

MINUTES

**Eugene City Council
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401**

**June 13, 2018
12:00 p.m.**

Councilors Present: Emily Semple, Betty Taylor, Alan Zelenka, Jennifer Yeh, Mike Clark, Claire Syrett, Chris Pyror

Councilors Absent: Greg Evans

Mayor Vinis opened the June 13, 2018, Work Session of the Eugene City Council.

1. WORK SESSION: Demographic Data Collection Practices Update

City Manager's Office Division Manager Becky DeWitt and Human Rights and Neighborhood Involvement Office Manager Jennifer Lleras Van Der Haeghen presented to Council on current data collection practices throughout the City.

Council Discussion

- Questions asked about how and why information about associations are gathered and how information is kept secure.
- Information requested about pertinent state laws that apply to the hate and bias reporting.
- Request made to the City Manager to add to the prohibition around City employees collecting any information regarding immigration status other than what is needed to comply with federal or state law.
- May be helpful to do analysis on unintentionally collected data or any unnecessary data the City may be keeping.
- Important to consider next steps in order to build on the Protections for Individuals Ordinance.

2. WORK SESSION: Modifications to the Transportation System Development Charge Methodology

Engineering Data Services Manager Dan Kaler, Associate Planner Eric Brown, and consultant Deb Galardi with the Galardi Rothstein Group discussed reasons for the Transportation SDC Update, Methodology and Fee Calculation, Rate Comparisons, and Envision Eugene Incentives.

Council Discussion

- Questions asked about how new code provisions related to ADUs affect the SDC incentives for ADUs.
- Questions asked about the breakdowns of SDC expenditures; reimbursement fees, improvement fees, TSDC and credit.
- Concerns expressed that capacity-increasing projects address alternatives to automobiles and few SDC projects are for increased capacity for automobiles and auto congestion.
- Information requested about why road use is calculated at peak time of day and how the transportation management demand bonus is calculated.

- Questions asked about qualifications for affordable housing projects that qualify for SDC waiver.
- Concern expressed that without an increase in transportation SDCs, the City would have to pursue alternatives to funding some TSP projects.
- Concerns expressed about incentivizing reductions and not collecting full SDC revenue.
- Consider possibility that increasing SDCs will make building housing less affordable in the middle of a housing crisis.
- Even with an increase in SDC methodology, the City would still have a lower rate compared to other entities.
- Questions asked about making potential changes to the proposed SDC methodology or proposed incentives.
- Request made for examples of how the Transportation Demand Management System works.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Zelenka, seconded by Councilor Clark, moved to extended eight minutes. **PASSED 7:0**

Council Discussion

- The proposed Transportation SDC increase may not affect the housing crisis, but the City needs to decide where the tradeoffs should occur and balance what it's willing to give and get in return.
- Instead of incentivizing ADUs specifically, consider incentivizing small development under a certain square footage.
- Concerns raised about increasing SDCs before a housing package is adopted.
- Questions asked about the difference in SDCs when new development is created and new roads are needed vs. where roads already exist.
- Consider the impact on the already approved Transportation System Plan projects if the Transportation SDCs were not raised.

The meeting adjourned at 1:42 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Elena Domingo
Deputy City Recorder