ROUGHLY EDITED TRANSCRIPT
CITY OF EUGENE
WORK SESSION
JUNE 25, 2026
12:00 P.M.

Captioning Provided by:
LNS Captioning
www.LNSCaptioning.com
Phone: (503) 446-4555

* * *

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This is NOT the official record of the proceedings.

* * *

>>Mayor Knudson: WELCOME TO THE JUNE 24, 2026 URBAN RENEWAL MEETING AND CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION. THERE IS NO OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. THOSE WISHING TO ACCESS THE MEETING CAN DO SO BY WATCHING THE LIVE STREAM ON OUR WEBSITE OR CALLING INTO ONE OF THE PHONE NUMBERS LISTED FOR THIS MEETING ON THE CITY OF EUGENE WEBSITE. THANK YOU ALL AGAIN FOR JOINING US. I CALL THE EUGENE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY TO ORDER.

>> THE AGENCY BOARD HAS ASKED TODAY TO CONSIDER A PROPOSAL FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STAFF FOR A TENANT IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM, FUNDED BY 1.5 MILLION FROM THE DOWNTOWN URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT. ELIGIBLE PROJECTS WILL MAKE GRANTS WITH LASTING RENEWAL. AND WE WILL TALK ABOUT RENEWING THE URBAN CORE OF THE CITY.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU AGAIN. I'M THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANAGER, IN THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION. TODAY I AM HERE WITH DYLAN HYBORN. WE'RE HERE TO TALK ABOUT COMMERCIAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM IN THE DOWNTOWN CORE. BEFORE I TURN IT OVER, I HAVE A QUICK UPDATE ON WORK ON RIGHT-OF-WAY PROJECTS. I WANT TO SHARE INFORMATION ABOUT PROJECTS WE'VE COMPLETED, AND MORE PROJECTS WE WILL BRING YOU THIS FALL. AFTER

THAT, DYLAN WILL COVER THE TENANT IMPROVEMENTS. LET'S SEE, BOTH THE DOWNTOWN AND THE RIVERFRONT URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICTS INCLUDE TAX FINANCING ON PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS TO ADDRESS SAFETY AND COMFORT, WHICH INCLUDES STREET AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, THE AGENCY BOARD MUST APPROVE EXPENDITURES, GREATER THAN $250,000, BUT OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS, WE'VE IMPLEMENTED SOME SMALLER PROJECTS THAT WERE BELOW THAT THRESHOLD, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU ALL KNOW ABOUT THEM. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH AN INTERDEPARTMENTAL GROUP TO IDENTIFY PROJECTS. WE'VE IDENTIFIED SOLUTIONS THAT ARE COST EFFECTIVE AND MINIMIZE OPERATION COSTS FOR THE LONG TERM. OVER THE COURSE OF TWO PLANTING SEASONS, WE'VE PLANTED ALMOST 200 NEW TREES IN THE DOWNTOWN, WE'VE PRIORITIZED THIS WORK, BECAUSE IT'S A COST EFFECTIVE WAY TO IMMEDIATELY IMPROVE DOWNTOWN'S VISUAL APPEARANCE. AND IT HAS A CLIMATE BENEFIT. THE TREE'S BENEFIT WILL ONLY EXPAND WITH AGE. WE EXPANDED IN GARBAGE CANS. WE REPLACED THE MISMATCHED CANS THAT WERE DOWNTOWN. THEY ALLOW HANDS FREE USE FOR THE USER, BUT IMPORTANTLY, THEY'RE EASY FOR CUSTODIAL STAFF TO EMPTY, AND DIFFICULT FOR ANYONE TO REACH IN TO PULL GARBAGE OUT, WHICH CAN OFTEN LEAVE A MESS ON THE SIDEWALK FOR CUSTODIAL STAFF TO CLEAN UP. WE INSTALLED BICYCLE RACKS TO ACCOMMODATE OVER 200 BICYCLES DOWNTOWN. AND WE REPAIRED SIDEWALKS THROUGHOUT THE DOWNTOWN ELIMINATING TRIP HAZARDS. TODAY I ALSO WANT TO BRING YOU A PREVIEW OF PROPOSED LARGER PROJECTS WE PLAN TO BRING YOU LATER THIS YEAR. ONE INCLUDES LANDSCAPING, AT THE INTERSECTION OF BROADWAY AND OLIVE.

OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS, THE CITY HAS USED TEMPORARY PLANTARS AT THIS INTERSECTION TO DISCOURAGE NEGATIVE BEHAVIOR AND IMPROVE AESTHETICS WITH PLANTS AND FLOWERS. WE'RE EXPLORING THE COST TO REPLACE THAT TEMPORARY SOLUTION WITH IN GROUND LANDSCAPING AND IRRIGATION, TO ENHANCE THE APPEARANCE OF THE INTERSECTION. THIS IS A DRAFT RENDERING OF THE PROPOSED CHANGES ON OLIVE STREET NORTH OF BROADWAY. WE ALSO PLAN TO BRING YOU REQUESTS FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL HELP IMPROVE THE NORTH AND SOUTH CONNECTIVITY IN THE DOWNTOWN CORE. THESE PROPOSED PROJECTS ARE PART OF OUR EFFORTS TO BUILD CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE POSITIVE ACTIVITY UP AT THE 5th STREET MARKET AND THE FARMER'S MARKET PAVILION. THE GROWING VIBRANCY ON WILLAMETTE, AND 1059 WILLAMETTE WILL BE REMODELLED. THE FIRST PEDESTRIANS CONNECTIVITY IS ON OAK AND PEARL, BETWEEN 6th AND 7th STREETS. WE'RE WORKING ON DESIGNS AND COST ESTIMATES TO IMPROVE THE PEDESTRIANS EXPERIENCE FROM THE FARMER'S MARKET UP TO THE DISTRICT. THE EXISTING SIDEWALKS ARE NARROW, THEY SLOPE IN A VARIETY OF DIRECTIONS. AND WATER POOLS ON 9 SIDEWALK.

WE'RE WORKING WITH URBAN FORESTRY, TO MAINTAIN THE CURRENT TREE CANOPY. AND PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FROM TREES. THIS PROJECT INCLUDES THE WEST AND EASTSIDE OF OAK STREET. AND THE WEST SIDE OF PEARL, IT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE SIDEWALK IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO THE FEDERAL BUILDING. THE THIRD PROJECT THAT WE'RE EXPLORING IS TO IMPROVE PEDESTRIANS SAFETY ALONG 6th AND 7th. IN THIS PROJECT, WE WOULD REALLOCATE THE RIGHT HAND TRAVEL LANES OF BOTH AVENUES THROUGH TRAFFIC TO PARALLEL PARKING.

IT WOULD ALSO ADD CURB EXTENSIONS AND TEMPORARY PHYSICAL PROTECTIVE ACHIEVEMENTS. THIS WOULD SHORTEN THE CROSSING DISTANCE FOR PEDESTRIANS, THERE BY SIGNIFICANTLY LOWERING THEIR EXPOSURE, WHILE ALSO SLOWING MOTORCYCLE SPEEDS, THE PROJECT WOULD CREATE A BARRIER BETWEEN A BUSY ROAD AND PEDESTRIANS, WHILE ADDING ON STREET PARKING. TRAFFIC MODELLING SHOWS THIS WOULD NOT AFFECT OVERALL TRAVEL TIMES. IT'S PLANNED AS A PILOT, DESIGN ADJUSTMENTS OR REVERSAL WOULD BE POSSIBLE. THE PROJECT IS INTENDED TO BE INSTALLED RELATIVELY QUICKLY AND WOULD BE SUPPORTED BY COMMUNITY OUTREACH BEFORE AND AFTER INSTALLATION. IN THIS WAY, THE CITY CAN BEST LEARN FROM AND BE RESPONSIVE TO COMMUNITY OUTPUT. TODAY'S INFORMATION IS JUST TO GIVE YOU A PREVIEW OF THE FULL PROPOSAL THAT WE'LL BRING YOU THIS FALL. NOW, I'M GOING TO HAND IT OVER TO DYLAN WHO WILL DESCRIBE OUR PROPOSAL, TO CREATE A PROGRAM TO ENCOURAGE COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY IN THE DOWNTOWN. THIS PROPOSAL IS AN ELIGIBLE EXPENSE IN BOTH URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICTS IN THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE SUB CATEGORY, UNDER PROJECTS/INITIATED BY THE AGENCY BOARD. DYLAN?

>> THANK YOU, ANN. WE'RE BRINGING A PROPOSAL FOR A TENANT IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM. STAFF BELIEVE THIS PROGRAM WOULD PUT A VALUABLE NEW TOOL IN OUR ECONOMIC TOOLBOX FOR BUILDING DOWNTOWN VITALITY AND PROMOTING BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY. DOWNTOWN EUGENE HAS FAR TOO MANY VACANT STOREFRONTS. DISCOURAGING FOOT TRAFFIC AND HAMPERING OVER ALL VIBRANCY. THERE ARE TOO MANY UNACCOMPANIED OFFICES. THIS PROGRAM SEEKS TO CONVERT THOSE HUBS BACK TO ACTIVITY. EUGENE HAS THE MAKINGS OF ONE OF THE BEST DOWNTOWNS IN OUR AREA. TOTAL DOWNTOWN IS HOLDING STEADY. EMPLOYEES ARE SPENDING FEWER DAYS IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS THAN THEY ONCE DID, POST COVID. THESE AMENITIES WILL COMPLIMENT THE HOUSING WE HAVE BEEN STRIVING FOR. THERE ARE MANY REASONS WHY A COMMERCIAL SPACE MAY BE VACANT. UNITS WOULD BE MOTHER SUITABLE FOR THEIR NEXT BUSINESS TENANT. AS CONSTRUCTION COSTS HAVE RISEN, RENTS HAVE NOT RISEN AT THE SAME PACE. CREATING FUNDING GAPS FOR BUSINESS OWNERS. THE PROPERTY OWNERS AND BROKERS WE SPOKE TO, SHARED THAT COSTS FOR THESE PROJECTS OUTWEIGH THE POTENTIAL INCREASES IN

POTENTIAL REVENUE. IN 2024 AND 2025, THE AGENCY BOARD APPROVED AMENDMENTS TO THE TWO URBAN RENEW TENANT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PLANS. ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES DESCRIBED IN THE PLANS, INCLUDE IMPROVEMENTS TO GROUND FLOOR STORE FRONTS. SINCE THEN, STAFF HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING THIS CONCEPT, BASED ON CONVERSATIONS WITH DOWNTOWN PROPERTY OWNERS, MANAGERS, BROKERS AND BUSINESS TENANTS. WE COMPLETED AN OUTREACH PUSH FOR INFORMATION. WE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE SUCCESSFULLY UTILIZED TENANT PROGRAMS, INCLUDING RENO, CHICAGO, TIGER AND BEAVERTON.

THE CENTRAL GOAL OF THE PROPOSED TENANT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IS ACTIVATING COMMERCIAL SPACES AND ENCOURAGING MORE VISITORS AND WORKERS DOWNTOWN. THIS COULD MEAN BRINGING MORE BUSINESSES INTO EMPTY STOREFRONTS. THE DOWNTOWN PRIORITIES AND PROJECTS, PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT EFFORT, HIGHLIGHTED A SHARED VISION OF DOWNTOWN THAT IS FULL OF PEOPLE. ENJOYING THE CITY TOGETHER THROUGHOUT THE DAY. THE COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED STRENGTHENING DOWNTOWN'S COMMERCIAL ENVIRONMENT AS ONE OF THE KEY OPPORTUNITY AREAS FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE OUTREACH ULTIMATELY LED TO THE URBAN RENEWAL PLANNED AMENDMENTS. IMPROVING OUR COMMERCIAL BUILDING STOCK AND SUPPORTING DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES. AND THOSE EMPLOYEES AND CUSTOMERS WILL BE OUT AND ABOUT IN DOWNTOWN EUGENE, CONTRIBUTING WITH THE OVERALL VIBRANCY AND THE HEART OF THE COMMUNITY. THESE FUNDS WOULD COVER A PORTION OF THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ADAPTING COMMERCIAL SPACES TO NEW USES OR UPGRADING SPACES WITH MODERN FEATURES. ATTACHMENT A INCLUDES THE FULL DESCRIPTION. GRANTS WOULD BE AWARDED FOR PERMANENT BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS THAT SUPPORT BUSINESS RETENTION, EXPANSION AND RECRUITMENT DOWNTOWN. THESE ARE IMPROVEMENTS THAT ADD LONG TERM VALUE TO THE DOWNTOWN BUILDING STOCK, THAT COULD BENEFIT BUSINESS TENANTS. PLUMBING, ELECTRICAL WORK AND OTHER UNSEEN BUILDING SYSTEMS. ADA UPGRADES AND MORE. GRANTS COULD COVER WORK, ADDING A SPACE THAT WOULD MAKE A GREAT RESTAURANT. OR ADDING A FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM TO A GATHERING VENUE. NEW AND EXISTING BUSINESSES MIGHT WANT TO PURSUE THESE FUNDS THEMSELVES, THE FUND IS CRAFTED TO ALLOW BUILDING MANAGERS, OWNERS OR TENANTS TO APPLY FOR GRANTS. IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE PROGRAM TO BE QUICK, SO IT CAN FUNCTION FOR BUSINESSES THAT ARE ON THE HUNT FOR ANY LOCATION.

THESE GRANTS WOULD FUND LASTING IMPROVEMENTS THAT INCREASE THE VALUE AND UTILITY OF THE PROPERTY. TEMPORARY USES ARE NOT ELIGIBLE. THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY WOULD PAY UP TO 70% OF TOTAL PROJECT COSTS, THERE'S A REQUIREMENT FOR APPLICANTS TO

PAY AT LEAST 30% OF TOTAL COSTS. GRANTS COULD BE AWARDED UP TO $250,000, WE'RE NOT PROPOSING A MINIMUM. WE'RE PROPOSING THIS AS A FIVE-YEAR PILOT, FUNDED WITH $2 MILLION OF URBAN RENEWAL FUNDS. 1.5 FROM THE DOWNTOWN DISTRICT AND HALF A MILLION FROM THE RIVERFRONT DISTRICT. THE PROPOSED PROGRAM BOUNDARY MATCHES THE DOWNTOWN CORE INITIATIVE. IT INCLUDES ALL OF THE DOWNTOWN RENEWAL DISTRICT, THAT FEELS LIKE THE CORE OF DOWNTOWN. THE PROGRAM PRIORITIES, ALL APPLICANTS WOULD NEED TO DEMONSTRATE HOW THEIR PROJECT FILLS VACANT SPACE OR PREVENTS VACANCY IN THE LONG TERM. IN ADDITION TO THE CORE REQUIREMENTS, APPLICANTS WOULD NEED TO SHOW HOW THEY MEET AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER PRIORITIES. GROUND FLOOR ACTIVATION THAT PROMOTES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PUBLIC OUTSIDE. INCREASING DOWNTOWN EMPLOYMENT, OR EMPHASIZING FINANCIAL CULTURAL, ENTREPRENEURIAL OR CIVILIZED OPPORTUNITIES. THESE ARE THE STEPS WE CAN EXPECT IF THE AGENCY BOARD CHOOSES TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PROGRAM. WE WOULD DEVELOP IMPLEMENTATIONS, ALIGNED WITH THE BOARDS PREFERENCES. NEXT AT A FUTURE MEETING, THE BOARD WOULD HAVE THE OPTION TO APPROVE THOSE RESOLUTIONS, STAFF WOULD DEVELOP ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND OPEN FOR APPLICATIONS. OVER THE COMING MONTHS AND YEARS, WE WOULD PROMOTE GRANT OPPORTUNITIES AND ACCEPT APPLICATIONS AND AWARD TENANT IMPROVEMENT GRANTS. STAFF WOULD RETURN TO THE BOARD IF THE PILOT PERIOD EXPIRES, OR IF WE PERCEIVE THAT CHANGES ARE NEEDED TO INCREASE THE PROGRAM'S APPEAL. TODAY YOU HAVE SEVERAL OPTIONS DESCRIBED IN THE AIS. YOU COULD PROVIDE DIRECTION TO PREPARE RESOLUTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM, WHICH WOULD COVER BOTH THE DOWNTOWN AND URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICTS. STAFF COULD BASE THE DETAILS ON THOSE RESOLUTIONS BASED ON ATTACHMENT A, OR THE AGENCY BOARD COULD REQUEST CHANGES TO THOSE DETAILS. YOU COULD REQUEST AN ORIGINAL WORK SESSION TO HAVE MORE TIME TO DISCUSS THE DETAILS OF THE PROGRAM. OR YOU COULD TAKE NO ACTION ON STAFF'S PROPOSED PROGRAM. WITH THAT, STAFF IS READY TO TAKE QUESTIONS.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT PRESENTATION. I'LL OPEN THE FLOOR FOR COUNCIL DISCUSSION, I HAVE COUNCILOR GROVES IN THE CUE. I'LL KEEP AN EYE ON THE REMOTE COUNCIL. COUNCILOR KEATING AS WELL.

>> Councilor Groves: THANK YOU. I'M THRILLED WE'RE PUTTING MORE ENERGY AND EFFORT INTO OUR RESOURCES DOWNTOWN, WHICH I THINK IS CRITICAL TO OUR ENTIRE CITY. I APPRECIATE THAT. I SUPPORT THE PROPOSED PILOT PROGRAM, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT THAT PRODUCES, FOR THE CITY MANAGER, JENNY, CAN YOU SPEAK DIRECTLY ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH TENANT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS YOU SAW IN BEAVERTON?

>> YES. BASICALLY, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NOT HAVING ONE AND HAVING ONE, IS THAT IT COULD MAKE OR BREAK WHETHER A BUSINESS COMES INTO YOUR COMMUNITY. MANY OF THE BUSINESSES, PARTICULARLY IN DOWNTOWN BEAVER TON, WHERE THEY WERE TRYING TO DIVERSIFY AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TO PEOPLE OF COLOR, LGBTQIA, IMMIGRANT COMMUNITY, TRYING TO HELP THEM GET OVER THE GOAL LINE AND GET IN, BECAUSE SO MANY OF THE TI'S WERE COST PROHIBITIVE, THIS WAS A SYSTEM THAT HELPED THEM MAKE THAT LEAP INTO THE BUSINESS. IN ADDITION TO GETTING SUPPORT FROM WHAT WE WILL HAVE AS THE BUSINESS LIAISON THAT YOU'VE GRANTED FUNDING FOR. I THINK THIS IS REALLY CRITICAL, IT'S GOING TO BE A CATALYST FOR GETTING NEW BUSINESSES AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT -- IT'S BETTER LATE THAN NEVER TO DO THIS. THE FACT THAT WE'RE TAKING $2 MILLION TO MAKE INVESTMENTS IN THE COMMUNITY, I THINK A FIVE-YEAR PILOT IS APPROPRIATE. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS CONTINUE IN PERPETUITY.

>> THANK YOU. THAT'S HELPFUL TO HEAR THAT. ARE THERE PLANS FOR A PUBLIC INFORMATION PIECE ON THIS? OFTEN TIMES WE DO REALLY GOOD WORK, BUT NOBODY SEEMS TO KNOW ABOUT IT, I THINK THAT THAT REALLY NEEDS TO BE A CRITICAL STEP AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS TYPE OF THING, SO THEY UNDERSTAND THE WHY.

>> WE CERTAINLY WOULD PLAN ON THERE BEING A MAJOR PUSH TO GET KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE PROGRAM THAT'S PUT IN PLACE, SPREAD THROUGH THE COMMUNITY, ESPECIALLY HOW IT MIGHT COMPLIMENT OUR EXISTING PROGRAMS, LOANS. WE WOULD LEAN ON THAT IN THE FUTURE TO MAKE SURE THAT BUSINESSES KNOW THIS OPPORTUNITY IS OUT THERE. IF THEY DON'T KNOW ABOUT IT, THEY CAN'T APPLY FOR IT.

>> RIGHT.

>> WE HAVE AN OUTREACH EFFORT TO PROPERTY OWNERS, WITH PROPERTIES IN THAT BOUNDARY. PROPERTY OWNERS AND COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE BROKERS, WE HAVE FOUND THE BROKERS, THEY'RE THE KEY, THE LINCHPIN TO CONNECT BETWEEN POTENTIAL TENANTS AND PROPERTY OWNERS. MOST OF THE FEEDBACK WE'VE GOTTEN ON WHY WE FORMED THE PROGRAM 24 WAY, IS FROM TALKING TO FOLKS WHO ARE REPRESENTING PROPERTY IN THE DOWNTOWN.

>> Councilor Groves: EXCELLENT. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WE PULL IN DEI ON THIS, IF WE HAVEN'T ALREADY. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO BRING IN PROPERTY OWNERS OF VACANT PROPERTIES TOO. AND SAY LOOK, WE'RE GOING TO START WORKING ON THIS, AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO TO HELP THEM GET THOSE PLACES FILLED, AND IF NOT, WHAT WE CAN DO ON THE COERCION END. BECAUSE THE BOTTOM LINE IS, WE HAVE A CARROT, BUT

WE NEED A STICK TO FOLLOW IT UP WITH. MY LAST QUESTION IS ON THE ROAD COLLABORATIONS, ARE WE COLLABORATING WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT?

>> THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION I CAN'T ANSWER OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. BUT WE'LL MAKE SURE WE ARE WHEN WE COME BACK IN THE FALL.

>> Councilor Groves: ONE THING TO CONSIDER IS WE GET BIGGER BUILDINGS DOWNTOWN. TO RAISE AN AERIAL DEVICE, YOU NEED TO SET YOUR STABILIZERS WHICH CAN TAKE UP ABOUT 18 FEET OF WIDTH. AND THE WAY WE POUR CONCRETE IN PLACES, THERE'S A LOT OF SPOTS IN DOWNTOWN YOU COULD NOT DO THAT. AND THE WHEEL BASE IS NOT STRONG ENOUGH TO HOLD THE CANTILEVER. I THINK IT'S MORE IMPORTANT THAT OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT KNOW WHAT'S OPEN AND WHAT'S NOT, THAN IT IS FOR THIS COUNCIL. AND I KNOW THAT'S NOT YOUR AREA, BUT AS WE WORK TO IMPROVE THE STREET GRID DOWNTOWN, AND I SUPPORT WALKABLE FRIENDLY STREETS THAT ARE SAFE AND KEEP PEOPLE OUT OF THE TRAVEL LANES OR MINIMIZE THE TIME THEY'RE IN THE TRAVEL LANES, BUT STILL, WE NEED TO BE HAVING OUR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR KEATING?

>> Councilor Keating: THANK YOU. I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS SIMILAR TO COUNCILOR GROVES AROUND VACANCY. VACANT OR UNDERUTILIZED SPACES, DO WE HAVE A HEAT MAP WE CAN SHARE WITH COUNCIL ABOUT THOSE SPACES THAT ARE VACANT OR UNDERUTILIZED. IF THIS DOESN'T PAN OUT OR DOESN'T WORK, IN THE FUTURE, I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN EXPLORING WHAT OTHER MUNICIPALITIES HAVE DONE IN REGARD TO A VACANCY TAX OR REALLY, BEING MORE HEAVY HANDED. AT THIS JUNCTURE THAT'S CLEARLY NOT ON THE TABLE. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN SEEING, IN THIS DOWNTOWN AND RIVERFRONT RENEWAL DISTRICT, WHERE THOSE VACANT BUILDINGS EXIST.

>> WE DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE IT IN THE FORM OF A HEAT MAP, BUT WE HAVE DATA SHOWING THE TRENDS OVER TIME. AS PART OF IMPLEMENTING THIS PROGRAM, WE WOULD LOOK TO FILL IN THOSE GAPS ABOUT WHERE THE VACANT SPACES ARE, WHERE THEY'RE CONCENTRATED, WHAT THEIR NATURE IS, IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO DATA GATHERING TO BE ABLE TO PRODUCE SOMETHING LIKE A HEAT MAP

>> Councilor Keating: THAT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED. WHY IS THE PROPOSAL A MAP -- THE PROPOSED MAP ON SLIDE 11, WHY IS IT JUST THE DOWNTOWN URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT IN ITS ENTIRETY, AND A

PORTION THEREOF OF THE RIVERFRONT. WHY IS IT NOT BOTH URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICTS IN THEIR ENTIRETY?

>> I THINK WE DEVELOPED A PROGRAM WITH THE INTENTION OF FOCUSING ON THE CORE OF THE DOWNTOWN, WHICH IS A PRECEDENT FROM THE DOWNTOWN CORE HOUSING INITIATIVE, HAD DEMONSTRATED TO BE THE TARGETED AREA OF THE WESTERN END OF THE RIVERFRONT ONLY.

>> IT'S THE PART OF TOWN THAT HAS THE MOST CHALLENGES. ON THE OTHER SIDE OF FERRY STREET, WHERE FRANKLIN TURNS INTO FERRY STREET. IT'S LESS OF A RETAIL CENTER, AND IT'S PART OF THE RIVERFRONT DISTRICT, AND IT'S THE DOWNTOWN CORE WHERE YOU REALLY NOTICE THE VACANCIES THAT NEED THAT HELP TO FILL UP.

>> Councilor Keating: RIGHT. WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO COMMENT ON THE COMPLICATIONS CONCERNING -- THE COMPLICATIONS AROUND CONVERTING BUILDINGS TO RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL HYBRIDS. WITHOUT RAZING THEM TO THE GROUND. IF THERE'S ANYTHING THIS COMMITTEE CAN DO TO OVERCOME SAID ISSUES. IS THERE A WAY TO HAVE RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL HYBRIDS AS PART OF THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION.

>> LIKE A LIVE/WORK PROGRAM?

>> I THINK THE LIVE/WORK MAY GIVE US SOME ADMINISTRATIVE CHALLENGES. IN DOWNTOWN EUGENE, WE'VE SEEN COMMERCIAL CONVERSION TO RESIDENTIAL. AND BROADWAY AND OLIVE, WHERE IT'S WELLS FARGO ON THE GROUND FLOOR. ABOVE THAT, IT'S ALL RESIDENTIAL, AND THERE'S A BUILDING ON PARK -- PEARL STREET BETWEEN 8th AND BROADWAY, THAT'S NOW RESIDENTIAL. WE'VE HAD SOME GOOD SUCCESS WITH IT. THERE'S SOME INTEREST IN CONVERTING EXISTING BUILDINGS, TO RESIDENTIAL, THEY'RE TRYING TO WORK THROUGH THE ARCHITECTURAL AND BUILDING ISSUES. IT'S REALLY BIG FLOOR PLATES, ARE HARDER TO CONVERT. SMALLER BUILDINGS ARE EASIER TO CONVERT, I THINK THE TOOLS WE HAVE TO ENCOURAGE HOUSING ARE GOING TO BE THE PRIMARY TOOL TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION.

>> Councilor Keating: GREAT, THANK YOU. MY FINAL QUESTION, MY APOLOGIES FOR PHYSICALLY BEING TARDY TODAY, I WAS MONITORING ELECTRONICALLY ON THE WAY IN HERE. ANN, YOU SAID THIS -- THIS IS A BIT OF A SENSITIVE QUESTION, I APOLOGIZE IN ADVANCE. THE SIDEWALK ADJACENT TO THE FEDERAL BUILDING IS NOT PART OF THIS --

>> IT'S NOT PART OF IT.

>> Councilor Keating: WHY IS THAT?

>> WHEN WE FIRST STARTED LOOKING AT THIS WORK, IT WAS ABOUT A YEAR AGO, THAT SIDEWALK DIDN'T HAVE THE CHALLENGES THAT THE SIDEWALK ON THE WEST SIDE OF PEARL AND THE SIDEWALKS ON OAK STREET HAVE. AND WE DIDN'T -- THEY WERE IN GOOD ENOUGH SHAPE AT THAT TIME, THAT WE DIDN'T INCLUDE IT IN THIS EFFORT, IT WASN'T A PART OF THIS SCOPE OF WORK

>> Councilor Keating: OKAY. I MAY FOLLOW UP ON IT, BECAUSE OF THE COMPLICATIONS AROUND THAT BUILDING RIGHT NOW, THAT WE'RE WITNESSING, I MAY FOLLOW UP OFFLINE ABOUT IDEAS AND SUGGESTIONS, BUT --

>> IT'S ALSO OUTSIDE OF THE URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT.

>> Councilor Keating: IT IS? THEN NEVER MIND. THANK YOU, MAYOR.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR KASHINSKY?

>> Councilor Kashinsky: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION AND THE WORK YOU'VE BEEN DOING ON THIS. I FEEL LIKE MOST OF MY QUESTIONS GOT ANSWERED THROUGH YOUR PRESENTATION OR OTHER COUNCILORS, I JUST FIGURED I WOULD CHIME IN WITH MY SUPPORT FOR MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS PROJECT. I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE A VERY, VERY BENEFICIAL PROJECT FOR OUR DOWNTOWN. AND THEN I ALSO WANTED TO REFERENCE SOME OF THE PREVIOUS PROJECTS YOU MENTIONED EARLIER IN THE PRESENTATION. AND NOTE IN PARTICULAR, THE NEW TRASH CANS, I FEEL LIKE HAVE MADE A HUGE DIFFERENCE FOR CLEANLINESS DOWNTOWN, AND FEELING LIKE THINGS DOWNTOWN ARE CLEAN AND COMFORTABLE TO WALK AROUND. IT'S A NOTICEABLE DIFFERENCE, AND I SEE THAT AS AN EXAMPLE OF THE TYPE OF BENEFIT THAT SOME OF THESE SMALL INVESTMENTS CAN PROVIDE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR ZELENKA?

>> Councilor Zelenka: THANKS. YEAH, I THINK THIS IS A GOOD PROGRAM TOO. IT SHOULD HELP. $2 MILLION SEEMS LIKE AN APPROPRIATE AMOUNT FOR A PILOT. HAVING DEALT WITH TENANT OWNER RELATIONSHIPS IN PREVIOUS CAREERS, I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT HOW THAT WORKS WITH SOMETIMES TENANTS DO THINGS WITHOUT THE OWNER'S PERMISSION. HOW ARE WE HANDLING THAT?

>> ONE ELEMENT OF THE APPLICATION WOULD BE GETTING THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO SIGN OFF. NO APPLICATION WOULD BE CONSIDERED COMPLETE WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER.

>> Councilor Zelenka: OKAY, GOOD. THE SELECTION PROCESS, IS IT FIRST COME FIRST SERVED OR IS IT COMPETITIVE?

>> THE PRIORITIES WE HAVE WRITTEN IN HERE, THAT ARE THE KEY CRITERIA FOR GRANTS, WOULD GIVE US THE BEST CHOICES TO MEET THE URBAN PLAN GOALS. IF WE WERE IN A COMPETITIVE STATE, HAVING SEVERAL APPLICATIONS ANOTHER THE SAME TIME -- IF THEY'RE NOT COMING IN AT THE SAME TIME, WE'RE NOT PROCESSING THEM AT THE SAME TIME. IT WOULD BE MORE OF A FIRST COME FIRST SERVE STATUS, AS LONG AS THE MONEY IS AVAILABLE TO KEEP GIVING GRANTS.

>> Councilor Zelenka: DO YOU HAVE EVALUATION CRITERIA YOU'RE USING THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO DENY AN APPLICATION FOR A GRANT?

>> IT'S --

>> Councilor Zelenka: THAT ARE CLEAR TO THE POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS? IT HAS TO BE ELIGIBLE IMPROVEMENTS, THEY HAVE TO HAVE ALL THESE OTHER DIFFERENT THINGS, YOU HAVE TO CHECK BOX ALL OF THESE THINGS, RIGHT? IN YOUR APPLICATION. IF YOU DON'T MEET IT YOU WOULD BE DENIED?

>> CORRECT. IT'S WRITTEN SO WE COULD LEAN ON THE GOALS IN THE URBAN RENEWAL PLAN, TO SAY, THIS ISN'T QUITE CUTTING THE MUSTARD. IT COULD BE A PERCEPTION OF DOING DAMAGE IN SOME OTHER ELEMENT OF THE URBAN PLAN GOALS THAT WOULD LET US DENY AN APPLICATION. IT'S WRITTEN SO WE HAVE SOME ADAPTABILITY. URBAN RENEWAL PLANS ARE WRITTEN IN THEMSELVES TO GIVE US A REASON TO PUT APPLICATIONS FORWARD OR DENY THEM. IS THAT ENOUGH OF AN ANSWER FOR YOU, COUNCILOR?

>> Councilor Zelenka: YES. BUSINESS AND USES MUST BE OPEN TO MINORS, WHY IS THAT IN THERE?

>> WHEN WE WERE CONSIDERING WHETHER OR NOT THERE WOULD BE A LIST OF PARTICULAR USES WE WOULD DISALLOW, WHAT IT BOILED DOWN TO WAS WHETHER A BUSINESS ALLOWS MINORS DURING BUSINESS HOURS. IT WOULD RULE OUT DISPENSARIES, ADULT USES AND RETAIL, AND BARS THAT ARE NOT OPEN AS RESTAURANTS DURING CERTAIN TIMES OF DAY. IT WAS A CATCH ALL THAT WOULD MAKE IT ON A LIST OF USES NOT TO SUPPORT WITH GRANTS.

>> Councilor Zelenka: ROUGHLY, WHAT PERCENTAGE OF BUILDINGS DOES THAT EXCLUDE?

>> IN TERMS OF EXISTING BUSINESSES DOWNTOWN?

>> YEAH.

>> I DON'T HAVE A NUMBER OF.

>> Councilor Zelenka: IT SEEMED LIKE A LOT, UP TO HALF.

>> I DON'T HAVE A BETTER NUMBER, IN TERMS OF CURRENT BUSINESS ACTIVITY. APOLOGIES.

>> Councilor Zelenka: OKAY. THANKS, MAYOR.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR YEH?

>> Councilor Yeh: THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS SOUNDS LIKE IT WOULD BE AN OPEN ROLLING PROCESS. I HAVE THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT, IF THAT'S A FIVE-YEAR PILOT, AND I WOULD ASSUME WE WOULD RUN OUT OF MONEY AT THE BEGINNING AND NOT HAVE MONEY FOR LATER, I DON'T KNOW HOW I FEEL ABOUT IT, BUT I DO THINK THAT FIRST COME FIRST SERVE BENEFITS FOLKS WHO ARE MAYBE MORE EXPERIENCED AND WOULD PROBABLY NOT BENEFIT PEOPLE FROM MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN GIVE THIS SOME THOUGHT BETWEEN NOW AND THEN. IT SEEMS LIKE HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE A BUNCH OF APPLICATIONS COME IN AT BUNDLED TIMES SO YOU COULD THEN COMPARE THEM TO EACH OTHER, MAY GIVE OPPORTUNITIES FOR US TO APPLY SOME OF THESE CRITERIA BETTER THAN JUST, EACH ONE COMES ALONG, DOES IT CHECK THE BOXES? YES, IT CHECKS ONE OF THE BOXES, GREAT, HERE'S YOUR MONEY. ARE WE ABLE TO SUPPORT THESE MARGINALIZED POPULATIONS THAT ARE TRYING TO GET SOMETHING DONE AND HAVE HAD MANY BARRIERS IN THEIR WAY, THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS. THAT WOULD BE MY FEEDBACK. OTHERWISE, I THINK THIS IS GREAT, AND APPRECIATE YOU BRINGING THIS FORWARD TO US.

>> THAT'S VERY HELPFUL FEEDBACK.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU, I'M GOING TO TURN TO OUR AGENCY PRESIDENT IN A MOMENT TO PUT A MOTION FORWARD, I WANT TO ECHO APPRECIATIONS FOR THIS PROGRAM, AND THE OUTLOOK YOU'VE IDENTIFIED TO EMERGENT BUSINESSES, BUT ALSO TO BROKERS. I THINK IT IS AN INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT CONNECTION POINT IN ALL OF THE WORK WE'RE DOING DOWNTOWN. I ALSO SHARE SOME OF THE THOUGHTS THAT

COUNCILOR YEH JUST IDENTIFIED, THAT HAVING THE ABILITY TO COMPARE RAFTS OF APPLICATIONS IS USEFUL, I ALSO THINK THE NIMBLE NATURE OF THIS PROGRAM, AND IT'S RESPONSIVENESS TO OPPORTUNITY IS IMPORTANT TO PRESERVE. AS YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE INFORMATION THAT WOULD COME BACK AS A RESOLUTION RELATED TO THIS WORK. TRYING TO STRIKE THAT BALANCE COULD BE IMPORTANT, AND EVEN WITH A PILOT PROGRAM, IN THE FIRST YEAR OR TWO, WE COULD LEARN SOME THINGS. WE KNOW THERE ARE TYPICAL LEASES INVOLVED, TYPICAL TIME LINES, THAT MAY ALSO TELL US ABOUT CHURN AND THE POSSIBILITY OF TRANSITION IN DIFFERENT LOCATIONS. JUST ADD THOSE THOUGHTS TO THE MIX THAT YOU ALL ARE CONSIDERED. WITH THAT I WILL NOW --

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR KEATING FOR A 30 SECOND SECOND ROUND.

>> Councilor Keating: THANK YOU, COUNCILOR ZELENKA'S QUESTIONING GOT ME THINKING ABOUT ONE OF MY FAVORITE THEATERS IN THE REGION HAPPENS TO BE SQUARELY IN THE DOWNTOWN URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT. THEY DO SHOW RATED R MOVIES THAT WOULD PREVENT MINORS FROM ENTERING. MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FIND A WAY TO CARVE OUT AND CREATE A PATHWAY FOR LOCAL MOVIE THEATERS, WHO ARE TENANTS, TO STILL ACCESS THE DOLLARS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE REST OF THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY, PLEASE.

>> DEFINITELY AGREE,ING AND I WILL CLARIFY, FIGURING OUT THE CORRECT WORDING FOR ALLOWING MINORS WAS A BIT TRICKY. IN ORDER TO BE MADE INELIGIBLE, THE BUSINESS WOULD HAVE TO BE CLOSED TO MINORS AT ALL TIMES.

>> Councilor Keating: THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. I WOULDN'T WANT THEM TO BE SIDELINED.

>> Mayor Knudson: I WILL NOW TURN TO THE AGENCY PRESIDENT TO PUT A MOTION FORWARD.

>> Councilor Leech: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I MOVE TO DIRECT THE AGENCY DIRECTOR TO BRING BACK RESOLUTIONS, RELATED TO THE DOWNTOWN URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT, AND THE URBAN RIVERFRONT RENEWAL DISTRICT. INCORPORATING THE PROGRAM ELEMENTS DESCRIBED IN ATTACHMENT A TO THIS AIS.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: SECOND.

>> Mayor Knudson: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? SEEING NONE, I'LL TAKE A VOTE FOR THIS MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. I SEE THREE IN THE ROOM. 4, 5, 6. 8. THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

WITH A VOTE OF 8-0. THAT CONCLUDES OUR FIRST AGENDA ITEM TODAY. I WILL TURN TO THE AGENCY DIRECTOR TO INTRODUCE OUR SECOND TOPIC, WHICH IS AN ACTION ON THE RIVERFRONT RENEWAL.

>> THIS ACTION ITEM IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO RENEW THE MAYOR'S NOMINATIONS, AND APPOINT FOUR NEW MEMBERS TO THE RIVER GUIDES. THE MAYOR'S NOMINATIONS ARE INCLUDED IN ATTACHMENT A. COUNCIL APPROVED ALL FOUR OF THESE APPOINTMENTS AT THE JUNE 8th COUNCIL MEETING. HOWEVER, THE PROCESS YOU HAVE APPROVED FOR THE RIVER GUIDE APPOINTMENTS, REQUIRES AGENCY APPROVAL, WHICH YOU ARE CURRENTLY GAVELLED IN TO DO.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU, I'LL TURN TO THE AGENCY PRESIDENT TO PUT A MOTION FORWARD. I'M SORRY, COUNCILOR EVANS, HAS A QUESTION.

>> Councilor Evans: I DON'T. MY HAND WAS STILL UP, IT WAS STUCK FROM THE LAST TIME.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU, COUNCILOR. I'LL TURN BACK TO THE AGENCY PRESIDENT TO -- UNLESS COUNCILOR ZELENKA, IS YOUR HAND NEW?

>> Councilor Zelenka: YES, IT IS. YOU SAID FOUR PAYMENTS? I HAVE THIS AS DAN, CAROL, PHIL, JOE, ALL OF WHICH ARE GREAT, AND I THINK PEOPLE SHOULD KNOW.

>> I MOVE TO APPOINT DAN CAROL, PHIL FARRINGTON, JOE MONTHLY AND DANA SIEBERT TO THE RIVERFRONT URBAN RENEWAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE JULY 1, 2026. JUNE 30, 2028.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: SECOND.

>> Mayor Knudson: I THINK I HEARD A SECOND.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: YES, SECOND.

>> Mayor Knudson: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION FROM THE AGENCY? SEEING NONE, I'LL TAKE A VOTE? ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HANDS. THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY, WITH A VOTE OF 8-0. THANK YOU ALL, I NOW CLOSE THE JUNE 24, 2026 URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY MEETING, AND CALL THE EUGENE CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION TO ORDER WE WILL TURN BACK TO THE CITY MANAGER TO INTRODUCE OUR THIRD TOPIC. AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR. THE COUNCIL'S DIRECTED THE CITY MANAGER TO DEVELOP A DRAFT ORDINANCE THAT REQUIRES SELLERS TO DISCLOSE A HOME ENERGY SCORE WHEN LISTING A HOME FOR SALE. BEFORE YOU THIS AFTERNOON IS AN ORDINANCE FOR YOUR REVIEW, COUNCIL CAN PROVIDE FEEDBACK, DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING AND/OR WORK SESSION FOR A POSSIBLE ACTION. WE HAVE MEMBERS SETTING UP RIGHT NOW FROM OUR SUSTAINABILITY TEAM THAT WILL MAKE A PRESENTATION TO YOU.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, CITY COUNCIL AND MAYOR. I AM A CLIMATE POLICY ANALYST IN THE SUSTAINABILITY PROGRAM, JOINING ME IS IAN PENN THE SUSTAINABILITY MANAGER. STAFF ARE PRESENTING A DRAFT ORDINANCE AND CODE PROVISIONS FOR A RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT. STAFF DISCUSSED HOME ENERGY SCORES EARLIER THIS SPRING. AS A QUICK AGENDA, I WILL REVIEW WHAT WAS SHARED AT THE PRIOR TWO WORK SESSIONS, THEN GO OVER THE DRAFT ORDINANCE AND CODE. TODAY YOU MAY REVIEW THE DRAFT AND YOU MAY DECIDE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING AND A WORK SESSION FOR POSSIBLE ADOPTION. I SPENT A LOT OF TIME AT THE WORK SESSION IN FEBRUARY, TALKING ABOUT THE CONDITION OF MUCH OF EUGENE'S HOUSING STOCK, WITH MANY HOMES IN OUR REGION BEING VERY INEFFICIENT, THESE CONDITIONS LEAD TO HIGHER ENERGY COSTS, REDUCED INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND COMFORT, AND INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE. FROM TALKING DIRECTLY TO MANY RESIDENTS, WE KNOW THAT MANY OF THEM ARE UNAWARE OF HOW TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES. A ROUND OF ENGAGEMENT THAT WE CONDUCTED IN 2024, HIGHLIGHTED THAT PEOPLE NEED MORE GUIDANCE ON HOME IMPROVEMENTS THAT CAN IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY, AND WANT MORE EDUCATION ABOUT EXISTING INCENTIVES. EXPANDING THE USE OF HOME ENERGY SCORES IS ONE PATHWAY TO HELP ACHIEVE THESE PRIORITIES. PREVIOUS CITY COUNCIL MOTIONS HAVE DIRECTED THE CITY MANAGER TO DISCLOSE A HOME ENERGY DISCLOSURE IN EUGENE BY CREATING AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. THERE IS ONE MORE MOTION RELATED TO DEVELOPING OPTIONS FOR RENTERS, STAFF ARE CURRENTLY FOCUSED ON DEVELOPING THE POLICY FOR HOME SALES FIRST, BECAUSE THIS IS A MUCH MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD PATHWAY. THIS DIRECTION WAS ALSO ESTABLISHED THROUGH EUGENE'S CAP 2.0 WITH ACTION B4 WHEN DIRECTED THE CITY TO IDENTIFY STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING A MANDATORY HOME ENERGY SCORE PROGRAM. BEFORE I GET ANY DEEPER, I WANT TO GO INTO WHAT AN ENERGY SCORE IS. IT'S TO EVALUATE A VARIETY OF PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL FEATURES THROUGHOUT A HOME. THE ASSESSOR PROVIDES A REPORT THAT PROVIDES A SCORE ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 10. KIND OF LIKE A MILES PER GALLON RATING FOR A CAR. AND THE REPORT ALSO INCLUDES A LOT OF OTHER HELPFUL INFORMATION, LIKE ESTIMATED ENERGY COSTS OF THE HOME, RECOMMENDED

IMPROVEMENTS AND AVAILABLE REBATES. IN OTHER CITIES, INCLUDING FOUR IN OREGON, HAVE IMPLEMENTED A HOME ENERGY SCORE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT. THE WAY IT WORKS IN -- WITH THAT REQUIREMENT IS THAT THE OWNER OF A HOME WOULD GET A HOME ENERGY SCORE BEFORE THEY ADVERTISE THE HOME FOR SALE. THEY WOULD INCLUDE THE HOME ENERGY SCORE AND REPORT IN THE LISTING, AND THEN ANY PROSPECTIVE BUYER CAN VIEW THE INFORMATION AS THEY LOOK AT THE HOME. THE GOALS ARE TO INCREASE TRANSPARENCY, BY PROVIDING CLEAR CONSISTENT INFORMATION ABOUT THE HOME'S ENERGY USE AND COSTS. EDUCATE HOMEOWNERS AND BUYERS ABOUT POTENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVING ENERGY EFFICIENCY.

AND FINALLY, TO CONNECT HOMEOWNERS TO RESOURCES AND INCENTIVES THAT CAN HELP FINANCE UPGRADES. MANY OF THESE GOALS ALIGN WITH OTHER COUNCIL PRIORITIES, ESTABLISHED THROUGH THE CLIMATE ACTION PLAN AS WELL AS THE STRATEGIC PLAN AND EUGENE'S HOUSING IMPLEMENT PIPELINE. MY POINT WITH, THAT, MOVING IN THIS POLICY DIRECTION CONTINUES TO MOVE THE BALL DOWN THE FIELD, WITH SOME OF COUNCIL'S LARGER GOALS. AT THE FEBRUARY WORK SESSION, I ALSO PROVIDED AN INDEPTH OVERVIEW OF OUR RESEARCH. STAFF ENGAGED ROUGHLY 630 PEOPLE, SPECIFICALLY ON THE HOME ENERGY SCORE POLICY, LAST FALL AND WINTER, IN GENERAL, THERE WAS BROAD COMMUNITY SUPPORT, WHAT WE HEARD IS THAT MANY PEOPLE THINK THIS INFORMATION WOULD BE USEFUL. THOUGH THEY DID HAVE SOME CONCERNS AROUND HOW THIS WOULD AFFECT THE HOUSING MARKET. THE FULL ENGAGEMENT REPORT IS INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT B. WE ALSO HIRED EARTH ADVANTAGE TO RESEARCH WHAT HAS BEEN LEARNED IN OTHER CITIES. THEY ALSO LOOKED AT RECOMMENDED BEST PRACTICES, AND SUMMARIZED SOME OF THE HOUSING MARKET TRENDS IN EUGENE, WHAT WE LEARNED FROM THEIR RESEARCH IS THAT IN OTHER CITIES WHO HAVE THIS REQUIREMENT, HOME BUYERS ARE USING THE INFORMATION TO MAKE MORE INFORMED HOME INVESTMENT DECISIONS. HOMES THAT GET A SCORE ARE MORE LIKELY TO MAKE UPGRADES THAT IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY. AND THEY'RE REALLY HAS BEEN NO DISCERNIBLE IMPACTS TO THE HOUSING MARKET. THE FULL RESEARCH REPORT IS ATTACHMENT C. THERE ARE VARIOUS COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTING AND MANAGING THIS REQUIREMENT, INCLUDING PERSONNEL AND NONPERSONNEL COSTS. I DISCUSSED THESE INDEPTH AT THE MARCH WORK SESSION. BUT TO SUMMARIZE, THE REQUIREMENT IS ESTIMATED TO REQUIRE ABOUT HALF AN FTE TO MANAGE COMPLIANCE, COMMUNICATION AND OUTREACH. THERE ARE SOME START-UP COSTS TO ESTABLISH DATA AND REPORTING SYSTEMS, AND TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE ENOUGH ASSESSORS BEFORE THE POLICY TAKES EFFECT. THERE ARE ON GOING COSTS TO MAINTAIN THE HOME ENERGY SCORE SYSTEM LOCALLY, AND PROVIDE FREE SCORES FOR LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS. IT'S ESTIMATED THIS POLICY WILL COST APPROXIMATELY 100,000 ON AN ON

GOING BASIS. AT THE MARCH WORK SESSION, STAFF DISCUSSED THE PHASES OF THIS.

EVERYTHING I JUST SHARED HAS LAID A FOUNDATION FOR THE POLICY, THAT WAS PHASE 1. WE ARE MOVING INTO PHASE 2 WITH A DRAFT ORDINANCE, WHICH HAS BEEN INFORMED BY ALL OF THE GROUNDWORK COMPLETED IN PHASE 1. STAFF HAVE DEVELOPED THE ORDINANCE AND PROVISIONS, IT'S TITLED THE RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT, AND IT'S INCLUDED IN YOUR PACKET AS ATTACHMENT A. THE KEY COMPONENTS OF THE CODE INCLUDE GENERAL REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN AND DISCLOSE A HOME ENERGY SCORE WHEN A UNIT IS LISTED FOR SALE. IN TERMS OF ADMINISTRATION, THE CODE PROVIDES AUTHORITY TO THE CITY MANAGER TO ESTABLISH ADMINISTRATIVE RULES TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CODE, TO PROVIDE AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR INCOME QUALIFIED SELLERS AND THE AUTHORITY TO LEVY FOR NONCOMPLIANCE. THE CODE IDENTIFIES CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH A DWELLING UNIT IS EXEMPT. SUCH AS UNDER A VARIETY OF DISTRESSED SALES SITUATIONS OR INVOLUNTARY SALES, SUCH AS A PREFORECLOSURE SALE, A TRUSTEE SALE AND A FEW OTHERS, THERE ARE EXEMPTIONS FOR DWELLING UNITS FOR HOUSING TYPES THAT CANNOT BE SCORED, USING THE HOME ENERGY SCORE OR THAT HAVE A NONRESIDENTIAL USE. COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS, LARGE MULTISTORY APARTMENT COMPLEXES, INDUSTRIAL BUILDINGS WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO THIS. AND FINALLY, CONDITIONS UNDER -- FINALLY, THE CODE ALSO IDENTIFIES THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE SCORE AND REPORT EXPIRE. AFTER EIGHT YEARS OR AN ALTERATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT WOULD CHANGE THE ENERGY USAGE OF THE HOME. IF COUNCIL WERE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, THE CODE SPECIFIES THE REQUIREMENT WOULD TAKE EFFECT SIX MONTHS AFTER ADOPTION, TO GIVE STAFF TIME TO DEVELOP THE PROGRAM. IN TERMS OF HOW THIS REQUIREMENT WILL FUNCTION IN PRACTICE FOR PEOPLE, IS THAT MOST PEOPLE WOULD ONLY BE SUBJECT TO THIS REQUIREMENT WHEN THEY SELL THEIR HOME.

TYPICALLY ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN A LIFETIME, AND THEY TEND TO BE GUIDED THROUGH THAT PROCESS BY A REALTOR. SO BEFORE LISTING THEIR HOME, THE SELLER WOULD GET A SCORE, INCLUDED IN THE LISTING, IF THEY QUALIFY FOR AN EXEMPTION OR A FREE SCORE, THEY WOULD SUBMIT SOME PAPERWORK OR A BRIEF APPLICATION. IF THE LISTING IS POSTED WITHOUT A SCORE, THEY WOULD BE NOTIFIED, THEY WILL HAVE TIME -- THEY WILL BE PROVIDED A GRACE PERIOD TO COMPLY, AND REPEATED NONCOMPLIANCE AFTER NOTIFICATION MAY RESULT IN A PENALTY. WE RECOGNIZE THAT FOR REALTORS, THIS WILL BE ANOTHER ITEM ON THE CHECKLIST TO COMPLETE BEFORE A HOME CAN BE LISTED, AND SO MUCH OF OUR OUTREACH AND SUPPORT WILL BE GEARED TOWARD THEM. BUYERS WILL BE ABLE TO VIEW HOME ENERGY SCORES IN

ONLINE LISTINGS AND DURING HOME SHOWINGS, SO THEY CAN USE THIS INFORMATION TO COMPARE DIFFERENT HOMES OR WHEN DISCUSSING AN OFFER. THE INFORMATION IS DIFFERENT THAN WHAT'S IN AN INSPECTION REPORT THIS BECOMES ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT A BUYER HAS WHEN MAKING THAT FINAL DECISION. IF COUNCIL DECIDES TO ADOPT THE REQUIREMENT, STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND THE CITY FOLLOWS THE APPROACH OF OTHER CITIES TO SUPPORT A SMOOTH ROLLOUT AND COMPLIANCE. THIS WOULD INCLUDE DOING OUTREACH, A PUBLIC FACING WEBSITE, AND COMMUNICATION MATERIALS BEFORE THE REQUIREMENT GOES INTO EFFECT. WE WOULD ALSO RECOMMEND ORGANIZING A TRAINING, TO ENSURE THERE IS SUCH ENERGY ASSESSORS TO MEET THE NEW DEMAND. AND AS FOR HOW WE WOULD RECOMMEND APPROVING ENFORCEMENT, WHAT WE'VE SEEN IN OTHER CITIES IS THAT THEY TEND TO DO CONSISTENT MONITORING, AND WHEN A HOUSE IS NOT COMPLIANT, THEY WOULD PROVIDE NOTIFICATION AND SUPPORT, IT IS HELPFUL FOR THERE TO BE THE BACK STOP OF THE PENALTY TO COMPLY AFTER REPEATED NOTIFICATION, AND TYPICALLY THAT PENALTY IS NOTABLY HIGHER THAN THE COST OF GETTING A SCORE. THE INTENTION IS TO MAXIMIZE COMPLIANCE, NOT TO LEVY PENALTIES, AND THIS APPROACH HAS PROVEN EFFECTIVE IN OTHER CITIES, WE WILL RECOMMEND THE CITY MANAGER ADOPT A SIMILAR PROCESS IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES. BASICALLY, IN SUMMARY, WHAT WE CAN REASONABLY EXPECT AS AN OUTCOME OF THIS REQUIREMENT IS THAT IT WOULD EXPAND ACCESS TO INFORMATION ABOUT ENERGY USAGE, ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS AND AVAILABLE INCENTIVES, AND THAT THIS WOULD LEAD TO HOMES BECOMING MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT OVER TIME. THIS POLICY DIRECTION ALIGNS WITH PREVIOUS GOALS AND PRIORITIES, THAT COUNCIL HAS ESTABLISHED. AND THE DRAFT CODE HAS BEEN INFORMED BY RESEARCH, ENGAGEMENT AND BEST PRACTICES THAT WE'VE LEARNED. AS FOR NEXT STEPS, COUNCIL HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE ORDINANCE AND CODE. AND TODAY YOU MAY DECIDE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING IN A WORK SESSION FOR POSSIBLE ADOPTION. AND AT THIS TIME, WE'D LIKE TO OPEN IT UP, WE ARE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT WOULD INFORM YOUR DECISION. AND WITH THAT I TURN IT BACK OVER TO THE MAYOR.

>> THANK YOU, STAFF FOR THIS WORK, AND THAT UPDATE, I HAVE COUNCILOR CLARK, COUNCILOR ZELENKA, COUNCILOR KASHINSKY, COUNCILOR CLARK, AND COUNCILOR GROVES.

>> THANK YOU. AS I HAVE MENTIONED BEFORE, IN PREVIOUS PRESENTATIONS ON THIS, I'M ABSOLUTELY IN FAVOR OF THE IDEA OF HOME BUYERS HAVING ALL THE POSSIBLE INFORMATION THAT REFLECTS ON THEIR PURCHASE, THAT THEY COULD HAVE, AND IT'S A VERY GOOD THING. IT LEADS TO BETTER OUTCOMES FOR EVERYBODY. IN A HOST OF

WAYS. I WONDER IN THE ANALYSIS OF THIS, IF STAFF IS FAMILIAR WITH THE NEW REQUIREMENT FOR THE UAD3.6 FORMAT ALL.

>> I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THAT.

>> I'M SURE WE'LL HEAR ABOUT IT IN THE PUBLIC HEARING, BUT IF THERE IS ONE, THE VAST MAJORITY OF HOMES ARE PURCHASED WITH A MORTGAGE, FANNIE MAE AND FREDDIE MAC PURCHASE THOSE FROM THOSE WHO MAKE THEM. THEY HAVE ISSUED A DIRECTOR AND A FEDERAL DIRECTIVE FOR ALL MORTGAGES THAT WILL COME TO THEM, THAT THEY WOULD POTENTIALLY PURCHASE, THAT THE APPRAISAL FORMS CHANGE. THEY STARTED IN SEPTEMBER, THEY REALLY IN CIRCULATION IN JANUARY, BUT BY NOVEMBER 2nd OF THIS YEAR, THOSE NEW FORMS HAVE TO BE WHAT ALL APPRAISALS CONTAIN. THE UAD'S UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET. IN THE NEW FORM, IT HAS A WHOLE SECTION ON ENERGY EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF EVERY HOME THAT THEY DO. SO I'M WONDERING IF -- MY FIRST QUESTION WAS, DO YOU KNOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS PROPOSED ENERGY AUDIT SCORE AND WHAT'S ALREADY GOING TO BE CONTAINED IN THE BRAND NEW REQUIREMENT AS OF NOVEMBER 2nd.

>> THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION, WE CAN LOOK INTO IT MORE AND FOLLOW-UP.

>> I'D REALLY BE INTERESTED TO KNOW THAT, MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT, AND I HAVEN'T RESEARCHED IT THOROUGHLY, BUT I HAVE DONE QUITE A BIT OF READING ON IT, TO ME, IT SEEMS LIKE A DUPLICATION OF EFFORTS IN WHAT IS ALREADY GOING TO BE REQUIRED BY FANNIE MAE AND FREDDIE MAC FOR ANY STANDARD MORTGAGE, SO I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE WOULD WANT TO INCREASE THE COST OF HOUSING TO DUPLICATE THE INFORMATION. I WILL APPRECIATE YOUR RESPONSE WHEN YOU HAVE IT.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR ZELENKA?

>> Councilor Zelenka: YEAH, THANK YOU. TO MY KNOWLEDGE, THIS WOULDN'T BE DUPLICATIVE, THE SUPPLEMENTAL. WHAT'S CLEAR, VOLUNTARY PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN TRIED, EVEN IN EUGENE, DON'T WORK, IT'S CRYSTAL CLEAR THAT'S TRUE. IN THIS CASE, THE COSTS FILED WITH THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE COMMUNITY, WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THIS SINCE 2019. SO -- AND FOUR OTHERS HAVE ALREADY DONE THIS, WORKED OUT ALL THE KINKS. SO THERE'S 60,000 HOME ENERGY SCORES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE. WE'RE ON THE TAIL END OF THIS BUT GOOD FOR US TO FINALLY GET THERE. IN FACT, IT'S SHOWN THAT NOTHING MAKES HOUSING MORE EXPENSIVE, I THINK IS REALLY AN IMPORTANT POINT. BUT IT DOES PROVIDE REALLY IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON OPERATING COSTS. AND I'M EAGER TO MOVE ON TO THE NEXT STEPS. QUESTION

ABOUT THE SOURCE OF FUNDING. HAVE YOU HAD MUCH THOUGHT ABOUT THAT, IN TERMS OF WHERE THE SOURCE OF THE FTU COME FROM? COULD IT BE PARTIALLY FROM THE FEES, AND PARTIALLY GENERAL FUND?

>> YEAH, SO AT THE MARCH WORK SESSION, WE TALKED ABOUT POSSIBLE FUNDING APPROACHES FOR THIS, THE POSSIBILITIES ARE REDIRECT EXISTING PROGRAM RESOURCES, SO OUR CURRENT BUDGET IN THE SUSTAINABILITY PROGRAM REDIRECTS IT TOWARD THIS. AND CUT OTHER THINGS OFF OF OUR CURRENT WORK PLAN THE SECOND OPTION IS TO REPRIORITIZE ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO THE PROGRAM, AND THE THIRD OPTION IS TO LOOK AT ONE OF THE REVENUE OPTIONS GENERATED BY THE REVENUE ADVISER TEAMS. THOSE WERE THE THREE OPTIONS THAT WERE SHARED. IN TERMS OF USING FINES TO COVER THIS

>> Councilor Zelenka: NOT FINES, FEES.

>> THE PENALTIES --

>> Councilor Zelenka: NOT THE PENALTIES, BUT THE FEE TO GET THE HOME ENERGY SCORE. LIKE $5 COULD GO TOWARD PAYING FOR STAFF. THAT'S WHAT I WAS THINKING OF.

>> THANK YOU FOR THAT, COUNCILOR ZELENKA. WE HAD NOT CONSIDERED A FEE STRUCTURE AS PART OF THIS PROCESS SO FAR. MOSTLY LOOKING AT WHAT THE OREGON CITIES HAVE DONE ON THIS, THE COVERAGE OF THIS HAS BEEN COVERED THROUGH THE PUBLIC SECTOR. EVEN IN PORTLAND, THEY'RE FUNDING IT, THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS COVERING THE FUNDS THROUGH THAT. WE HAVE NOT THOUGHT ABOUT THAT AS A FUNDING SOURCE FOR THIS.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR EVANS?

>> Councilor Evans: THANKS, MAYOR A COUPLE QUICK QUESTIONS HERE. ONE OF THE THINGS I'VE COME ACROSS, AND CONTINUE TO COME ACROSS ON THE REGULAR, IS CODE VIOLATIONS, SO HOW DO WE FACTOR IN PROPERTIES WHO HAVE CODE VIOLATIONS THAT ARE PILED UP AND HOW DO THEY FACTOR INTO THE SCORE. A LOT OF THESE CODE VIOLATIONS ARE FOCUSED ON PEOPLE WHO ARE HOARDING AND DOING OTHER THINGS TO DISTRESS THEIR PROPERTIES. MAYBE NOT INTENTIONALLY, BUT THEY'RE THERE. HOW DO WE FACTOR IN THOSE WHEN THOSE PROPERTIES COME AVAILABLE FOR SALE. AND THEN WE REALIZE THAT THEY'RE -- THERE ARE FINES AND OTHER THINGS THAT ARE IN ARREARS TO THE CITY? GOING BACK TO COUNCILOR ZELENKA'S QUESTION IS, CAN WE USE SOME OF THOSE REVENUES THAT WE HAVEN'T COLLECTED THAT WE SHOULD BE COLLECTING TO HELP SUPPORT THIS PROGRAM.

>> I'M GOING TO TRY TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, I CAN ONLY DO SO ON A GENERAL LEVEL, IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A SITUATION WHERE THE CITY HAS ENFORCED AND IMPOSED CIVIL PENALTIES THAT MAYBE HAVEN'T BEEN PAID. GENERALLY, THOSE CAN BECOME AILEEN ON THE PROPERTY THAT THE CITY CAN COLLECT AT THE TIME OF THE SALE THERE IS NO DIRECT CONNECTION BETWEEN THE USE OF THOSE FUNDS TOWARD A PROGRAM LIKE THIS, IT'S SOMETHING WE COULD TALK WITH FINANCE ABOUT, I THINK IT'S PRETTY UNLIKELY.

>> Councilor Evans: YEAH, I WOULD LIKE TO GET A LEGAL OPINION ON THAT, AGAIN, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FINES THAT ARE IN ARREARS THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO COLLECT OR HAVE NOT COLLECTED. AND, YOU KNOW, THESE THINGS TEND TO STRETCH OUT 3, 4, 5 YEARS. IF THERE'S A SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE IS BEING FORCED TO SELL THE PROPERTY OR THE -- YOU KNOW, THE MORTGAGE HOLDER IS IS WANTING TO CLEAN THIS UP, I'M SEEING THIS AS A WAY TO HELP FINANCE THIS PROGRAM AT THE SAME TIME CLEANING UP SOME DISTRESSED PROPERTIES THAT CONTINUE TO SPROUT UP ALL AROUND THE COMMUNITY.

>> WE COULD PROVIDE THAT TO YOU. I THINK -- IT MAY COME TO YOU FROM FINANCE OR FROM OUR OFFICE, BUT WE CAN GET BACK TO YOU WITH SOMETHING ON THAT.

>> Councilor Evans: I APPRECIATE THAT, THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR KASHINSKY?

>> Councilor Kashinsky: YES, THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU STAFF FOR ALL YOUR WORK ON THIS PROGRAM. I'M EXCITED TO SEE IT MOVE FORWARD, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE BENEFITS OF THIS PROGRAM, AND IN ORDER TO NUDGE AND ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO MAKE ENERGY EFFICIENT IMPROVEMENTS TO THEIR HOME, AND FIND RESOURCES TO HELP THEM DO THAT, I'M EXCITED TO SEE IS MOVE FORWARD TO A PUBLIC HEARING, I DID HAVE A VERY SPECIFIC IN THE WEEDS QUESTION ABOUT SOME OF THE LANGUAGE OF THE CODE. I WAS PARTICULARLY LOOKING AT SECTION 6.7852 AM I UNDERSTANDING THAT LANGUAGE CORRECTLY TO MEAN THAT IF I GOT A HOME ENERGY SCORE DONE, AND DECIDED -- I SAW ON THE REPORT I COULD GET A REBATE FOR UPGRADING MY WATER HEATER, I DECIDED TO DO THAT, AND THEN I DECIDED TO LIST MY HOUSE THREE MONTHS LATER, I WOULD HAVE TO GET ANOTHER HOME ENERGY SCORE AS PART OF LISTING THE HOUSE, AM I UNDERSTANDING THAT PIECE CORRECTLY?

>> THAT'S CORRECT, AS WRITTEN IN THE CODE, IF THE OWNER MAKES ANY TYPE OF ALTERATION TO THE HOME, THAT WOULD ALTER THE ENERGY USAGE OF THE HOME, THAT WOULD MAKE THE HOME ENERGY SCORE REPORT INVALID, AND THEREFORE INVALID, THEY WOULD NEED TO GET A NEW SCORE TO REMAIN COMPLIANT.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: I WOULD BE INTERESTED. I COULD SEE THAT AS A POINT OF FRICTION, WHERE WE HAVE SOMEONE THAT'S TRYING TO DO SOMETHING THAT DECREASES THEIR ENERGY USAGE, MAKES THEIR HOME MORE EFFICIENT, IT ALMOST MAY FEEL LIKE THEY'RE BEING PENALIZED FOR DOING THAT. BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO GET ANOTHER SCORE. IF THERE'S SOME WAY WE CAN SMOOTH THAT A LITTLE BIT, I UNDERSTAND THE INTENT IS THAT, IF I RIPPED OUT ALL MY SOLAR PANELS, THAT PROBABLY SHOULD BE REFLECTED IN THE SCORE, IF THERE'S ANYWAY WE CAN KIND OF HELP SMOOTH THAT IF IT'S -- SO IT DOESN'T COME ACROSS TO PEOPLE WHO FEEL LIKE THEY'RE BEING ASKED TO DO THE SAME THING OVER AND OVER, BECAUSE THINK DID THE THING WE WANTED THEM TO DO. I DON'T KNOW HOW POSSIBLE THAT IS, BUT I THINK IT'S WORTH EXPLORING.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: THANK YOU.

>> I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING STAFF WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER -- AND WE PROBABLY WANT TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL, THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR KEATING?

>> Councilor Keating: THANK YOU, MAYOR, LIKE A DOG WITH A BONE, I'M GOING TO PICK UP WITH TWO THINGS I WAS EXCITED TO HEAR COLLEAGUES TALK ABOUT. COUNCILOR KASHINSKY THANK YOU FOR YOUR THOUGHTS AROUND THE POTENTIAL FOR WHAT I WOULD CALL A HOME ENERGY SCORE AMENDMENT OR ADJUSTMENT THAT WOULD ALLOW OR INCENTIVIZE PEOPLE TO MAKE THE IMPROVEMENTS. IF THERE'S A HOME ENERGY SCORE THAT SHOWS THERE'S A NEED FOR IMPROVEMENT A SELLER MAKES THOSE IMPROVEMENTS, THERE SHOULD BE A PATHWAY THAT DOESN'T START THE CLOCK AGAIN I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT TIME PERIOD IS, I LEAVE THAT TO THE EXPERTS. SIX MONTHS TO A YEAR SEEMS TO BE FROM MY VANTAGE POINT REASONABLE. THANK YOU FOR BIG THAT UP. COUNCILOR ZELENKA TALKED ABOUT THE FEE STRUCTURE, THE OPPORTUNITY FOR IT -- I GUESS, MY WORDS NOT HIS -- TAKING A PORTION OF THE HOME ENERGY -- IT'S LIKE 125 TO $200 IN THERE, A PORTION OF THOSE -- THAT COST, AND PUTTING IT TO THE STAFF WORK, I WOULD SUPPORT THAT APPROACH, BUT IN ADDITION I WOULD ALSO WANT TO PUT

A PORTION TOWARD THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. THAT'S JUST ME, AND I HOPE COLLEAGUES AND STAFF AND THE TEAM WOULD AGREE THAT WOULD BE A WISE USE OF FUNDS, BUT ASSIGNING OR APPROPRIATING A PORTION THEREOF, I WOULD BE ENTHUSIASTICALLY SUPPORTIVE OF EXPLORING. FINALLY ON SLIDE 12, WE TALK ABOUT HOW THE REQUIREMENT WILL FUNCTION FOR SELLERS, REALTORS AND BUYERS, THERE'S NO -- NOTHING IN HERE THAT TALKS ABOUT RENTERS THAT MIGHT BE PERSPECTIVE BUYERS. WE LIVE IN AN ENERGY INEFFICIENT HOME IN SOUTH EUGENE. WE LOVE OUR HOME. WE HAVE LIVED THERE FOR FIVE YEARS, WOULD LOVE TO BUY OUR HOME SOME DAY. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY INCENTIVE FOR US AS THE TENANTS TO DO A HOME ENERGY SCORE THAT WOULD SHOW CERTAINLY HOW ENERGY INEFFICIENT IS, AND WHERE THE NEEDS TO IMPROVE ARE IF YOU COULD TALK ABOUT THAT GAP, AND THEN I HAVE A QUICK FOLLOW-UP ON THAT POINT.

>> ANYONE TODAY, INCLUDING RENTERS OR AN OCCUPANT, AN OWNER CAN GET A HOME ENERGY SCORE ANY TIME THEY WANT. THAT IS SOMETHING RENTERS COULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF, TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT HOME NEEDS, WHETHER OR NOT THEY'RE -- JUST GET THAT TYPE OF USEFUL INFORMATION. THE OTHER WAY THAT THIS POLICY CAN BENEFIT RENTERS IS MORE OF A PASS THROUGH, IF AN OWNER -- IF A SELLER SELLS A HOME, AN OWNER BUYS IT, AND THEN RENTS IT OUT, THE FUTURE RENTER WOULD BE ABLE TO VIEW THE HOME ENERGY SCORE REPORT ON LINE STILL. I'M NOT SURE IF THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION.

>> Councilor Keating: TO A DEGREE. I'M INTERESTED IN THE INCENTIVE FOR THE HOME ENERGY SCORE.

>> THAT POINTS TO ONE OF THE EXPERIENCES WE'RE HAVING IN EUGENE, HAVING A VOLUNTARY ONLY PROGRAM RIGHT NOW. TRYING TO FOCUS ON WITH THE PROGRAM WE HAVE FOCUSED PARTICULARLY ON RENTERS. IF YOU'RE A RENTER, YOU'RE PROBABLY NOT GOING TO INVEST IN A -- BUT THERE ARE THINGS YOU CAN DO THAT ARE SMALL. LIGHT BULBS -- WE TRIED TO SERVE A LITTLE BIT OF THAT NEED, BUT I THINK YOUR POINT IS TAKEN, A LOT OF THE BIG THINGS YOU CAN DO IS NOT A FINANCIAL INCENTIVE. IT'S SOMETHING NO ONE'S FIGURED OUT HOW TO SOLVE THAT PROBLEM YET IF WE MOVED INTO THIS AS THE NEXT PHASE OF THE WORK -- NO ONE'S CRACKED THAT NUT YET THEY DON'T HAVVE AN INCENTIVE, BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT USING THE ENERGY. WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WAYS IN WHICH -- THERE'S NOT A SOLUTION OUT THERE, THERE IS AN ABILITY TO GET A REPORT TODAY THAT COULD FOCUS ON YOU AS A RENTER. THERE ARE EXCITING THINGS THAT ARE COMING ON BOARD. BALCONY SOLAR AND OTHER THINGS YOU CAN TAKE WITH YOU WHEN YOU MOVE TO ANOTHER FACILITY. THERE'S GOING TO BE A FOCUS OF LEGISLATIVE ATTENTION THAT WILL PROVIDE MORE OPPORTUNITIES TO

DEMOCRATIZE ENERGY USE -- THERE'S MORE OPPORTUNITIES THAT CONTINUE TO PRESENT THEMSELVES.

>> APPRECIATE THAT. FINALLY, HOW WOULD SOMEONE POTENTIALLY REFUTE THE HOME ENERGY SCORE LET'S SAY THAT SOMEONE -- I REFUTE THAT, THAT'S SUBJECTIVE, I WANT A REDO, WHO DO THEY GO TO TO REFUTE THAT SCORE?

>> I'M GOING TO TRY MY BEST TO ANSWER THIS. FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, IS PART OF THAT FEE THAT A SELLER WOULD PAY TO GET A HOME ENERGY SCORE, PART OF THAT IS AROUND $35. GOES TOWARD QUALITY ASSURANCE, AND EARTH ADVANTAGE SORT OF MANAGES THE PROGRAM IN OREGON, DOES THAT QUALITY ASSURANCE ON A SPOT CHECK BASIS TO MAKE SURE THAT SCORES ARE ACCURATELY CALCULATED, SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS, THAT'S SORT OF THE FALL BACK TO ENSURE THAT SCORES ARE ACCURATE, IF SOMEONE DISPUTES A SCORE, THEY COULD GET ANOTHER ONE, THEY COULD CONTACT EARTH ADVANTAGE TO DO QUALITY ASSURANCE ON THAT SCORE, THOSE ARE THE TWO PATHWAYS I COULD THINK OF FROM WHAT I KNOW. BUT IF YOU HAVE OTHER --

>> THERE ARE JURISDICTIONS, THE OTHER FOUR OREGON JURISDICTIONS, WHERE THEY HAVE A DISPUTE PROCESS. IF THIS PROCEEDED, WE WOULD HAVE A WORK FLOW WHERE SOMEONE COULD COME IN AND OBJECT TO THAT. THE OTHER FOUR CITIES, WE WOULD FIND SOMETHING THAT WOULD WORK FOR EUGENE.

>> MAYOR KNUDSON: COUNCILOR GROVES?

>> Councilor Groves: THANK YOU, MAYOR. THANK YOU FOR THE WORK YOU PUT INTO THIS, AND THE WORK TODAY. I SUPPORT EFFICIENCY ON ENERGY EFFICIENCY, I SUPPORT PROVIDING ASSISTANCE AND CONNECTING HOMEOWNERS TO GRANTS AND FINANCING OPTIONS THAT IMPROVE EFFICIENCIES, I LIKE THAT PART OF THIS. ON THE OTHER HAND, I JUST FEEL LIKE OUR COUNCIL, WE NEVER SHY AWAY FROM A REGULATION. I FEEL LIKE WE'RE OVER REGULATED, AT THE SAME TIME, I'M INTERESTED IN WHAT COUNCILOR CLARK TALKED ABOUT, THE NEW REQUIREMENT THAT'S TAKING EFFECT NOVEMBER 2nd. IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW WHERE THESE LINE UP. I KNOW THE TOXICS RIGHT TO KNOW PROGRAM, SHORTLY AFTER WE PUT THAT IN PLACE, THE STATE CAME OUT WITH ITS OWN REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE ALMOST IDENTICAL, AND WE REQUIRE BUSINESSES TO FILL OUT TWO SEPARATE FORMS IN TWO SEPARATE PROCESSES, AND I HEAR ABOUT THAT ALL THE TIME. THE OTHER THING I HAD ON MY LISS, WHAT COUNCILOR KEATING BROUGHT UP. I HAVE SEEN WHOLE HOME INSPECTIONS, I SAW ONE THAT SAID THE HOUSE WAS ON A FOUNDATION WHEN IT WASN'T. IT WAS ON A SLAB, IT WAS ON A

FOUNDATION, NOT A SLAB. THERE HAS TO BE A WAY TO ADDRESS PROBLEMS, I THINK IT NEEDS TO BE BUILT INTO THIS. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH TAKING THIS TO A PUBLIC HEARING. THE JURY'S STILL OUT FOR ME, WHETHER I WILL SUPPORT IT OR NOT. I THINK IT DEPENDS ON WHAT THE FINAL VERSION LOOKS LIKE. IN THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE ADOPTED THIS, ARE YOU FOUND ANY INFORMATION THAT SHOWS A DISPARITY FOR SENIORS AND LOW INCOME BUYERS? I'VE BEEN IN THOUSANDS OF HOMES IN THIS COMMUNITY IN MY CAREER AS A FIREFIGHTER. MY OBSERVATION IS MANY OF OUR SENIOR CITIZENS ON FIXED INCOMES, AND OUR LOW INCOME PEOPLE ARE IN OLDER STRUCTURES. YOU CAN'T TAKE A 2x4 EXTERIOR STUD WALL AND EVER MAKE IT COMPARABLE TO A 2x6 EXTERIOR STUD WALL, THEY'RE JUST GOING TO HAVE DIFFERENT RATINGS, WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT THE EFFECTS ON LOW INCOME AND SENIORS?

>> THANKS FOR THAT QUESTION, AND THE REST OF YOUR COMMENTS. I THINK YOUR LAST COMMENT ABOUT ARE WE NOTICING IMPACTS FOR LOW INCOME, SENIOR OCCUPIED HOMES. THAT WAS A BIG FOCUS OF OUR RESEARCH, AND THERE'S QUITE A BIT OF RESEARCH DONE ON THAT, THAT EARTH ADVANTAGE WAS ABLE TO COMPILE FOR US. AND THE ANALYSIS SHOWED THAT THERE REALLY ISN'T DIFFERENCES, SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN SCORES ACROSS LOW INCOME OR HIGHER INCOME CENSUS TRACKS. AND LIKE THE DIFFERENCES WERE PRETTY NOMINAL, NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT. AND I THINK WHAT THIS POINTS TO IS THE SCORE. I MEAN, A BRAND NEW HOME THAT HAS A HUGE VAULTED CEILING AND FULL AIR CONDITIONING, AND VERY LARGE SQUARE FOOTAGE, CAN GET A LOW SCORE, BECAUSE IT'S USING A LOT OF ENERGY, THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S A BAD HOME, IT JUST MEANS IT'S USING A LOT OF ENERGY. CONVERSELY, A HOME THAT MAY NOT BE MAINTAINED VERY WELL, COULD GET A LOW SCORE TOO. THE SCORE ITSELF IS NOT NECESSARILY AN INDICATOR OF LOW INCOME OR HOME VALUE. IT'S JUST A DESCRIPTOR OF HOW MUCH ENERGY USE THAT HOME HAS.

>> Councilor Keating: THANK YOU. YOU JUST RAISED ANOTHER QUESTION. WE LOOK AT A SINGLE ADDRESS. IT DOESN'T TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ENERGY CONSULTS PER SQUARE FOOT?

>> I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

>> Councilor Keating: YOU JUST SAID A LARGER HOME IS GOING TO USE MORE ENERGY. IF YOU'RE GETTING A BIGGER HOUSE, YOU CAN EXPECT TO BE PAYING MORE IN PROPERTY TAXES AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT POINT, COUNCILOR GROVES, THE TOOL ITSELF WAS DESIGNED NOT TO MEASURE AN ENERGY METRIC. IT'S JUST A PURE ENERGY METRIC, IT DOESN'T FOCUS ON BEHAVIOR OR THE

OCCUPANT, IT FOCUSES ON THE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE HOME AND THE ASSETS THAT USE ENERGY, THE IDEA IS TO TAKE BEHAVIOR AND PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS OUT OF IT, TO MAKE A COMPARISON BETWEEN DIFFERENT HOMES. IS THERE A WAY TO DO APPLES TO APPLES COMPARISON. SO THEY TRIED TO GET AWAY FROM SOME OF THOSE METRICS, BECAUSE PEOPLE JUST USE ENERGY IN DIFFERENT WAYS IN DIFFERENT HOMES. THIS PROVIDES A STANDARDIZED WAY IN WHICH IT ASSUMES ENERGY USE, SO IT COULD BE COMPARABLE ACROSS JURISDICTIONS, WHERE YOU MAY BE USING THIS TOOL.

>> COUNCILOR GROVES: I STILL THINK YOU TAKE AN ADU AND COMPARE IT TO 6,000 SQUARE FEET, I THINK WE ARE COMPARING APPLES TO APPLES. I'M NOT SURE HOW TO GET TO THAT, AND WE'RE OUT OF TIME.

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR YEH, AND THEN A QUICK ROUND WITH COUNCILOR CLARK AND COUNCILOR ZELENKA, BEFORE I TURN TO THE COUNCIL PRESIDENT TO PUT A MOTION FORWARD.

>> Councilor Yeh: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I REALLY APPRECIATE THE DATA YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN ABLE TO BRING TO US DURING THIS PROCESS, AND BEING ABLE TO LEARN FROM OTHER COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE DONE THIS AND FEEL CONFIDENT THAT SOME OF THE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES HAVE NOT PANNED OUT TO BE TRUE. WE CAN MOVE FORWARD A LITTLE MORE CONFIDENTLY. I APPRECIATE THE WORK YOU GUYS DID TO GET ALL OF THAT INFORMATION. I HAVE ONE CLARIFYING QUESTION. ALL THAT EDUCATION AND OUTREACH YOU'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, IS IN THAT NONPERSONAL START-UP COSTS, CORRECT?

>> THE EDUCATION AND OUTREACH WE WOULD PLAN TO DO IF THIS MOVES FORWARD -- THAT 35 -- HOLD ON LET ME MAKE SURE I'M LOOKING AT THE -- THE PERSONNEL COST IS HALF AN FTE THAT WOULD BE ORGANIZING NEW COMMUNICATION MATERIALS, DOING OUTREACH TO REALTORS, DEVELOPING UP THE SYSTEM TO WORK, AND THE REPORTING TEMPLATES. THE STAFFING COSTS THAT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN LAUNCHING THIS. THE NONPERSONNEL START-UP COSTS INCLUDE THE COST TO HIRE A TRAINER TO COME TRAIN UP ASSESSORS AS WELL AS START THE LOW INCOME ASSISTANCE FUND TO PROVIDE FREE SCORES, AND YEAH, THAT'S WHAT'S INCLUDED IN THAT.

>> Councilor Yeh: THANK YOU. I WANT TO APPRECIATE COUNCILOR KEATING'S BRINGING UP THE FACT THAT TENANTS ARE A SEPARATE GROUP THAT DOESN'T REALLY FALL INTO WHAT WE'RE DOING TODAY, AND I REALLY APPRECIATE IAN SAYING THAT COULD BE A SECOND PHASE WE LOOK AT AS WE MOVE DOWN THIS ROAD, LOOKING AT DIFFERENT OPPORTUNITIES, HOW WE CAN HELP TENANTS FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE THAT THEY KNOW WHAT THEY'RE RENTING, EYES WIDE OPEN AS YOU'RE

GOING-FORWARD. YEAH, I'M -- I THINK THIS IS -- WE'RE IN A GOOD PLACE, AND IT'S TIME TO MOVE FORWARD AND GET A PUBLIC HEARING AND HEAR WHAT PEOPLE HAVE TO SAY. I DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT WHAT COUNCILOR CLARK BROUGHT UP, BUT I WOULD BE INTERESTED TO HEAR -- LET'S DOT OUR IS AND CROSS OUR T'S.

>> Mayor Knudson: I'M GOING TO TURN TO COUNCILOR CLARK AND ZELENKA FOR A QUICK SECOND ROUND. HOMES ARE PERSONAL, THAT CAN ALSO TAKE US INTO A SPACE OF ANECDOTAL CONVERSATION, I REALLY APPRECIATE WHAT YOU SHARED ABOUT THE EARTH ANALYSIS OF THIS PROGRAM, AND THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL COST ASSOCIATED WITH HOMES AS A RESULT OF THIS PROGRAM. WHILE AT THE SAME TIME, DELIVERING USEFUL INFORMATION TO THE PEOPLE WHO ARE OCCUPYING THAT HOUSING, BOTH PRESENTLY AND POTENTIALLY INTO THE FUTURE. THAT'S VERY USEFUL. TWO QUICK QUESTIONS. ONE OF THEM IS, ABOUT HOME INSPECTION PROCESSES, AND COUNCILOR GROVES RAISED THIS BRIEFLY. I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR US TO KNOW MORE ABOUT HOW HOME INSPECTORS ARE CERTIFIED, AND ALSO HOW ENERGY ASSESSORS, I IMAGINE IF YOU ARE ENTREPRENEURIAL HOME INSPECTOR, YOU WOULD BE THINKING ABOUT HOW YOU COULD BECOME AN ENERGY ASSESSOR, YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE SAME THINGS, UNDER THE HOUSE, IN THE ATTIC, THAT IS ALL VALUABLE INFORMATION TO REALTORS AND REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, I WOULD BE CURIOUS TO KNOW HOW OUR LANE COMMUNITY COLLEGE ENERGY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM OR OTHER ASSESSMENT PROGRAMS PLUG INTO THIS SO WE'RE CONNECTING THAT WORKFORCE COMPONENT. A QUICK QUESTION, AS WE HEARD TODAY, THERE ARE A LOT OF BENEFITS IN THIS PROGRAM, ATTACHED TO NEW OWNERS UNDERSTANDING THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF THEIR HOMES, RELATED TO NEW HOUSING, BEING MUCH MORE EFFICIENT THAN HOUSING BUILT 20, 30, 50 YEARS AGO, AND A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO ARE MOVING INTO OUR COMMUNITY. I AM CURIOUS, AND WOULD LOVE TO KNOW IN THE FUTURE, IF WE COULD HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT HOW THESE IMPROVEMENTS COULD BE SOMETHING THAT THE CURRENT OCCUPANTS OF THE HOUSING TAKE ADVANTAGE OF. FOR MOST PEOPLE THAT HAVE SOLD A HOME THERE ARE A LOT OF IMPROVEMENTS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN DELIGHTFUL TO EXPERIENCE AS A HOMEOWNER IN THAT HOUSE. I'M SURE THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THAT COMMUNITY THAT COULD FEEL SOME RESONANCE WITH THAT EXPERIENCE. I THINK THAT ALSO EXTENDS TO TENANTS, IN TERMS OF THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO PROPERTY OWNERS, AND THE TIMING OF THE PROPERTY. I WOULD BE INTERESTED FOR US TO EXPLORE THAT IN THE FUTURE, AND THINK ABOUT THE WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN LEARN FROM THIS PROGRAM, AND MAKE SURE THE BENEFITS OF REDUCED ENERGY ARE AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY SOONER RATHER THAN LATER. COUNCILOR CLARK, AND THEN COUNCILOR ZELENKA.

>> Councilor Clark: THANK YOU, MAYOR, I APPRECIATE IT, I JUST WANTED TO CLEAR UP -- MAYBE NOT MISINFORMATION, BUT PERHAPS ANY CONFUSION ASSOCIATED WITH ALL OF OUR COMMENTS HERE WHILE THIS PROGRAM THAT WE HAVE FOR DOING AN ENERGY ANALYSIS IS CURRENTLY A VOLUNTEER PROGRAM, AND HAS BEEN, AND OTHER PROGRAMS IN OTHER COMMUNITIES MAY BE VOLUNTARY, THERE'S NOTHING VOLUNTARY, ABOUT WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF ANYONE WHO IS AN APPRAISER PROFESSIONALLY, AS OF NOVEMBER 2nd. IF THEY WANT TO RETAIN THEIR LICENSE, EVERY APPRAISAL DONE IN EVERY CITY IN THE COUNTRY BY EVERY APPRAISER HAS TO CONTAIN A SECTION ON ENERGY EFFICIENCY. NO QUESTIONS, NOTHING VOLUNTARY ABOUT IT. IF THE PEOPLE DOING THE WORK WANT TO KEEP THEIR LICENSE, THEY MUST FILL IN THAT DATA ON THE FORM THAT'S PREMADE BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU, COUNCILOR CLARK FOR THAT CLARIFICATION AND INFORMATION. COUNCILOR ZELENKA?

>> Councilor Zelenka: YEAH, INTEREST OF FULL DISCLOSURE, THE PEOPLE AT THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, THAT WORK FOR ME, ISSUE THE CONTRACT THROUGH EARTH ADVANTAGE, THAT'S PAID FOR THROUGH FEDERAL FUNDS, I DON'T HAVE ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR ANY BENEFIT FROM IT. THERE IS, BY THE WAY, A CONTRACT THAT HAS EARTH ADVANTAGE LOOK AT HOW TO HAVE THIS APPLY TO RENTAL PROPERTIES AT THIS TIME. IT COULD END UP BEING THERE, THAT'S WHAT EARTH ADVANCE IS LOOKING AT NOW. ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSESSMENT, IF YOU GO TO OREGON ENVIRONMENTAL ENERGY AND LOOK UP HOME ENERGY SCORE, YOU CAN SEE AN ASSESSMENT, ACTUALLY SEE WHAT'S IN THERE. BASICALLY -- THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE VERY MANY DISPUTES, BECAUSE IT'S MOSTLY JUST MATH. YOU LOOK AT THE HISTORY OF HOW MUCH ENERGY IS USED, WHAT'S THE SQUARE FEET, THE ENERGY USE PER SQUARE FOOT, AND THEN HERE'S THE THINGS THAT TYPICALLY ARE --

>> Mayor Knudson: COUNCILOR ZELENKA.

>> Councilor Zelenka: IT'S NOT VERY DISPUTABLE.

>> Mayor Knudson: THANK YOU FOR POINTING US TO THAT RESOURCE ALSO. THANK YOU TO ALL OUR COUNCILORS THAT ARE PLUGGING IN REMOTELY. I'M GOING TO ASK THAT THERE BE A MOTION PUT FORWARD.

>> Councilor Leech: THANK YOU, MAYOR, I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO SEEING THIS GO TO A PUBLIC HEARING MYSELF. I MOVE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ORDINANCE, ESTABLISHING A RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE

REQUIREMENT, AND TO SET A DATE FOR COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS AND POSSIBLE ACTION.

>> Councilor Kashinsky: SECOND.

>> Mayor Knudson: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? SEEING NONE, I'LL TAKE A VOTE. COUNCILORS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HANDS. 3 IN THE ROOM. 5 ON THE SCREEN. THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY, 8-0. THANK YOU ALL, AND THANK YOU, STAFF, FOR ANSWERING THOSE MANY QUESTIONS, THIS CONCLUDES OUR AGENDA FOR TODAY. I NOW CLOSE THE JUNE 24, 2026 COUNCIL WORK SESSION. WE ARE ADJOURNED.