



Eugene City Council

125 E. 8th Ave., 2nd Floor
Eugene, OR 97401-2793
541-682-5010 • 541-682-5414 Fax
www.eugene-or.gov

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

October 15, 2018

5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401

Meeting of October 15, 2018;
Her Honor Mayor Lucy Vinis Presiding

Councilors

Mike Clark, President
Greg Evans
Emily Semple
Jennifer Yeh

Betty Taylor, Vice President
Chris Pryor
Claire Syrett
Alan Zelenka

5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue

- 1. WORK SESSION: Modifications to the Transportation System Development Charge Methodology**
- 2. WORK SESSION: Transit Tomorrow**

The Eugene City Council welcomes your interest in these agenda items. This meeting location is wheelchair-accessible. For the hearing impaired, an interpreter can be provided with 48 hours' notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hours' notice. To arrange for these services, contact the receptionist at 541-682-5010. City Council meetings are telecast live on Metro Television, Comcast channel 21, and rebroadcast later in the week.

El consejo de la Ciudad de Eugene agradece su interés en estos asuntos de la agenda. El lugar de la reunión tiene acceso para sillas de ruedas. Se puede proveer a un intérprete para las personas con discapacidad auditiva si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. También se puede proveer interpretación para español si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. Para reservar estos servicios llame al 541-682-5010. Las reuniones del consejo de la ciudad se transmiten en vivo por Metro Television, Canal 21 de Comcast y son retransmitidas durante la semana.

For more information, contact the Council Coordinator at 541-682-5010,
or visit us online at www.eugene-or.gov.

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Work Session: Modifications to the Transportation System Development Charge Methodology

Meeting Date: October 15, 2018
Department: Public Works Engineering
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 1
Staff Contact: Dan Kaler
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5216

ISSUE STATEMENT

The City Council is being requested to direct the City Manager to amend the modifications to the transportation system development charge methodology in response to the comments received at the public hearing and to the concerns raised by the City Council. The amendments include phasing in the rate increase over two years, increasing the accessory dwelling unit reduction from 50 percent to 100 percent, and setting an annual financial limit for the proposed incentives.

BACKGROUND

System development charges are intended to provide an equitable means of funding capital improvements that provide capacity in infrastructure systems needed to serve growth. SDCs are collected by the City of Eugene to fund capital projects in four primary infrastructure systems: wastewater (both local and regional), stormwater, parks, and transportation. SDCs must be expended on capital projects related to the system for which they are collected. Examples of the types of transportation projects funded in part by TSDCs include pavement preservation on arterial and collector streets, traffic signal/intersection improvements, and off-street bike paths.

Two forms of SDCs, improvement and reimbursement fees, recognize that capacity to meet the needs of growth is provided in two forms—new capacity-increasing capital improvements, and reimbursement for extra capacity built in the past in systems and paid for by the general community. Improvement fee SDCs may be spent only on capacity-increasing projects. Reimbursement fees may also be spent on rehabilitation projects. The City has a combination of both reimbursement and improvement fee elements in each system's SDC.

Public Hearing

The City Council held a public hearing on the proposed TSDC methodology on June 18, 2018. There were three entities that provided public comment: a) Chamber of Commerce, b) Home Builders Association, and c) a private home builder. The Chamber of Commerce expressed concerns with the higher costs to the building industry and suggested a phased approach for the proposed TSDC increase. Additionally, the Chamber of Commerce supported a 100 percent reduction for Accessory Dwelling Units. The Home Builders Association expressed caution in approving transportation plans prior to knowing the financial consequences and it was suggested

that the City determine which projects should remain on the TSDC Project List without increasing the current TSDC trip rate. The comment from the private home builder stressed that an increased TSDC will make it more difficult to provide affordable housing.

Transportation System Plan Funding

The Eugene 2035 Transportation System Plan identified a new set of capital improvements focused on increasing transportation choices, improving safety for all street users, providing for more reliable transit on key corridors, and reducing reliance on the automobile by better accommodating and encouraging travel by foot and bike for short trips. The proposed cost for the TSP projects was estimated to be \$634 million which would be funded through the City of Eugene, LTD, ODOT and developer contributions. The cost estimates in the TSP are in 2014 dollars.

To address the requirements of ORS 223.309 the Transportation System Development Charge Project Plan was prepared and includes a list of the transportation capital improvements that add capacity to the transportation system and that the City intends to fund, in whole or in part, with revenues from TSDC improvement fees. The total cost of the projects in the TSDC project plan is \$342 million. Of this amount, \$114 million or 33 percent would be funded by TSDCs improvement fees. The remaining funding would come from a variety of sources including state and federal grants, developer contributions and assessments. The cost estimates in the TSDC Project Plan are in 2014 dollars.

The proposed trip rate of \$3,272 is in 2018 dollars and was calculated by indexing the 2014 cost estimates with the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index.

Proposed Amendments to the Modifications to the TSDC Methodology

Following are three amendments to the modifications to the TSDC methodology to respond to comments received at the public hearing and to concerns raised by the City Council.

Implementing the increase in the rate in two steps with one year separating the steps would lessen the impact of the rate increase and have minimal impact on the long funding of the TSDC project list. While uncommon, this approach has been used by other cities when the rate increase was significant. Ashland has in the past used a phased rate increase and is currently considering a phased rate increase in its update of its transportation SDC methodology.

The current proposal is to reduce the TSDC for accessory dwelling units by 50 percent. The Chamber of Commerce Local Government Affairs Committee recommended a 100 percent reduction for ADUs. When the TSDC methodology was presented to the Planning Commission a majority of commissioners supported a 100 percent reduction for ADUs. The analysis by Planning Division staff as part of Envision Eugene estimated the development of four ADUs annually over the next twenty years. Increasing the reduction from 50 percent to 100 percent would have minimal impact on the long term funding needed for the implementation of the TSDC project list.

The impact of the proposed location-based, transit proximity, and transportation demand management incentives can be estimated by analyzing past building permit activity. However, this would require reviewing each building permit over the course of a year to determine the type and location of each development and making assumptions on the level of participation in incentives

by each development. Alternatively an annual financial cap may be set for the proposed incentives. For ADUs an annual cap of \$20,000 would allow approximately 10 ADUs to receive the 100 percent reduction. An annual cap of \$130,000 for location-based, transit proximity, and transportation demand management incentives combined with the annual cap of \$20,000 for ADUs would be less than 3 percent of the annual estimated TSDC revenue. The amount of the financial caps could be indexed annually to the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index.

RELATED CITY POLICIES

Growth Management Policy 14, adopted by Resolution No. 4554, is directly related to implementation and modification of SDCs. The policy provides: *Development shall be required to pay the full cost of extending infrastructure and services, except that the City will examine ways to subsidize the costs of providing infrastructure or offer other incentives that support higher-density, in-fill, mixed-use, and redevelopment.*

Envision Eugene Pillars –

Promote compact urban development and efficient transportation options.

Transportation System Plan –

Goal 1 – Create an integrated transportation system that is safe and efficient; supports the Metro Plan’s land use diagram; Envision Eugene, a community vision for 2032 (2012), the City of Eugene’s target for a 50 percent reduction in fossil fuel consumption; and other City land use and economic development goals; reduces the reliance on single-occupancy automobiles; and enhances community livability.

COUNCIL OPTIONS

The City Council may:

1. Direct the City Manager to amend the modifications of TSDC methodology and schedule action by the City Council at a future council meeting.
2. Accept the modifications of the TSDC methodology as presented during the public hearing and schedule action at a future council meeting.
3. Defer any action on the modifications of the TSDC methodology.

CITY MANAGER’S RECOMMENDATION

The City Manager recommends that the City Council direct the City Manager to amend the modifications to the transportation system development charge methodology in response to the comments received at the public hearing and the concerns raised by the City Council. The amendments include phasing in the rate increase over two years, increasing the accessory dwelling unit reduction from 50 percent to 100 percent, and setting an annual financial cap for the proposed incentives

SUGGESTED MOTION

Move to direct the City Manager to amend the modifications to the transportation system development charge (TSDC) methodology in response to the comments received at the public hearing and the concerns raised by the City Council and to schedule action on the TSDC methodology for a future council meeting.

ATTACHMENTS

None.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Dan Kaler, Engineering Data Services Manager
Telephone: 541-682-5216
Staff E-mail: dkaler@eugene-or.gov

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Work Session: Transit Tomorrow

Meeting Date: October 15, 2018
Department: Public Works
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 2
Staff Contact: Rob Inerfeld
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5343

ISSUE STATEMENT

Through a process called Transit Tomorrow, Lane Transit District is taking a comprehensive look at its services and especially its network of bus routes. The result of this effort will be a change to LTD's bus routes and potentially also how it subsidizes passes for youth and low-income people. LTD intends to repeat this effort every three years moving forward. LTD staff will provide an overview of the process including what has been learned so far and what to expect in the future.

BACKGROUND

In order for LTD to better serve the communities in its service area, the LTD board decided to embark on a process called Transit Tomorrow. This process will help the board determine how to allocate an approximately eight percent increase in its budget that is provided by the new payroll tax on employees that was created by the state legislature's passage of HB 2017. The LTD board will need to decide how it wants to allocate the new revenue between new bus service and subsidized transit passes for low-income residents and youth.

LTD hired the consulting firm Jarrett Walker and Associates to lead the Transit Tomorrow effort. Jarrett Walker has extensive international experience with helping transit agencies optimize their bus service to meet community needs and make decisions on the policies that affect how the service is provided.

With participation from regional staff including City of Eugene and LTD staff, Jarrett Walker and Associates has developed four scenarios that reflect an emphasis on ridership or coverage and how much of the new LTD revenue will be put towards increasing bus service or fare reduction programs. These scenarios are being refined and analyzed and will be shared with the community in early 2019. A graphic illustrating the tradeoffs among the four scenarios is provided as an attachment.

To date, there has been extensive community engagement as well as technical analysis. The results of the technical analysis are provided in the *Existing Conditions and Choices Report* of which an executive summary is provided as an attachment. The full report can be found at www.ltd.org/transit-tomorrow.

Community engagement has been scheduled at key points in the process which is expected to be completed with LTD board decision making in June, 2019. A graphic summarizing the overall Transit Tomorrow process is provided as an attachment. Community engagement first took place in the initial phase of the project to establish community priorities.

Key questions being asked through Transit Tomorrow's community engagement:

- How should we balance investment in high ridership and extensive coverage?
- How should we balance walking and waiting for transit?
- Does LTD's network need small adjustments or a major overhaul?

The community will next be engaged in reviewing the scenarios for transit improvement currently being developed. That review is scheduled to start in early 2019. Results of the community engagement to date, including results of the online survey, will be presented to the City Council.

Enhancing public transportation in Eugene supports City plans and policies including Envision Eugene, the Eugene 2035 Transportation System Plan and the City's Climate Recovery Ordinance. Envision Eugene identifies frequent transit corridors that will have 15-minute service and support adjacent compact development. The Eugene 2035 TSP has a goal of tripling the percentage of trips made on foot, by bicycle and by transit by 2035.

RELATED CITY POLICIES

Eugene 2035 Transportation System Plan
Ordinance No. 20567: Climate Recovery

COUNCIL OPTIONS

Not applicable.

CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

Not applicable.

SUGGESTED MOTION

None.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Existing Conditions and Choices Report
- B. Transit Tomorrow Scenarios
- C. Transit Tomorrow Process

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Rob Inerfeld

Telephone: 541-682-5343

Staff E-Mail: rinerfeld@eugene-or.gov

Existing Conditions and Choices Report

Lane Transit District

JARRETT WALKER + ASSOCIATES

June 2018

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Summary 4

Transit Tomorrow is a review of LTD’s services, their performance, and the values they reflect. 5

LTD’s existing transit network (May 2017). 6

Where does transit fit in our region’s transportation system? 7

How do LTD’s services perform?..... 8

How much access to opportunity does LTD’s existing network provide? 9

Transit Tomorrow will examine what future LTD service could and should look like. 10

Community input is critical in making the decisions on LTD’s future service. 11

2 How Transit Works 12

Transit can serve many purposes; which purposes it should serve depends on your values. 13

High ridership depends on making transit service useful and liberating. 14

But ridership isn’t just about service. High ridership also depends on the built environment..... 16

Examples from Eugene/Springfield: Density and Walkability 17

Examples from Eugene/Springfield: Linearity 18

Examples from Eugene/Springfield: Proximity / Continuity..... 19

Transit geometry outside the metro area: it’s about coverage. 20

3 Market and Needs Assessment 21

Indicators of Demand: Residential Density..... 22

Indicators of Demand: Employment Density 23

Indicators of Demand: Activity Density 24

Indicators of Demand: Walkability 25

Indicators of Need: Zero-vehicle Households 26

Indicators of Need: Low-Income Households 27

Indicators of Need: Seniors 28

Civil Rights: Racial and Ethnic Minorities 29

4 The Fixed-Route Network 30

The Fixed-Route Network is the core of LTD’s services..... 31

Most people can access bus service within a short walk, but few have access to frequent service. 33

In some areas, two parallel routes go different places. This limits the frequency of both routes. 34

The usefulness of the network depends a lot on your location. 35

Ridership responds strongly to frequency..... 36

Ridership is much lower on evenings and weekends, when service levels are much lower..... 37

The network is based around timed connections between buses, mostly at Eugene Station 43

Timed connections are very useful, but their value depends on whether a trip starts at the right time. 44

LTD has a long-term policy to expand frequent service. 45

The frequent service policy is related to land use and investments in infrastructure..... 46

Frequent service doesn’t require timed connections..... 47

LTD ridership has declined since 2011. Some routes are more affected than others..... 48

The amount of bus service LTD provides is vulnerable to increases in costs and swings in the economy. 49

Table of Contents

5 Demand-Responsive Services 50

The RideSource brokerage serves a range of ADA paratransit, medical and human service trips. 51

LTD provides fewer ADA paratransit trips, and more medical trips than similar agencies. 52

Most trips facilitated by LTD RideSource are paid for by Medicaid and human service funds. 53

Providing reimbursed health care trips saves LTD money and protects the fixed-route network. 54

RideSource handles a mix of complex billing requirements, simplifying the user experience..... 55

The public would benefit from expanded web information on available services. 56

6 Travel Options (Point2point)..... 57

Point2point has built an array of outreach programs addressing travel options. 58

Point2point can increase its reach by embracing more new technology tools. 59

7 Key Choices 60

How should we balance high ridership and extensive coverage? 61

How should we balance walking and waiting?..... 62

Does LTD’s network need small adjustments, or a major overhaul?..... 63

Appendix A- LTD Fixed Route Atlas

Appendix B - Title VI Baseline

Appendix C - RideSource Technical Assessment

1 Introduction and Summary

Transit Tomorrow is a review of LTD's services, their performance, and the values they reflect.

What is Lane Transit District?

Lane Transit District (LTD) is the public transportation provider in the Eugene/Springfield metropolitan area and surrounding communities. LTD's district includes Eugene and Springfield, as well as Veneta, Junction City, Coburg, Lowell, Creswell, Cottage Grove, and the McKenzie River Highway corridor. LTD's transportation services include:

- **Fixed-route transit.** This includes all LTD bus routes, such as EmX, other metro area routes, and commuter routes to small towns and rural communities. These services are open to the general public. Anyone can ride.
- **Demand-response (RideSource).** This includes paratransit for persons with disabilities, non-emergency medical trips, and transportation for human services agencies. Only passengers meeting eligibility requirements (e.g. disability, Medicaid etc.) may ride.
- **Vanpools.** This is a specialized program for interested groups of commuters who work relatively far from home, near each other, and on similar schedules. LTD helps pay for a share of the rental and fuel costs of a shared van. Members of the group use the van to get to and from work.

LTD also serves as a source of public information on transportation through the Point2point **regional travel options** program. Point2point helps individuals and business understand the alternatives to driving alone. This includes transit, but also walking, cycling, and vehicle sharing.

Fixed-route buses are the largest component of LTD's service; they account almost 95% of LTD ridership.




Figure 1: LTD district boundaries. The district extends beyond the metro area to cover significant portions of Lane County.




Figure 2: Organization of LTD services. LTD directly operates all fixed routes within the district, but contracts out demand-response service through the RideSource program. The Point2point program administers Valley Vanpool, in addition to coordinating efforts to inform the public of available non-driving travel options.

LTD's existing transit network (May 2018).




Figure 3: LTD bus network in the Eugene/Springfield metro area. This includes EmX (in green), other lines that travel within the urbanized area (Routes 1 to 85), and connections to nearby communities (Routes 91 to 98).

Where does transit fit in our region's transportation system?

Transit Tomorrow and our mobility

In the right conditions, transit can do two things:

- Extend how far people can go on foot, or on a bicycle, providing some of the benefits of access to a private vehicle.
- Replace driving trips in times and places where driving a car is inconvenient or too expensive.

But transit can't meet every transportation need at all times. Walking and biking will be more useful for many shorter trips. Longer, very urgent, or more isolated trips may always require a car.

Transit Tomorrow will focus on how to improve mobility in our region, focusing on transit specifically. But the goal isn't to create a bus system that competes with every other mode at all times. Rather, we are seeking to understand the best way to use buses to get the outcomes most valued by the community.

Why focus on transit?

Transit can't serve every trip, but it has many personal and community benefits, such as:

- **Transit is very inexpensive.** LTD's day pass costs \$3.50. According to AAA, it costs about \$15 per day to own a car. Transit can help improve individuals' economic freedom by reducing the amount of money they spend on transportation.
- **Transit can move many people.** The average LTD bus carries 45 passengers per hour, and operates 12 to 16 hours per day. Most cars carry one or two people, and sit parked most hours of the day.
- **Transit requires very little space.** A typical sedan requires 70 square feet of road space for a single person. A typical bus carries ten to 60 people on 400 square feet of road space. That's up to ten times less road space per person!
- **Transit requires less fuel, and produces fewer emissions than driving alone.** A diesel bus gets 4 to 8 miles per gallon. That means it only takes 5 passengers on board to make a bus more fuel efficient than most cars.
- **Transit is available to everyone.** Not everyone can drive or cycle for their travel needs, and not everyone wants to. Transit allows all individuals the freedom not to rely on a personal vehicle, and not to depend on friends and family for transportation.




Figure 4: Different transportation modes are useful for different types of trips. When conditions are right, transit can extend the reach of biking or walking trips, or replace driving.




Figure 5: A sense of scale. Nearly 300,000 people live in LTD's service district; transit receives about 40,000 boardings per weekday. The vast majority of boardings are on buses in the metro area.

How do LTD's services perform?

How do we measure LTD's performance?

Many different measures provide insights into the effectiveness and efficiency of LTD's services. But LTD's performance as a transit agency isn't just a function of its services. Factors outside the agency's control also have a direct bearing on the likely performance of any public transit.

Transit Tomorrow starts with this Choices Report, which examines how LTD is performing through a comprehensive lens. In this report:

- **Chapter 2: How Transit Works** explains what makes transit service valuable, and how land use, neighborhood design and street design contribute to how useful a bus network can be.
- **Chapter 3: Market and Needs Assessment** describes how the location of population, jobs, and universities in the Eugene/Springfield area influence the demand for transit service.
- **Chapter 4: The Fixed-Route Network** is a detailed look at LTD's existing network. This includes facts, analysis and discussion on topics like the following:
 - » How LTD's bus routes combine to form a network, and the ways in which different routes complement and conflict each other.
 - » How well the existing network does (or doesn't) facilitate access to jobs and opportunity.
 - » The relationship between ridership and service levels and how that has changed over time.
 - » How ongoing changes in revenues and service costs are influencing the amount and types of service LTD can provide.
- **Chapter 5: Demand Responsive Services** describes how LTD's unique RideSource model combines ADA-required paratransit with medical and human services transportation, and how that is beneficial to riders, to the agency and to the general public.
- **Chapter 6: Travel Options (Point2point)** describes LTD's regional travel options program, how its activities interact with transit and the overall transportation system, and opportunities to further increase its reach.

Key Findings

The following is a selection of the most important findings of this Choices Report:

- **Most people can access an LTD bus route within a short walk, but few have access to frequent service.** As a result, public transit is not a viable transit option for many people, even though it reaches nearly everyone in the Eugene/Springfield metro area within a half-mile.
- **The usefulness of LTD's transit network depends a lot on your location.** People who live or work near more frequent services can use transit to reach far more places in the same amount of time than people elsewhere. Because of its frequency and speed, the EmX provides more benefit than any other LTD bus route. When transit is more useful to reach places quickly, it is a more viable alternative to driving.
- **Ridership responds strongly to frequency.** Transit's usefulness is strongly correlated to how often the bus comes. LTD's more frequent routes attract more riders per hour of service than routes that operate every 30 minutes or less often. And system ridership is much lower in the evenings and weekends, when most routes operate only every 60 minutes, and some routes don't operate at all.
- **Most of LTD's network is centered around timed connections that take place every 30 minutes at Eugene Station.** This timed connection provides significant benefit to riders on less frequent routes, allowing them to travel across town without long waits for a transfer. But it doesn't fix the long wait at the bus stop (or knowledge of the schedule) required in the first place.
- **Quality of service on LTD's more frequent routes is negatively impacted by the desire to make timed connections.** Frequent routes are useful in part because riders know they can show up anytime, and the bus will come shortly. Requiring frequent routes to make timed connections requires scheduling uneven waits or holding buses for several minutes at transfer locations. Added time waiting at a bus stop (or while a bus holds) makes frequent transit a less viable alternative to driving than it otherwise might be.
- **LTD has made significant investments in infrastructure on selected corridors. These investments support frequent service, and improve the pedestrian and cycling environment.** Development of EmX and its Gateway and West Eugene extensions served as the catalyst for improved pedestrian and bicycle facilities

near transit stops. This is also reflected in the ongoing MovingAhead and Main/McVay studies defining the types of infrastructure that would best support frequent transit service in other corridors.

- **The University of Oregon is the largest single source of transit ridership in the region.** At least 17% of all LTD weekday trips start or end within one block of UO. The vast majority of these (74%) take place on EmX.
- **Ridership on most LTD routes is down 20% since 2011, but ridership on EmX is up 35%.** Ridership losses have been especially significant (-50%) on the 70- and 80-series routes that are specifically geared to providing service to UO and LCC.
- **The amount of service LTD provides is vulnerable to increasing costs and swings in the economy.** Most of LTD's revenue comes from a local payroll tax; this is significantly and immediately impacted during recessions. Furthermore, the cost of providing service has increased much faster than inflation in recent years.
- **LTD's RideSource program provides both all-purpose ADA paratransit and externally funded non-emergency medical transportation. This reduces the amount of local public funds required for paratransit, while increasing the total amount of transportation provided to special-needs populations.** The whole community benefits, as funds that would otherwise be necessary for paratransit are instead available for fixed route service that is available to the general public. In many other communities, a significant portion of trips taken on paratransit are medical in nature, but are paid for by the transit agency's general funds.
- **The Point2point travel options program leverages LTD's investments in service and infrastructure, by ensuring that more people are aware of the different travel options available to them.** Point2point's emphasis extends beyond transit to cover events and information campaigns that promote walking, cycling, carpooling and vanpools.

How much access to opportunity does LTD's existing network provide?




Figure 6: Number of jobs that can be reached from any location in the Eugene/Springfield metro area within 45 minutes by transit and walking at 12 PM (noon) on a weekday. High job access is an indicator that the transit network is providing access to many opportunities, including shopping, education and socializing. On this map, darker shades of purple tend to indicate a combination of nearby employment, and access to higher-frequency transit. EmX provides the most job access, but other higher-frequency routes also provide significant benefits, such as Routes 66/67 on Coburg Road and Routes 51/52 on River Road.

Transit Tomorrow will examine what future LTD service could and should look like.

What choices does the system reflect?

Transit Tomorrow is a unique opportunity to rethink the purpose of LTD's transit system, and how it relates to other ways of getting around such as walking, cycling and driving.

The amount and types of transit service available today reflect not only technical decisions, but also value judgements about what LTD should and should not provide.

For example, a quick look at the network map in Figure 3 (see page 6) shows that the existing bus network provides some level of service within a half-mile of nearly all developed areas in Eugene and Springfield. As shown in Figure 7, over 90% of the metro area's residents live within a half-mile of a bus stop.

This is not necessarily a given: there are benefits and costs to deciding to serve the entire metro area. On the one hand, it ensures that nearly everyone is at least near a lifeline service to Downtown Eugene or Springfield. On the other hand, it means finding ways to operate in neighborhoods that were never designed with transit service in mind.

Transit Tomorrow will re-examine some of these choices. We've summarized the most important trade-offs in this Choices Report, as **Chapter 7: Key Choices**, asking you to consider some of the choices LTD is facing:

- » **How should we balance high ridership and extensive coverage?** Is it more important to provide frequent service for long hours in places that will attract the most riders, or to get a little bit of service as close as possible to every possible place?
- » **How should we balance walking and waiting?** Is it more important to have a bus stop very nearby, or to know that if you walk a little farther the bus will come sooner and move more quickly?
- » **Does LTD's network need small adjustments, or a major overhaul?** Is it more important to make small improvements to the system we already have, or does it need to be redesigned completely from a blank slate?

None of these questions have "correct" answers: there are valid reasons to go either way, or to fall anywhere in between the two extremes.

Ridership vs. Coverage

The most basic choice is the degree to which the transit system should be pursuing ridership or coverage. Pursuing high ridership or high coverage leads to substantially different outcomes.

Pursuing high ridership means focusing service on places where many people go, and designing service so the bus is always coming soon. Service focused primarily on ridership:

- Expands the range of trips for which transit is a viable option.
- Limits the amount of car traffic, congestion and pollution.
- Reduces the amount of public subsidy required for transit.

Pursuing high coverage means reaching as many places as possible with a basic level of service. Service focused primarily on coverage:

- Ensures every neighborhood has access to the transit system.
- Provides lifeline access to critical services for all.
- Doesn't provide a viable transportation option for most people.

LTD can pursue high ridership and extensive coverage within the same budget, but not with the same dollar. The more it does of one, the less it does of the other.

Walking vs. Waiting

Another way to think about the question of ridership and coverage is to think specifically about how far a person should have to walk to reach a bus stop, and how long they should have to wait, on average, before the next bus comes.

Walking and waiting are important to consider on their own, because both of these activities add time and inconvenience to any transit trip, and different people have a wide variety of preferences regarding each.

A transit system designed to minimize how far people walk requires many routes near each other. This means most routes will be infrequent.

Conversely, a transit system designed to minimize waits requires high frequencies. In that case, many people need to walk longer distances to reach service.




Figure 7: Percentage of the Eugene/Springfield metro within a half-mile of an LTD bus route. The network is designed to get some service within a half-mile of almost everyone. As a result, the percentage of the population near frequent service (in red) isn't very high. This isn't the only possible choice: if LTD served fewer places, more of its routes could run every 10 to 15 minutes. What is more valuable?

Adjustments vs. Overhaul

As a general rule, the more a transit system changes, the more disruption it produces in existing riders' lives. On the other hand, a bigger change can make it possible to achieve much greater benefits for the community as a whole.

To date, LTD customers have experienced seasonal adjustments to routes and schedules, three times per year. Some of these changes, like the route changes and frequency improvements associated with EmX extensions, have been larger than others.

Transit Tomorrow is an opportunity to consider whether the community would generally prefer LTD to make improvements to the network in its current form, or to rethink the network from the ground up.

Community input is critical in making the decisions on LTD's future service.

What is the public input process?


Transit Tomorrow will combine technical analysis and broad-based community input to develop a public transit network for the future. Along the way, we'll take the following steps:

- **June 2018: Choices Report.** This report provides facts and analysis about the existing network, and describes the general choices and trade-offs that LTD will need to weigh in designing future service.
- **July - August 2018: Public Input on Values and Priorities.** LTD will be presenting key information online and at community events, and seeking public feedback through a variety of channels, including:
 - » Meeting the public at community events, and holding dedicated listening sessions.
 - » Online open house seeking public feedback: <http://openhouse.jla.us.com/transit-tomorrow>
 - » Project web page: www.ltd.org/transit-tomorrow
 - » Project e-mail address: transit-tomorrow@ltd.org
- **August - December 2018: Analyze Public Input and Design Alternatives.** LTD will use public feedback to help guide the design of up to three different alternatives for future service. Each alternative will reflect a different direction and set of priorities.
- **Winter 2019: Public Review of Alternatives.** LTD will ask the public for feedback on the alternatives. Each alternative will illustrate the real-world consequences of applying different values to the transit network, so that members of the public can make more informed judgements on the type of service they'd prefer.
- **Early Spring 2019: Refine Alternatives.** Community input will help LTD understand which alternative(s) should be studied further. LTD will develop more detailed plans.
- **Late Spring 2019: Board Decision.** Taking into account public feedback and the refined alternative(s), the LTD Board of Directors will make a decision on the preferred structure of the future transit network. Depending on community input, the future network could be similar to what exists today, or it could be very different.
- **2020: Service Changes.** LTD will make regular seasonal adjustments to service throughout this process. If the preferred version of the future network looks very different from existing service, significant service changes will likely come in 2020.



Figure 8: Project and community input timeline. LTD will seek public feedback in two phases. The first phase will focus on the public's values and priorities. This will help LTD design several alternative visions for future service. In the second phase of input, LTD will share these alternatives, so the public can see the real-world consequences of different priorities. Public feedback on alternatives will be critical in shaping the final LTD Board decision, leading to possibly significant changes in the LTD transit network in 2020.

Transit Tomorrow's Four Scenarios



Four scenarios have been developed to help make decisions on two key questions:

First, what is the best way to structure the region's transit network – should it focus on higher frequency with longer walks or transit service that is lower frequency, but shorter walks?

Second, what priority should be put on new revenue for transit – should it be used to increase service (frequency and late night/weekend service) or reduce the cost of fares for people using transit?

Transit Tomorrow

Your Transit. Your Vision. Your Future.



October 15, 2018, Work Session – Item 2

Project Process & Community Input

