABORTION.

CAN YOU COMMENT ON THOSE PIECES, PLEASE?

I WILL NEED A SECOND ROUND, MAYOR.

>> COUNCILOR, I'LL CERTAINLY DO MY BEST.

YOU ARE THE MASTER OF MULTI-PART QUESTIONS.

SO I'LL DO MY VERY BEST.

ONE OF THE THING THAT'S NOT LOST ON ME IS THIS NERVOUSNESS AROUND THE COMPANY.

AND WHAT I'VE TRIED TO ASK PEOPLE TO DO IS SEPARATE THE BENEFITS OF THE TECHNOLOGY.

THE USEFULNESS OF THE TECHNOLOGY.

AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT CAN BE SEPARATED OUT FROM THE NERVOUSNESS OR ANXIETY AROUND THE COMPANY.

AND SO I CERTAINLY AM AWARE OF THAT.

LIKE IN MANY THINGS IN OUR PERSONAL LIVES AND OUR PROFESSIONAL LIVES, WE ENTER INTO CONTRACTS.

WE TRY TO GET OUR CONTRACTS TO A PLACE WHERE WE FEEL LIKE WE CAN BOTH LIVE WITH THEM.

AT SOME LEVEL, THERE'S SOME LEVEL OF TRUST THAT BOTH PARTIES ARE GOING TO ADHERE TO THEIR SIDE OF THE CONTRACT.

I HAVE READ SOME OF THE PUBLICATIONS.

I HAVE NOT ANY COLLEAGUES OF MINE BEEN ABLE TO ARTICULATE REAL-WORLD EXAMPLES OF HOW THE DATA HAS BEEN BREACHED OR LEVERAGED.

ALPR HAS BEEN AROUND FOR DECADES.

MANY CITIES AND STATES IN SANCTUARY CITIES HAVE BEEN USING ALPR.

THERE'S A REALLY GOOD NAACP LETTER OUT THERE FROM OAKLAND NAACP IN ALPR.

IT TAKES THE BIAS OUT OF SOME OF THE WORK THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT DOES.

PART OF WHAT I'VE TRIED TO STAY FOCUS ON AS YOUR CHIEF IS TO TRY MY BEST TO BE MINDFUL OF SOME OF THE HYPOTHETICALS THAT COULD HAPPEN.

BUT HAVE TO MAKE MY DECISIONS AND DECISION MAKING DEEPLY ROOTED IN THE PRACTICAL.

WHAT I SEE IS THE BENEFIT OF THE COMMUNITY.

THE LAST THING I WOULD SAY IS IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CASE

THAT HAPPENED IN TEXAS -- I THINK IT WAS EITHER JACKSON COUNTY, IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY.

THE PIECES THAT AREN'T OFTEN SHARED IS, YES, IN FACT, FOR THE REASON OF THE SEARCH, THE WORD ABORTION SHOWED UP IN THAT.

IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE, IF IT'S THE SAME ONE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, COUNCILOR KEATING, IF YOU TALK TO LAW ENFORCEMENT PROFESSIONALS IN THAT JURISDICTION, IT WILL BE A SITUATION WHERE THE YOUNG LADY, THE WOMAN -- IT WAS A SELF-ADMINISTERED TYPE PROCEDURE.

AND THE FAMILY ASKED THE ALPR TO BE USED TO FIND HER BECAUSE HER SAFETY.

I THINK THERE'S SO MANY THINGS THAT WE'RE TRYING TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION WITH REGARDS TO THE FACTS IN EACH OF THESE CASES THAT WE SEE IN THE MEDIA.

RIGHT NOW, WE'RE TRYING TO BE SOLELY FOCUSED ON (INAUDIBLE).

>> Knudson: COUNSELOR ZELENKA?

>> Zelenka: YEAH.

THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION, CHIEF.

THAT WAS CHOCK FULL OF INFORMATION.

I HAVE THE UTMOST FAITH IN YOU AND TRUST IN YOU AS OUR CHIEF, EPD AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

YOU'RE DOING AN AMAZING JOB KEEPING US SAFE.

I APPLAUD ALL YOUR ACTIONS, ESPECIALLY WITH I.C.E. RECENTLY.

I APPLAUD YOUR TREMENDOUS USE IN LEVERAGING TECHNOLOGY.

I THINK IT'S BEEN SIMPLY AMAZING, IN BRINGING US FORWARD.

BUT MY CONCERN AND SKEPTICISM IS NOT REFLECTIVE OF ALL YOUR EFFORTS AND YOUR INTENT, AND THE WORK THAT YOU GUYS DO.

CONTEXT OF WHERE WE ARE TODAY IS REALLY IMPORTANT HERE.

AND IN MY VIEW OF THIS PROGRAM, A POLICY.

MY CRITICISM STEMS FROM LACK OF TRUST WITH THE FLOCK CORPORATION.

THEIR ACTIONS AND PROTECTIONS OF DATA, I FIND VERY TROUBLING.
EVEN WITH STRONGER CONTRACT LANGUAGE.

I DON'T HAVE TRUST IN THEM.

MORE IMPORTANTLY, I'VE BECOME MORE AND MORE CONCERNED WITH OUR MARCH TOWARD AUTHORITARIANISM WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION.

I DIDN'T START THERE.

OVER THE LAST EIGHT MONTHS, I'VE BECOME MORE AND MORE ALARMED BY THEIR ACTIONS.

AND IT'S IN THAT CONTEXT THAT I VIEW THIS SURVEILLANCE POLICY.

AND I'M CONCERNED WITH WHAT HAPPENS TO THIS DATA AND WHO WILL GET ACCESS TO IT, AND HOW THE SURVEILLANCE COULD BE USED AND EXPANDED.

AND HOW IT MAY BE ABUSED BY OTHERS, NOT BY US IN EPD.

SO WHAT I SEE IS A VERY TROUBLING MARCH TOWARD AUTHORITARIANISM.

I DON'T WANT TO EVEN COLLECT THE DATA OR THE IMAGES.

THE ANALOGY I USE IS THE FACT THAT WE DON'T COLLECT ANY OF THE DATA ON IMMIGRANTS.

WE SIMPLY DO NOT COLLECT DATA ON PEOPLE'S STATUS AND THEN OTHER FOLKS CAN'T GET ACCESS TO IT AND THEY CAN'T ABUSE IT.

AND IF WE DON'T COLLECT IT, THEY CAN'T GET IT.

DESPITE THE EFFORTS OF THE CHIEF, THE STAFF AND ALL THE CONTRACT LANGUAGE, IT REALLY COMES DOWN TO A MATTER OF

TRUST FOR ME BEYOND EPD.

I SIMPLY DON'T TRUST FLOCK.

I DON'T TRUST THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION, GIVEN WHERE WE ARE TODAY.

AND CONTINUING WITH THIS PROGRAM JUST ISN'T WORTH THE BENEFITS THAT WE'RE SEEING.

I'M VERY, VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THIS.

YOU DIDN'T ADDRESS THE TERMINATION CLAUSE IN THE CONTRACT.

CAN YOU ADDRESS THAT, CHIEF?

WHAT DOES IT SAY WOULD BE THE PROCESS FOR TERMINATING YOUR CONTRACT?

>> THIS IS WHERE I'M GOING TO APOLOGIZE.

I HAD THAT SITTING IN MY INBOX.

I SPENT ALL MY DISCRETIONARY TIME TRYING TO GET MY TECHNOLOGY TO WORK.

I KNOW IT'S SITTING IN MY INBOX.

THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HAS WEIGHED IN ON THAT.

WHAT I WILL SAY IS THAT LIKELY THERE'S A TERMINATION CLAUSE.

KATHRYN MIGHT BE ABLE TO BE MY PHONE-A-FRIEND HERE.

DURING THE CJC GRANT, IT'S A BIT UNKNOWN TO WHAT EXTENT THEY MIGHT ASK US TO PAY THIS BACK.

THAT IS TO THEIR DISCRETION, BASED ON THIS.

ONE THING I DO KNOW, JUST HAVING BEEN IN THE SPACE AND IN THE STATE OF OREGON FOR SO MANY YEARS AND UNDERSTANDING MY STATE PARTNERS IS THAT SUSPENDING THIS CONTRACT, STEPPING AWAY FROM THIS -- SINCE THE MONEY HAS ALREADY BEEN SPENT -- CERTAINLY ERODES OUR ABILITY TO BE COMPETITIVE IN THE

FUTURE GRANT PROCESSES.

I'LL ASK KATHRYN IF SHE CAN HELP ME OUT.

>> Brotherton: CERTAINLY.

WHEN IT COMES TO THE GRANT AND THE STATE'S ACTIONS REGARDING CLAW BACK OF THE MONEY OR FUTURE GRANTS AT RISK, IT'S A SEPARATE ISSUE FROM JUST WHAT -- HOW, IF WE WERE TO PROCEED FORWARD IN SEEKING TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT WITH FLOCK.

WHICH IS I THINK PART OF WHAT YOU'RE ASKING ABOUT, COUNCILOR ZELENIKA.

THERE'S A NUMBER OF PROVISIONS WITHIN THE CONTRACT.

WHAT WE WOULD PURSUE FROM THE OUTSET IS SEEKING MUTUAL TERMINATION OF THE CONTRACT.

THAT'S A PROVISION THAT'S AVAILABLE.

SO WE COULD NEGOTIATE WITH FLOCK AROUND POTENTIALLY GETTING -- BECAUSE WE PREPAID WITH THE GRANT MONEY, POTENTIALLY GETTING REFUNDED.

IT WOULD BE A NEGOTIATION WITH THEM THROUGH THAT MUTUAL TERMINATION.

AS YOU HEARD, THEY'VE BEEN RECEPTIVE TO OUR REQUESTED CONTRACT AMENDMENT.

THAT WHAT WE WOULD PURSUE FROM THE OUTSET.

IF THAT IS UNSUCCESSFUL.

AND THE CAMERAS ARE OWNED BY THEM.

WE WOULD NOT BE GOING UP AND TAKING THEM DOWN.

THE TIMELINE FOR THAT AND HOW THAT WOULD BE DONE WOULD BE PART OF THOSE MUTUAL TERMINATION NEGOTIATIONS.

THAT'S HOW WE WOULD PURSUE IT.

>> Zelenka: THANKS.

I NEED ANOTHER ROUND, MAYOR.

>> Knudson: COUNSELOR KASHINSKY?

>> Kashinsky: YES.

THANK YOU.

AND THANK YOU, CHIEF, FOR THE PRESENTATION AND EVERYTHING YOU DO FOR OUR COMMUNITY.

I'M DEEPLY APPRECIATED FOR THE WORK THAT YOU AND EPD DOES TO ADDRESS CRIME IN OUR COMMUNITY AND HELP KEEP US SAFE.

I RECOGNIZE THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS TOOLS TO ACCOMPLISH THAT GOAL.

ALL TOOLS HAVE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.

AND WAY NEED TO CAREFULLY EVALUATE IF THE BENEFIT OUTWEIGHS THE RISKS.

IF WE WERE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION ABOUT THE RISKS AND BENEFITS OF FLOCK THIS TIME LAST YEAR, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A DIFFERENT CONVERSATION.

BUT WE CAN'T REALLY IGNORE THE EXTRAORDINARY TIMES WE FIND OURSELVES IN.

WE HAVE A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT IS TARGETING AND DEMONIZING OUR TRANSGENDER NEIGHBORS, CONDUCTING IMMIGRATION RAIDS ON FIREFIGHTERS ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN FIGHTING FIRES AND DETAINING LONG-TIME RESIDENTS.

WE HAVE A NATIONAL GOVERNMENT ATTEMPTING TO SEND UNNEEDED AND UNWANTED NATIONAL GUARD TROOPS INTO OUR NEIGHBORING CITIES OVER THE OBJECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL LEADERS.

UNLIKE OUR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, I DO NOT BELIEVE THEY ARE TRYING TO KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE.

I BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT RISKS THAT THIS DATA, IN OTHER HANDS, COULD AND WOULD BE USED NOT TO HELP PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY, BUT TO TRY TO CAUSE HARM TO OUR COMMUNITY MEMBERS.

I BELIEVE IN TRUST THAT OUR LOCAL EUGENE POLICE DEPARTMENT WOULD NOT INTENTIONALLY MISUSE THIS DATA.

AND THAT WE COULD PUT LOCAL SAFEGUARDS AND POLICIES IN PLACE.

I BELIEVE THAT YOUR MOTIVATION IS TO KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE.

AND I APPRECIATE THE HARD WORK THAT YOU DO.

BUT THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT EVEN WITH THE CHANGES TO CONTRACT, FLOCK RETAINS CONTROL OVER THIS DATA.

TO BE BLUNT, I DON'T TRUST THEM.

THEIR MOTIVATIONS ARE DIFFERENT FROM OURS.

I PUT A LOT OF THOUGHT INTO THIS.

AND LISTENED TO AND CONSIDERED A LOT OF DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES.

AND WE HAVE TO BALANCE THE RISKS THAT THIS DATA WILL BE MISUSED BY OTHERS AND MILWAUKEE OUR COMMUNITY LESS SAFE, WITH THE POTENTIAL THAT IT HAS TO HELP US SOLVE CRIMES.

GIVEN THE CURRENT NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH, AT THIS TIME I FEEL THE RISKS OUTWEIGH THE BENEFITS.

THIS IS THE WRONG TOOL AT THE WRONG TIME.

I CAN'T SUPPORT US CONTINUING TO USE IT.

SO, I'M GOING TO MAKE A MOTION.

I'M GOING TO MOVE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO TURN OFF THE FLOCK CAMERAS.

>> SECOND.

>> Knudson: THANK YOU, COUNCILOR KASHINSKY AND COUNCILOR ZELENIKA.

WE HAVE OTHER COUNCILORS IN THE QUEUE.

SHOULD WE CONTINUE THAT DISCUSSION BEFORE COMING TO A VOTE?

CITY ATTORNEY, I WANT TO GIVE THE COUNCILORS WHO ALREADY PREVIOUSLY RAISED THEIR HANDS A CHANCE TO SPEAK.

>> Brotherton: NOW THAT A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED, THAT'S THE MOTION ON THE TABLE.

DISCUSSION SHOULD BE GOING TO THE MOTION.

IF COUNCILOR KASHINSKY WANTS TO WITHDRAW THE MOTION TO ALLOW THE REMAINDER OF THE CONVERSATION AND PUT IT BACK ON TABLE, THAT IS AN OPTION.

BUT RIGHT NOW, THE DISCUSSION SHOULD GO TO THE MOTION.

>> Knudson: THANK YOU.

THEN, COUNCIL, WE'LL MOVE TO DISCUSSION OF THE MOTION ON THE TABLE.

WE HAVE A QUEUE IN ORDER.

COUNCILOR LEECH.

>> IT'S A VERY BROAD MOTION.

SO THERE'S SOME LIMITATION ON THE CONVERSATION?

>> THANK YOU.

I AGREE WITH PRETTY MUCH EVERYTHING YOU'VE SAID.

I DO HAVE A CONCERN WITH THE WAY THE MOTION IS PHRASED.

I THINK.

IT'S A LITTLE VAGUE IN TERMS OF THE MEANING OR IMPLICATION.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY JUST TURN OFF THE CAMERAS?

DO YOU SUGGEST A TERMINATION OF THE CONTRACT, PAUSE OF THE CONTRACT?

IF WE CAN GET A CLARIFICATION OF THE MOTION.

IS THAT ALLOWED?

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR KASHINSKY?

>> Kashinsky: YES.

I WORKED WITH THE CITY ATTORNEY ON THE WORDING OF THE MOTION.

IT'S INTENTIONALLY DIRECTING TO MORE IMMEDIATE ACTION AND LEAVING THE OPERATIONAL DETAILS SURROUNDING WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE CONTRACT AND ALL OF THOSE SORT OF PIECES TO THE CITY MANAGER IN HER ROLE AS CITY MANAGER, WHO DOES OPERATIONAL THINGS LIKE THAT.

>> Leech: THANK YOU FOR THE INFORMATION.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR GROVES, DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION?

>> Groves: I WANTED TO DISCUSS THE WHOLE ISSUE AND GET MY QUESTIONS ANSWERED, TOO.

BUT COUNCILOR KASHINSKY HAS DECIDED TO USURP OUR ABILITY TO BE INVOLVED IN THE DISCUSSION.

SO, UNTIL I GET MY QUESTIONS ANSWERED, I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE ON THIS.

I FEEL THAT MY VOICE HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FROM ME.

AND I RESENT THAT.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR CLARK?

>> Clark: THANK YOU, MAYOR.

I FEEL SIMILAR TO MY FRIEND, COUNCILOR GROVES, IN THAT I HAVE A NUMBER OF CONCERNS ABOUT THIS.

I THINK CHIEF WOULD BACK ME UP HERE, SAYING THAT THE FIRST QUESTIONS WE GOT ABOUT THIS CAME UP MONTHS AGO, THE EMAIL.

I CALLED HIM UP DIRECTLY FIRST THING AND HAD A LONG CONVERSATION WITH QUESTIONS OF KNEW OWN.

I HAD SOME CONCERNS.

I WILL PROBABLY NOT VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS AS WELL.

WE'RE NOT HAVING BROAD ENOUGH CONVERSATION BEFORE MAKING A DECISION.

BUT I WANT TO ASK THE CITY ATTORNEY FOR SOME INFORMATION.

AND THAT IS HELP ME PROCESS A LITTLE BIT WHERE THE OPERATIONAL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS QUESTION VERSUS THE POLICY ISSUES ARE, SO THAT WE'RE NOT ACTUALLY OPERATING AFOUL OF THE CHARTER, FOR THE FIRST TIME THAT I'M AWARE OF, STEPPING INTO BOTH THE CHIEF'S AND THE CITY MANAGER'S ROLE AS THOSE WHO HAVE OPERATIONAL CONTROL, AS OPPOSED TO POLICY CONTROL.

AND DOING SO WHILE WE'RE TRYING TO RECRUIT FOR A NEW CITY MANAGER.

TELLING THEM, GOSH, WE COULD STEP IN AT ANY TIME IF WE DON'T LIKE WAY YOU'RE OPERATING THINGS AND MAKE A DIFFERENT DECISION.

WHERE IS THE LINE BETWEEN OPERATIONAL CONTROL AND POLICY CONTROL IN THIS QUESTION, KATHRYN?

>> Brotherton: IT'S CHALLENGING, TO SAY THE LEAST.

I THINK YOUR CHARTER IS VERY CLEAR, THAT THE CITY MANAGER IS THE ONE THAT HAS THE AUTHORITY AND DOES EXECUTE CONTRACTS.

AND THAT'S WHAT THIS IS.

SO, ULTIMATELY MOVING TO, I MEAN, A MOTION THAT -- TO ANYTHING THAT INVOLVES A CONTRACT IS SIMPLY A RECOMMENDATION TO YOUR EMPLOYEE.

THAT'S ALL IT CAN HAVE THE EFFECT OF DOING.

AND YOUR EMPLOYEE IS THE ONE CHARGED BY THE CHARTER THAT GETS TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION OF WHAT CONTRACTS ARE EXECUTED, HOW THEY ARE EXECUTED AND ALL OF THAT.

THAT IS HOW IT IS SET UP.

THIS MOTION, THE ONLY WAY UNDER THE CHARTER IT CAN BE INTERPRETED AS IS SIMPLY A RECOMMENDATION TO YOUR EMPLOYEE THAT THIS IS THE ACTION YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAPPEN.

YOU CANNOT EFFECTUATE THAT HAPPENING THROUGH YOUR POLICY MAKING AUTHORITY UNDER THE CHARTER.

>> Clark: AS A HYPOTHETICAL, LET'S SAY OUR CITY MANAGER DECIDES THE BENEFITS OUTWEIGH THE COST OF PAYING BACK HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.

WHAT WOULD BE THE OUTCOME?

COUNCIL IS LEFT THEN WITH THE CHOICE DEMANDING THAT THE CITY MANAGER WILL DO THAT.

IN WHICH CASE THEY DON'T HAVE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO DO IT.

THEIR ONLY AUTHORITY IS WHETHER OR NOT THE MANAGER STAYS ON.

AM I CORRECTLY UNDERSTANDING THIS QUESTION?

WE EITHER FIRE THEM IF THEY DISAGREE?

>> Brotherton: YOU COULD.

(INAUDIBLE) TERMINATE A CITY MANAGER IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE DIRECTION THAT THAT CITY MANAGER IS GOING.

THERE WOULD BE NO WAY TO FORCE, UPPED THE CHARTER, A SPECIFIC ACTION.

YOU'RE REQUESTING -- YOU'RE SUPPRESSING WHAT YOUR OVERALL DESIRES ARE AND WHERE YOUR AUTHORITY LIVES IS WHETHER THAT CITY MANAGER CONTINUES TO BE AN EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNCIL OR NOT.

IT WOULD BE TRIGGERED IF YOU TERMINATED A MANAGER FOR ALL THESE REASONS.

THERE ARE PROVISIONS IN A CITY MANAGER'S CONTRACT THAT ARE STANDARD THAT WOULD BE TRIGGERED, INCLUDING -- THAT ARE FINANCIAL CONDITIONS FOR THAT AS WELL, FOR TERMINATING A CITY MANAGER FOR SIMPLY NOT DOING SOMETHING.

>> Clark: WHICH I THINK WOULD BE THE DUMBEST POSSIBLE THING WE COULD DO.

THAT'S JUST MY OPINION.

EACH AND EVERY PERSON THAT'S SPOKEN TO US AT COUNCIL DURING PUBLIC FORUM, I AGREE AND UNDERSTAND THEIR CONCERNS.

BUT IT'S A PERSONAL TRACKING DEVICE THEY CARRY WITH THEM EVERY DAY UNLESS THEY DECIDE TO TURN OFF LOCATION SERVICES FOR EVERY SINGLE APP OF THEIR PHONE.

IT'S TRACKING WHERE THEY WALK EVERY SINGLE DAY.

NOBODY CONTROLS THOSE DATABASES.

THAT'S JUST A NUMBER OF OTHER -- I'LL SPEAK TO IN MY SECOND ROUND.

SO, THANK YOU.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR KEATING?

>> Keating: THANK YOU, MAYOR.

COUNCILOR CLARK IS RIGHT ABOUT THE VERY DEVICES THAT WE CARRY AROUND WITH OURSELVES.

DIFFERENCE IS, WE OPT IN TO SAID VOLUNTARY INVASION OF PRIVACY AS OPPOSED TO THE FEARS AND TREPIDATIONS THAT HAVE

BEEN EXPRESSED AROUND A THIRD-PARTY ENTITY OR EXECUTIVE BRANCH ABUSING THE DATA THAT'S BEEN COLLECTED AND STORED LOCALLY.

MY QUESTION TO THE CHIEF IS, WHEN IS THE CURRENT CONTRACT UP FOR RENEWAL?

>> WITHOUT THE CONTRACT IN FRONT OF ME, I DON'T KNOW.

KATHRYN MAY HAVE THE CONTRACT IN FRONT OF HER.

I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS FOR FISCAL YEAR 23-25.

WE APPLIED IN JULY OF '24.

I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER MY SLIDES.

WE WERE AWARDED AND IMPLEMENTED IN MARCH OF '25.

I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THAT CARRIES US THROUGH.

KATHRYN, DO YOU SEE IT THERE?

THE INITIAL TERM?

I'M SORRY.

IT'S TWO YEARS FROM THE DATE OF FIRST OPERATIONAL CAMERA IS THE TERM OF THE INITIAL CONTRACT.

>> SO FOR PURPOSES OF THIS CONVERSATION, WE SHOULD CALL THAT MAY OF '25 IS THE FIRST OPERATIONAL DATE.

SO IT WOULD BE MAY OF '27.

>> Keating: SO COUNCILOR KASHINSKY'S MOTION SEEMINGLY WOULD BE A MORE IMMEDIATE HALT.

AND IF THAT MOTION FAILED OR WAS AMENDED, THE CONTRACT WOULD BE RENEWED IN MAY OR PRIOR TO MAY.

OKAY.

I'M WRAPPING MY HEAD AROUND THAT.

QUESTION TWO, CHIEF.

HOW MANY CAMERAS ARE OPERATING IN AND AROUND EUGENE?

>> I BELIEVE I'M GOING TO BE OFF BY ONE OR TWO.

I THINK IT'S 56.

>> Keating: DOES THAT NOT INCLUDE THE CAMERAS THAT ARE ESTABLISHED AT FRED MEIJER, HOME DEPOT, THE BIG FOOT BEVERAGES LOCATION?

ARE THOSE FLOCK CAMERAS?

OR ARE THOSE CAMERAS THAT BELONG EXCLUSIVELY TO EPD?

>> I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT.

ALL I CAN SPEAK TO IS THE 56 CAMERAS WE PUT UP ON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY ARE THE FLOCK STATIONARY CAMERAS THROUGH THIS GRANT.

IF PRIVATE ENTITIES HAVE THEIR OWN CAMERAS UP, THAT IS A PRIVATE ENDEAVOR AND NOT ASSOCIATED WITH EPD.

>> Keating: SO THE LICENSE PLATE READERS IS THE INTENT, IS IT NOT, OF ENGAGING IN THIS ACTIVITY WITH FLOCK?

TO READ LICENSE PLATES?

>> THAT IS THE PURPOSE OF OUR CONTRACT WITH FLOCK, IS TO CAPTURE LICENSE PLATES OF THE VEHICLES ON THE OPEN PUBLIC ROADWAY.

>> Keating: ARE THERE PERSONS WHO DON'T HAVE A LICENSE PLATE WHO MAY BE TRAVELING BY BICYCLE, IS THEIR DATA BEING STORED, COLLECTED?

OR ARE THEY BEING TARGETED OR INVESTIGATED?

>> WITH THESE FIXED CAMERAS THAT ARE NOT PAN-TILT-ZOOM, THE ONLY THING THAT TRIGGERS THEM IS A VEHICLE IN THE OPEN PUBLIC ROADWAY OR A LARGE MOVING OBJECT.

AND I USED THE EXAMPLE OF MAYBE A BIKE TOWING A TRAILER WITH A LOT OF DEBRIS MIGHT TRIGGER A SNAPSHOT OF THAT PARTICULAR THING.

BUT IT'S NOT TRIGGERED BY BICYCLES, REGULAR BICYCLES AND PEDESTRIANS.

AND IF WE LOOKED AT WHERE THE CAMERAS ARE POINTING, THOSE INDIVIDUALS WOULD HAVE TO ACTUALLY BE IN THE LANE OF TRAVEL BEFORE IT WOULD EVEN COME WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF THE CAMERA.

>> Keating: THANK YOU.

HOW MANY OTHER CITIES HAVE ENDED THEIR CONTRACT WITH FLOCK?

I KNOW DENVER AND AUSTIN COME TO MIND.

ARE THERE ANY ON THE WEST COAST OR THROUGHOUT THESE UNITED STATES THAT YOU'RE AWARE OF?

>> NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.

I WOULD CAUTION BECAUSE THE CITIES OF DENVER AND AUSTIN HAVEN'T TERMINATED THEIR CONTRACT.

THEY'RE IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH FLOCK RIGHT NOW.

BUT THOSE -- IN CHECKING WITH AUSTIN AND DENVER SPECIFICALLY, THEY ARE IN SOME ACTIVE LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION WITH FLOCK.

THEY HAVE NOT JUST WHOLESAL CANCELED THEIR (INAUDIBLE).

>> Keating: THEIR CITY COUNCIL AND MAYOR HAVE SIGNALLED WHAT WE MAY VERY WELL SIGNAL TODAY?

>> CORRECT.

>> Keating: INTERESTING.

THAT GIVES ME PAUSE FOR CONCERN.

THANK YOU FOR TAKING PIECES OUT OF THE CONTRACT FOR THE

EMERGENCY SITUATION, DISCLOSURE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES, ET CETERA.

I SEE THE ENTIRE CONTRACT AND POTENTIAL FOR BAD ACTORS WHO ARE NOT LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TO BE A RED FLAG.

I WILL VERY LIKELY BE SUPPORTING COUNCILOR KASHINSKY'S MOTION.

I'M SORRY WE DIDN'T HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR FULL COMPLEMENT OF CONVERSATION BEFORE WE ADDRESSED THE MOTION.

BUT AT THIS POINT IN HISTORY, I'M INCREDIBLY CONCERNED ABOUT A SURVEILLANCE STATE OR ENTITIES OUTSIDE OF EUGENE USING OR ABUSING OUR MOVEMENT, OUR DATA AND IMAGES, ET CETERA, FOR NEFARIOUS REASONS.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR ZELENKA?

>> Zelenka: FORMER CITY COUNCILOR KEN (?) WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN POLICY AND MANAGEMENT.

HE SAID IF IT'S CONTROVERSIAL, IT'S POLICY.

AND, THEREFORE, UNDER THE PURVIEW OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

SO I HAVE ALWAYS TAKEN THAT TO HEART.

THE MOTION IS NOT TO TERMINATE THE CONTRACT THE MOTION IS TO TURN OFF THE CAMERAS.

THE NEXT STEPS AFTER THAT ARE STILL TO BE DETERMINED.

IN ESSENCE, IT CREATES A POLICY OF NOT USING SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS.

THE CITY MANAGER WOULD THEN BE -- THE CITY WOULD THEN BE IN VIOLATION OF POLICY.

AND I'VE NEVER SEEN A CITY MANAGER PURSUE A POLICY CONTRARY TO COUNCIL MAJORITY.

THESE ARE SCARE TACTICS TO GO TO FIRE THE CITY MANAGER AT THIS POINT.

AND I DON'T EVEN SEE THAT AS A POSSIBILITY.

SO GIVEN THAT, I DON'T THINK THAT THIS MOTION ACTUALLY LIMITS ANY DISCUSSION IN ANY SIGNIFICANT WAY.

I WOULD ALLOW COUNCILOR GROVES TO ASK ANY QUESTION HE WANTS.

HE SHOULD FEEL OBLIGATED TO DO THAT.

I ALSO WANT TO CLARIFY COMMENTS I MADE ABOUT WHERE I SEE US WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION AND HOW I DIDN'T START IN THAT POSITION, BUT EVENTUALLY GOT THERE.

IT STARTED WITH QUESTIONING THE ELECTION RESULTS WITHOUT EVEN A SHRED OF EVIDENCE.

THEN THE ACTIONS TO SUPPRESS VOTING, GERRYMANDERING TO SECURE MAJORITY IN THE HOUSE AND QUESTION VALIDITY OF VOTE BY MAIL, WHICH I UNDERSTAND TO BE A GIANT SUCCESS.

ON TOP OF THAT, THE TRUMP'S ADMINISTRATION'S ACTIONS TO SILENCE ITS CRITICS, LIKE JIMMIE KIMMEL, COLBERT, AND CALLING FOR THE REVOKING OF NBC AND ABC'S LICENSES AND SEEKING REVENGE ON ITS OPPONENTS.

THEY'VE CREATED A LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT OPERATES OUT OF UNMARKED VANS, WEARING MASKS, SHOWING NO IDENTIFICATION, AND SNATCHING PEOPLE OFF THE STREETS, PUTTING THEM IN DETENTION CAMPS APPROXIMATE SOMETIMES CHURN, SOMETIMES CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES.

IT'S HAPPENED SEVERAL TIMES.

HE IS CREATING A PERSONAL ARMY WITHOUT ACCOUNTABILITY IS HOW I SEE IT.

FINALLY, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, RECENTLY USING THE U.S. MILITARY IN OUR CITIES UNDER THE FALSE PRETENSE THAT THERE'S UNREST AND AN INSURRECTION IS MIND BOGGLING TO ME.

ON THE VERGE OF MARTIAL LAW.

PUTTING TROOPS IN OUR CITIES, PARTICULARLY IN PORTLAND, IS UNWARRANTED, UNLAWFUL, UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VERY DANGEROUS.

I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHERE THIS IS HEADING, AND ABUSE THAT MIGHT COME OUT OF AN ADMINISTRATION LIKE THAT.

>> Knudson: COUNCILOR EVANS?

>> Evans: YES.

LET ME SEE IF YOU CAN -- CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?

>> Knudson: WE CAN HEAR YOU, COUNCILOR.

>> Evans: OKAY.

I WANT TO ASK THE CITY ATTORNEY A QUESTION.

WOULD THIS BE MORE APPROPRIATE IF WE ASKED FOR A PAUSE IN THE USAGE OF FLOCK CAMERAS, PENDING A FURTHER POLICY DISCUSSION AT THE COUNCIL LEVEL?

ONE, I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET THROUGH THIS TODAY.

GIVEN THE NUMBER OF CONCERNS THAT COUNCILORS HAVE AROUND THE USAGE OF FLOCK CAMERAS.

AND ALSO THE STORAGE OF DATA.

THE STORAGE OF DATA IS ONE OF MY BIGGEST CONCERNS.

BECAUSE I KNOW THAT IF YOU ORDER SOMETHING ONLINE -- JUST SIMPLE.

I ORDERED A DOZEN SOCKS ONLINE.

I GET ALL KINDS OF EMAILS FROM ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT COMPANIES WHO WANT TO SELL ME SOCKS.

I ONLY WANT 12 SOCKS.

I DON'T WANT, YOU KNOW, TO GET TONS OF ADVERTISING.

(CAPTIONER CHANGE)

I ONLY WANT 12 SOCKS.

I DON'T WANT, YOU KNOW, TO GET
TONS OF ADVERTISING AND ENTRIES
TO SPEND MORE MONEY ON SOMETHING
I'VE ALREADY SPENT MONEY ON.

WE DO NOT KNOW, CORRECT ME IF
I'M WRONG WHO FLOCK SELLS THAT
DATA TO, WHAT KIND OF DATA
THEY'RE SELLING THEM TO, AND
SINCE FLOCK OWNS THE CAMERAS
OSTENSIBLY, I MIGHT BE WRONG
ABOUT THIS BUT I THINK THEY OWN
THE DATA, TOO, THAT THEY'RE
COLLECTING, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
A DEEPER POLICY DISCUSSION
ABOUT, YOU KNOW, HOW WE DEAL
WITH THIS AND HOW DO WE PUT GOOD
CITY POLICY AROUND THE USAGE OF
THIS TECHNOLOGY, BECAUSE THIS IS
GOING TO CONTINUE TO COME UP
OVER THE YEARS.

IT'S GOING TO BE NEW
TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE EMERGING
IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS THAT WE
HAVE NO RULES OR REGULATIONS

AROUND, AND SO THIS GIVES US A
VERY GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE
TO CREATE AND SHAPE POLICY THAT
CURRENTLY, I SPOKE WITH THE
POLICE AUDITOR LAST WEEK,
CURRENTLY DOES NOT EXIST
NATIONWIDE.

WE DON'T HAVE A SET OF BEST
PRACTICES AROUND HOW POLICY AND
DATA IS COLLECTED AND USED BY
OTHER MUNICIPALITIES AND OTHER
JURISDICTIONS, AND THE STORAGE
OF THAT DATA AND THE ELIMINATION
OF THAT DATA, BECAUSE YOU KNOW
ANYTHING THAT IS GATHERED IN A
DIGITAL FRAMEWORK IS, WILL STAY
THERE FOREVER.

IT WILL NOT GO AWAY.

SO I HAVE SERIOUS CONCERNS ABOUT
THAT.

CITY ATTORNEY?

>> IT WOULD CERTAINLY PROVIDE A
MOTION THAT SAYS TO PAUSE THE
USAGE OF THE ALPR CAMERAS, AND
THEN WITH SOME DIRECTION TO
RETURN X, Y AND Z.

IT WOULD CERTAINLY PROVIDE

ADDITIONAL SPECIFICITY AND SOME CLARITY ON WHY IT'S BEING PAUSED AND WHAT YOU'RE SEEKING IN RESPONSE.

A POSSIBILITY THERE ALSO IS YOU HAVE A POLICE COMMISSION THAT THE COUNCIL HAS DESIGNATED AS A BODY THAT WORKS WITH YOUR POLICE DEPARTMENT TO COME UP WITH POLICY, AND SO AN OPTION WOULD BE PAUSE USAGE OF THE ALPR CAMERAS WITH A DIRECTION TO YOUR POLICE COMMISSION TO WORK ON COMING UP WITH A POLICY X, Y AND Z.

THAT WOULD BE USING SOME OF YOUR EXISTING PROCESSES THAT YOU HAVE IN PLACE.

THAT'S JUST ONE OPTION.

>> AND I PROPOSE THAT AMENDMENT AND THE MAKER OF THE MOTION WOULD BE WELCOME TO AN AMENDMENT TO HERS.

>> I WOULD NOT BE LOOKING FOR THAT PARTICULAR AMENDMENT; HOWEVER, WHAT I WOULD SAY IS THAT, AS I HAVE SPOKE TO BEFORE

THIS INTENTIONALLY SPOKE TO THE
IMMEDIATE ACTION WE WANTED TO
SEE OR I WANTED TO SEE WHICH WAS
TO TURN OFF THE CAMERAS.

THE STEP THAT COMES NEXT AFTER
THAT I LEAVE UP TO THE CITY
MANAGER AND THE CHIEF, AND THAT
COULD BE TURNING OFF THE
CONTRACT, IT COULD BE THEM
SAYING HEY, WE'VE CREATED SOME
CONDITIONS WHERE WE THINK IT
MIGHT MAKE SENSE TO SEE ABOUT
TURNING THE CAMERAS BACK ON.

I THINK THAT THERE IS SPACE
WITHIN THE EXISTING MOTION FOR
THE CITY MANAGER TO COME BACK
WITH SOME OF THE POLICY CHANGES.

>> I HAVE A QUESTION.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR
ZELENKA, ONE MOMENT.

COUNSELOR EVANS?

>> EVANS: I UNDERSTAND WHERE
COUNCILOR KASHINSKY IS COMING
FROM ON THIS BUT I THINK IT
WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO
HAVE A PAUSE TO THE USAGE OF THE
CAMERAS.

IT'S NOT A DETERMINATION,

CORRECT.

AT THIS TIME.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU,

COUNCILOR EVANS.

CITY ATTORNEY, I'M GOING TO NEED

CLARIFICATION WHAT OCCURRED

BECAUSE I DID HEAR A SECOND IN

THE DISCUSSION BUT I BELIEVE THE

DISCUSSION WAS BETWEEN COUNCILOR

EVANS AND COUNCILOR KASHINSKY

REGARDING AN AMENDMENT.

>> COUNCILOR EVANS WAS

ORIGINALLY SEEKING A FRIENDLY

AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION ON THE

TABLE WHICH IS TO TURN OFF THE

CAMERAS.

COUNCILOR EVANS AS I INTERPRETED

IT YOU MADE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION

THAT WOULD MOVE TO PAUSE USAGE

OF THE CAMERAS PENDING

ADDITIONAL CONVERSATION, WHICH

WAS SECONDED.

>> EVANS: YES.

>> THE MOTION ON THE TABLE IS A

SUBSTITUTE MOTION TO REPLACE THE

EXISTING MOTION, A MOTION TO

PAUSE THE USAGE OF THE ALPR
CAMERAS PENDING ADDITIONAL
CONVERSATION.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU VERY
MUCH FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

>> MAYOR, AT SOME POINT I WANT
TO SPEAK TO ALL OF THIS JUST
BEFORE YOU ALL START VOTING AND
GOING DOWN A TRACK.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU,
CITY MANAGER.

WE'RE STILL TRYING TO GET
THROUGH ONE CYCLE WITH EACH
POINT OF DISCUSSION.

VERY MUCH APPRECIATED.

I HAVE COUNCILOR YEH AND CLARK
IN THE QUEUE.

WE HAVE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION
WHICH THIS CONTINUED DISCUSSION
WILL BE RELATED TO.

>> YEH: I WAS GOING TO ASK THE
CITY MANAGER.

AT THIS POINT I'M A LITTLE
CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT WE CAN EVEN
DO.

LIKE ARE THESE THINGS THAT ARE
BEING BOUNCED AROUND EVEN

OPTIONS?

LIKE I KNOW WE DON'T OWN THE
CAMERAS, SO I WAS JUST HOPING
THAT MAYBE OUR CITY MANAGER
COULD WEIGH IN ON YOU KNOW WHAT
ARE SOME VIABLE OPTIONS AT THIS
POINT, WERE WE TO RECOMMEND
SOMETHING TO HER.

>> SO THAT'S A LITTLE BIT
DIFFERENT THAN WHERE I WAS GOING
TO GO WITH MY COMMENTS.

>> YEH: OR OTHER THINGS SHE'D
LIKE TO SAY.

>> THAT I'D LIKE TO SAY.

MAYOR, ARE YOU OKAY?

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: PLEASE, CITY
MANAGER, ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

>> FIRST, I DO WANT TO GIVE, I
WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO CHRIS
AND HIS TEAM FOR THE WORK THEY
PUT INTO THIS.

THIS IS A LOT OF WORK IN A
REALLY SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME.

SORRY I WASN'T HERE TO OPEN THE
WORK SESSION AND I APPRECIATE
THE GRATITUDE YOU'VE SHARED AND
TRIED TO DRAW A VERY, VERY CLEAR

LINE BETWEEN YOUR TRUST OF CHRIS
AND THE EPD AND YOUR CONCERN
ABOUT THE COMPANY.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THAT.

I DO HAVE -- I DO HAVE SOME
CONCERNS, AND YOU KNOW, PART OF
WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT JUST
GENERALLY IN THIS WHOLE
CONVERSATION IS, WE HAVE TASKED
CHRIS -- SORRY I'M NOT CALLING
YOU CHIEF.

WE HAVE TASKED THE CHIEF AND HIS
TEAM AND MANY TEAMS ACROSS THE
CITY WITH REALLY TRYING TO RAMP
UP OUR PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS.
WE GET HAMMERED ABOUT THIS ALL
THE TIME AROUND METAL THEFT AND
THEFT FROM STORES AND THERE IS
LIKE EMBRACING TECHNOLOGY AND
ALL OF OUR DIFFERENT WORK, WE'RE
EMBRACING IT ACROSS THE BOARD,
ACROSS THE CITY.

FEELS LIKE AN APPROPRIATE WAY.

IT'S HARD TO IMAGINE HOW WE'RE
ACTUALLY GOING TO MAKE A
DIFFERENCE WITHOUT THAT.

SO MY CONCERN IS, WE GO DOWN

THIS PATH AND I THINK THE REASON
THE CHARTER LANGUAGE IN SOME
WAYS IS SET UP THE WAY IT IS,
AND PARTICULARLY TO KEEP YOU
FROM INDIVIDUALLY TALKING TO ME
ABOUT THIS IS THAT WE DON'T END
UP WITH THIS "WE LIKE THIS
COMPANY, DON'T LIKE THIS
COMPANY.

I WANT MY FRIEND TO GET THIS
CONTRACT.

I DON'T WANT YOUR FRIEND TO GET
THIS CONTRACT."

SO I TRY TO KEEP IT AND EVERY
CITY MANAGER I THINK TRIES TO
KEEP IT VERY SORT OF DIRECT
AROUND WHAT IS THE TECHNOLOGY,
WHAT ARE WE GETTING AND HOW CAN
WE ACTUALLY BETTER IMPROVE THE
CONTRACT LANGUAGE.

GIVEN THAT, I'M HEARING ALL OF
THE CONCERNS.

I DON'T WANT TO WEIGH IN ON
THAT.

I JUST WANT TO -- I DO THINK
THAT THE MOTION TO SHUT OFF THE
CAMERAS IS QUITE VAGUE, BECAUSE

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHETHER THAT
MEANS I GET TO TURN THEM BACK
ON, CHRIS GETS TO TURN THEM BACK
ON, AT WHAT POINT WE WOULD TURN
THEM BACK ON AND ALSO, ARE YOU
OKAY WITH US CHOOSING A
DIFFERENT COMPANY.

IF IT'S ABOUT FLOCK, WE COULD
HAVE CHOSEN A DIFFERENT COMPANY.
WE WENT THROUGH AN RFP PROCESS.
BUT THAT'S REALLY UNCLEAR TO ME.
I WOULD APPRECIATE ADDITIONAL
TIME TO HAVE A WORK SESSION TO
TALK ABOUT WHAT ARE THE OPTIONS,
LIKE WHAT COULD WE DO AND WHAT
ARE THE -- IS THERE A THRESHOLD
WHERE YOU WOULD BE OKAY WITH
THIS AND WE CAN KEEP SOLVING
CRIMES AND THAT PART IS UNCLEAR
TO ME, AND I THINK THAT'S THE
GROUND THAT FEELS MESSY AND AS A
CITY MANAGER DOESN'T FEEL GREAT,
I HAVE TO SAY.

>> YEH: I APPRECIATE THAT. I
WILL SAY WE'VE BEEN SOLVING
CRIMES FOR A LONG TIME WITHOUT
THE SYSTEM SO I'M NOT SURE

THAT'S A FAIR STATEMENT, BUT IF
WE GET RID OF THIS, WE'RE NO
LONGER SOLVING CRIME BUT I THINK
YOUR OTHER QUESTIONS ARE VALID.

I THOUGHT THIS WORK SESSION
WOULD GO, THIS IS A CONTRACT AND
TOTALLY IN YOUR REALM.

MY ASSUMPTION WAS WE WOULD BE
MAKING A RECOMMENDATION TO YOU
AND THEN YOU WOULD RESPOND TO
THAT RECOMMENDATION AT SOME, YOU
KNOW, PROBABLY AT A FUTURE WORK
SESSION.

SO IT SEEMS LIKE MAYBE THAT'S
NOT WHAT EVERYONE ELSE THOUGHT
WAS HAPPENING, BUT I'LL JUST
SHARE, THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT WAS
HAPPENING.

SO I WILL TELL YOU TO YOUR
QUESTION THAT ANOTHER COMPANY
MIGHT BE OKAY WITH ME.

IF THERE WERE DIFFERENCES, I
THINK A LOT OF MY CONCERNS ARE
THAT THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE TO
FOLKS OUTSIDE OF OUR IMMEDIATE
AREA.

IF THIS WAS AN EPD, MUCH LIKE

OUR DRONES, RIGHT, OUR DRONES GO
AROUND AND TAKE PICTURES AND WE
CAN USE THEM FOR THINGS.

I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
THAT.

THAT'S INTERNAL.

IF IT WAS MORE OF AN INTERNAL
PROCESS, I WOULD HAVE FEWER
CONCERNS.

IT'S POSSIBLE THERE'S ANOTHER
COMPANY THAT HAS A BETTER TRACK
RECORD WOULD BE SOMETHING I
MIGHT CONSIDER IF IT ADDRESSED
SOME OF THE MANY CONCERNS.

SO YEAH, I DON'T THINK THIS IS
A -- THIS TYPE OF TECHNOLOGY IS
TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE.

WE USE LIKE RED LIGHT CAMERAS,
ARE ALSO A FORM OF SURVEILLANCE
YOU COULD ARGUE.

I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH RED
LIGHT CAMERAS.

SO YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF
WE'RE STILL RECOMMENDING OR NOT.

I GUESS THAT'S AN ATTORNEY
QUESTION BUT FROM MY SEAT WHERE
I'M SITTING, MY RECOMMENDATION

IS, I DO NOT FEEL COMFORTABLE
WITH THIS COMPANY COMING INTO
OUR COMMUNITY.

I DO NOT THINK THAT THEY SHOULD
BE TRUSTED WITH OUR DATA.

I THINK THEY HAVE A TRACK RECORD
OF NOT BEING TRUSTWORTHY AND
CONSIDERING THE ACTIONS THAT THE
TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IS TAKING
NOT ONLY IN OREGON BUT ACROSS
THE COUNTRY, I THINK THIS SYSTEM
WILL MAKE PEOPLE IN OUR
COMMUNITY ESPECIALLY LGBTQ AND
PEOPLE THAT ARE IMMIGRANTS OR
EVEN HAVE GREEN CARDS OR
NATURALIZED CITIZENS LESS SAFE.

>> I CAN SPEAK TO YOUR QUESTION
AROUND RECOMMENDATION.

THAT WOULD BE HOW I WOULD
SUGGEST THE MOTIONS BE MADE,
WHICH IS YOU ALWAYS MAKE
RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOUR CITY
MANAGER ALL THE TIME.

THAT IS MUCH PREFERRED TO A
DIRECTION THAT SOMETHING HAPPEN.

SO YOU KNOW, IF THAT'S A
FRIENDLY THAT YOU'RE MAKING TO

THE CURRENT MOTION ON THE TABLE,
BECAUSE THE CURRENT MOTION ON
THE TABLE IS A MOTION TO PAUSE
THE USE OF THE ALPR CAMERAS
PENDING ADDITIONAL CONVERSATION.
IF A FRIENDLY IS, YOU'RE MOVING
TO RECOMMEND A PAUSING, THAT IS
MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE MANNER
IN WHICH YOU ENGAGE YOUR CITY
MANAGER.

>> YEH: YES, I'D BE WILLING TO
DO THAT.

I THINK IT'S MORE APPROPRIATE.

>> ACCEPTED BY THE SECOND.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR
EVANS IS THAT ACCEPTED BY YOU AS
THE MAKER?

>> EVANS: YES.

>> THANK YOU, ALL THREE OF YOU.
COUNCILOR CLARK AND COUNCILOR
ZELENKA AND THEN COUNCILOR
EVANS, YOU WERE LAST IN THE
QUEUE.

WE HAVE NINE MINUTES REMAINING
IN OUR WORK SESSION AND WE HAVE
A MOTION ON THE TABLE TO
COMPLETE.

>> CLARK: THANK YOU TO MY
FRIEND, GREG.

THIS CONVERSATION WILL OBVIOUSLY
TAKE CONSIDERABLY LONGER FOR US
TO TALK ABOUT, THE BEST POLICY.
I APPRECIATE THE WAY GREG FRAMED
IT RATHER THAN GETTING INTO A
LARGE ARGUMENT WHERE THERE ARE
CERTAINLY POINTS OF DISAGREEMENT
BETWEEN MY FRIEND ALAN AND I.

I APPRECIATE I THINK OUR ROLE IS
TO TURN THE TEMPERATURE DOWN ON
THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE AND HAVE A
LOGICAL AND REASONABLE AND CIVIL
DISCUSSION.

IT'S REASONABLE TO SAY A
RECOMMENDATION TO THE MANAGER IS
WE NEED TO HAVE MORE OF A
LARGE-SCALE POLICY DISCUSSION
ABOUT INFORMATION USE AND HOW
IT'S MADE USE OF IN THE CITY IN
MULTIPLE WAYS, AND MULTIPLE
DEPARTMENTS, AND I THINK THAT'S
A MORE REASONABLE DISCUSSION FOR
ALL OF US TO HAVE, SO I HOPE MY
COLLEAGUES WILL AGREE TO THIS
MOTION AND PASS IT.

THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR

ZELENKA?

>> ZELENKA: YES, THANKS.

RESPONDING TO THE CITY MANAGER,

THIS IS MORE ABOUT THE POLICY

USING THE SURVEILLANCE CAMERA

THAN IT IS ABOUT FLOCK.

I'M SURE THERE IS ANOTHER

COMPANY THAT COULD DO THIS THAT

WOULD PASS MUSTER WITH ME, BUT

I'M NOT SURE THERE'S A

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT ELIZA

SAID AND GREG SAID EXCEPT FOR

REALLY, GREG, DID YOU MEAN THAT

YOU'RE RECOMMENDING THAT THE

CITY MANAGER PAUSE THE USE OF

THE CAMERAS AND THAT SHE SHOULD

BRING BACK NEXT STEPS ON THIS

ISSUE?

IS THAT WHAT, THE ESSENCE OF

YOUR MOTION OR?

>> EVANS: THAT IS THE ESSENCE OF

THE MOTION.

I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A BROADER

DISCUSSION ABOUT THE POLICY

IMPLICATIONS OF THE USE OF

CAMERAS, AND I'LL TELL YOU WHY
WHEN I GET MY TURN TO SPEAK.

>> CLARK: I DON'T SEE THE
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT YOU'RE
SAYING AND ELIZA SAID.

I'M SUPPORTING THE MOTION
BECAUSE I THINK IT'S THE SAME
THING.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR
EVANS?

>> EVANS: YES, JUST FOR PURPOSES
OF PAST INFORMATION, I'VE BEEN
HERE FOR 40 YEARS, AND 40 YEARS
AGO AND PROBABLY STILL HAD BEEN
GOING ON FOR A WHILE, THE EUGENE
POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS TAKING
PHOTOGRAPHS OF BLACK MEN COMING
THROUGH DOWNTOWN EUGENE AND HAD
A BOOK, AN ALBUM, A STORAGE
ALBUM OF PHOTOGRAPHS OF BLACK
MEN COMING THROUGH DOWNTOWN
EUGENE IN THE LIKE 1980s, AND I
THINK SOMEWHERE THEY BEGAN THIS
PRACTICE.

THEY STARTED TAKING PHOTOGRAPHS
FROM A PERCH IN THE ATRIUM
BUILDING.

MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT WHEN
WE FOUND OUT ABOUT IT, THAT THE
POLICE NO LONGER -- OR THEY SAID
THEY DESTROYED THAT BOOK AND
THAT INFORMATION.

I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT
HAPPENED OR NOT, BUT AGAIN, IT
GOES BACK TO THE ISSUES OF
INAPPROPRIATE, AND WHAT I WOULD
ALSO CONSIDER POSSIBLY ILLEGAL
SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES ON THE
PART OF POLICE FORCES, WHETHER
THAT BE EUGENE POLICE, LANE
COUNTY SHERIFFS, FBI, STATE
POLICE, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY
BE.

SO I THINK THAT WE NEED TO HAVE
SPECIFIC POLICY LANGUAGE AROUND
THE USAGE OF TECHNOLOGY, THE
STORAGE OF TECHNOLOGY, AND WE
NEED TO HAVE A LONGER AND
BROADER DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT,
BUT YOU CAN GO BACK AND VERIFY
WHAT I JUST SAID, BECAUSE THAT
DID HAPPEN, AND I DON'T KNOW
WHETHER THAT BOOK STILL EXISTS
OR NOT.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: CITY MANAGER,

YOU ARE LEFT IN OUR QUEUE.

>> I'M GOOD.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU.

THEN WITH THAT, WE HAVE A MOTION

ON THE TABLE TO RECOMMEND THE

PAUSE OF USE OF ALPR CAMERAS

PENDING ADDITIONAL CONVERSATION,

AND COUNCILOR KEATING, I'M

TRYING TO MOVE US TO A VOTE.

ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION IN

>> KEATING: I DID.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, PLEASE

CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG,

COUNCILOR KASHINSKY'S MOTION HAS

SOME IMMEDIACY AND URGENCY TO IT

WHILE THERE MAY BE A SIMILAR

SENTIMENT, I'M FUZZY ON THE

TIMELINE OF COUNCILOR EVANS'

MOTION.

DO BOTH HAVE AN IMMEDIATE PAUSE?

IF SO, I WOULD BE WILLING TO

SUPPORT COUNCILOR EVANS' MOTION,

BUT -- AND I RECOGNIZE THE

MERITS OF HAVING A LONGER

DISCUSSION, BUT I WANT THAT

LONGER POLICY DISCUSSION TO STEM

FROM THE ACTION WE TAKE TODAY,
AND PRETTY CLEARLY, I CAN COUNT
AS ANYONE ELSE CAN ON THIS CALL,
THERE'S A MAJORITY OF COUNCILORS
WHO HAVE EXPRESSED SIGNIFICANT
HEARTBURN AND FRUSTRATION WITH
OUR DATA BEING SHARED OUTSIDE OF
OUR COMMUNITY, WITH A THIRD
PARTY ENTITY WHO WE HAVE
SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF CONCERNING
QUESTIONS AROUND.

SO I'M INCLINED TO SUPPORT
COUNCILOR KASHINSKY'S ORIGINAL
MOTION BECAUSE I INTERPRETED IT
AS HAVING FAR MORE URGENCY.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR
KEATING, I WAS GOING TO ASK FOR
CLARIFICATION.

ARE YOU SAYING PAUSE IN
RECOMMENDATION?

>> KEATING: THE THING WITH MY
MOTION WOULD BE AN IMMEDIATE
PAUSE PENDING A FURTHER COUNCIL
DISCUSSION ABOUT POLICY AROUND
THE USAGE OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE
USAGE OF SPECIFICALLY FLOCK

CAMERAS IN THIS CASE.

SO IT WOULD BE AN IMMEDIATE

PAUSE.

IT WOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT

ELIZA KASHINSKY HAD PUT ON THE

TABLE BUT A POLICY AND

RECOMMENDATION THAT WOULD COME

BACK TO THIS COUNCIL SO WE COULD

BEGIN TO HAVE THE KIND OF

SAFEGUARDS AROUND THE TECHNOLOGY

THAT IS CONTINUING TO EMERGE ON

A DAILY BASIS.

>> MADAM MAYOR, MAY I HEAR FROM

THE ORIGINAL MOTION MAKER,

PLEASE?

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR

KASHINSKY?

>> KASHINSKY: I WOULD AGREE THE

FIRST PARTS ARE THE SAME.

MY MOTION REQUESTED THAT THE

CITY MANAGER TURN OFF THE FLOCK

CAMERAS.

COUNCILOR EVANS REQUESTED THEY

PAUSE THE USE OF THEM.

I WOULD SAY THE MAIN DIFFERENCE

IS COUNCILOR EVANS' MOTION

CONTINUES AND SAYS HERE IS THE

NEXT STEP AFTER WE'VE DONE THAT,
AND I BELIEVE I HEARD CITY
MANAGER, PLEASE CORRECT ME IF
I'M WRONG, WHERE MY MOTION WAS
VAGUE, IT WAS WHAT WOULD COME
AFTER THAT, THROWING THE SWITCH,
AND SO I HEARD THE CITY MANAGER
SAY THAT SHE WOULD LIKE SOME
ADDITIONAL WHAT COMES NEXT.

I DID HEAR THAT RIGHT?
SO THAT MAKE ME MORE INCLINED TO
SUPPORT COUNCILOR EVANS' MOTION
BECAUSE IT PROVIDES THAT
ADDITIONAL DIRECTION OF WHAT
COMES NEXT AFTER THE IMMEDIATE
ACTION'S BEEN TAKEN.

>> KEATING: THAT'S GREAT.
YOUR INTERPRETATION AND THIS
COUNCIL'S DIRECTION, DOES A
PAUSE MEAN TURN OFF THE CAMERAS?

>> EVANS: YES.
YES.

>> KEATING: RIGHT, CAN I HEAR
FROM THE CITY MANAGER PERHAPS OR
THE CHIEF?

OR CITY ATTORNEY?

>> AGAIN, IT'S A RECOMMENDATION,

WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH HOW YOU
MAKE OTHER MOTIONS TO THE CITY
MANAGER, BUT YOU ARE MAKING A
RECOMMENDATION THAT THE CITY
MANAGER PAUSE USAGE OF THE
CAMERAS, WHICH MEANS TURNING
THEM OFF, RIGHT.

THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN PAUSE USAGE
OF THE CAMERAS IS TO TURN THEM
OFF.

I THINK WHO THE MOTION FROM
COUNCILOR EVANS MAKES CLEARER
THAT WAS NOT CLEAR WITH
COUNCILOR KASHINSKY IS THAT, YOU
KNOW, WOULD THE NEXT STEP BE
ACTUALLY MOVING TO TERMINATION?
WOULD THE NEXT STEP BE, AND WHAT
COUNCILOR EVANS' MOTION MAKES
CLEAR IS NO, THOSE AREN'T THE
NEXT STEPS.

THE NEXT STEPS IS GOING TO BE
ADDITIONAL CONVERSATION WITH THE
COUNCIL.

THERE IS NO -- IF COUNCILOR
EVANS' MOTION PASSES, THERE
WOULD BE NO EXPECTATION OF THE
COUNCIL THAT WE TURN THEM OFF

AND WE MOVE TO TERMINATING THE
CONTRACT OR WE MOVE TO FIGURING
OUT WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE GRANT.
IT WOULD SIMPLY BE, WE PAUSE
USAGE OF THE CAMERAS UNTIL
THERE'S ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION
ABOUT WHAT ULTIMATELY WILL END
UP HAPPENING.

>> KEATING: GREAT, THANK YOU.
I'M NOT GOING TO LET PERFECT BE
THE ENEMY OF THE GOOD.

I WISH THE VERB WAS STOP USAGE
RATHER THAN PAUSE.

NEVERTHELESS, I CAN GLEAN WE'RE
ALL KIND OF ROWING IN THE SAME
DIRECTION AND SINGING THE SAME
SONG.

I'M INCLINED TO SUPPORT.
THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU.
COUNCILOR GROVES?
COUNCILOR GROVES, WE ARE AT
TIME.

>> GROVES: TO ME WE'RE GLOSSING
OVER THE FACT COUNCILOR EVANS'
MOTION IS A RECOMMENDATION WHICH
IS IN KEEPING WITH CHARTER AND

OUR AUTHORITY.

COUNCILOR KASHINSKY'S MOTION IS
DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO DO
SOMETHING, WHICH I THINK IS
STEPPING OVER OUR LINES OF
AUTHORITY.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU,
COUNCIL, FOR THAT DISCUSSION.
WE'LL NOW BRING THIS MOTION TO A
VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR?

ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE,
SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, AND THE
MOTION PASSES BY A VOTE OF 8-0.

>> MAYOR, JUST FROM A
TECHNICALITY PROCESS, THAT WAS A
SUBSTITUTE MOTION, WHICH MEANS
IT NOW REPLACES THE ORIGINAL
MOTION.

SO THAT IS NOW THE MOTION ON THE
TABLE, SO YOU NEED TO TAKE A
VOTE ON THE MOTION ON THE TABLE.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: THANK YOU,
CITY ATTORNEY.

TWO STEPS TO WRAP UP, EVERYONE.
NOW WE'RE TURNING TO THE MOTION
ON THE TABLE, THE SUBSTITUTE

MOTION HAS BEEN ACCEPTED.

ALL IN FAVOR?

ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE,

SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT.

AND THE MOTION PASSES BY A VOTE

OF 8-0.

THANK YOU, COUNCIL.

WE KNEW TODAY WOULD BE A ROBUST

CONVERSATION.

WE KNEW THERE WERE A LOT OF

IMPORTANT QUESTIONS TO ANSWER,

AND I THINK THE GRATITUDE TO OUR

STAFF, TO OUR CHIEF, TO EPD FOR

THE WORK THEY ARE DOING LOCALLY,

I REALLY APPRECIATE THE TIME

THAT COUNCIL TOOK TO EMPHASIZE

THAT, AND ALSO TO BRING THESE

OTHER INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT

QUESTIONS INTO A PUBLIC

CONVERSATION.

AND SO WITH THAT, THAT CONCLUDES

OUR FIRST AGENDA ITEM, AND WE'LL

CONCLUDE OUR WORK SESSION TODAY.

WITH THAT, I CLOSE THE OCTOBER

8th, 2025 CITY COUNCIL WORK

SESSION AND WE ARE ADJOURNED.

