



Eugene City Council

125 E. 8th Ave., 2nd Floor
Eugene, OR 97401-2793
541-682-5010 • 541-682-5414 Fax
www.eugene-or.gov

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

June 22, 2020

**5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AND WORK SESSION OF
THE EUGENE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY**

Due to Governor Brown's Stay Home, Save Lives Executive Order to combat the spread of Covid-19, this meeting will be held remotely using virtual meeting technology. Information about online or other options for access and participation will be available at <https://www.eugene-or.gov/3360/Webcasts-and-Meeting-Materials>

**Meeting of June 22, 2020;
Her Honor Mayor Lucy Vinis Presiding**

Councilors

Emily Semple, President
Mike Clark
Chris Pryor
Betty Taylor

Jennifer Yeh, Vice President
Greg Evans
Claire Syrett
Alan Zelenka

**5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AND WORK SESSION OF
THE EUGENE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY**

1. WORK SESSION: Park Blocks and Farmers Market Update

For the hearing impaired, an interpreter can be provided with 48 hours' notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hours' notice. To arrange for these services, contact the receptionist at 541-682-5010. City Council meetings are telecast live on Metro Television, Comcast channel 21, and rebroadcast later in the week.

El consejo de la Ciudad de Eugene agradece su interés en estos asuntos de la agenda. El lugar de la reunión tiene acceso para sillas de ruedas. Se puede proveer a un intérprete para las personas con discapacidad auditiva si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. También se puede proveer interpretación para español si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. Para reservar estos servicios llame al 541-682-5010. Las reuniones del consejo de la ciudad se transmiten en vivo por Metro Television, Canal 21 de Comcast y son retransmitidas durante la semana.

For more information, contact the Council Coordinator at 541-682-5010
or visit us online at www.eugene-or.gov.

EUGENE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Work Session: Park Blocks and Farmers Market Update

Meeting Date: June 22, 2020
Department: Planning and Development
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 1
Staff Contact: Will Dowdy
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5340

ISSUE STATEMENT

This work session reviews the Schematic Design for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market. The work session will include information on design, estimated costs, and funding options.

BACKGROUND

The City of Eugene is working to accommodate the current and future needs of the Lane County Farmers Market and Eugene's City Hall, while reimagining the existing Park Blocks and restoring the former northwest block (occupied today by the Butterfly Lot). The vision is to locate efficient and accessible government services, provide a permanent location for a year-round public Farmers Market, create a central gathering space for both the immediate neighborhood and broader community, strengthen 8th Avenue as downtown's connection to the river, and continue the momentum of downtown revitalization.

In June 2016, City Council amended the Downtown Urban Renewal Plan to include the Park Blocks and Farmers Market with a process to follow before Urban Renewal funds could be used for the projects. In June 2017, City Council, in partnership with the Lane County Board of Commissioners, announced the agreement for the City and Agency to each acquire half of the Butterfly Lot property (north and south, respectively) as the future home of the City Hall and Farmers Market. The City Council and Agency Board approved the purchase in June 2017 and the property was purchased in January 2019. In May 2019, City Council gave further endorsement to the project by authorizing the design work for a City Hall on the Butterfly Lot site, and in October, the Agency Board authorized further design work for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market based on the Concept Design.

Project Status

The Park Blocks and Farmers Market are in the Schematic Design phase. City Hall is on an independent timeline and is at the beginning of the Schematic Design phase; it will be presented to Council at a later date. Since the beginning of the City's State of Emergency, staff has paused all design progress on the Park Blocks, Farmers Market and City Hall until we receive further direction from Council/Agency Board.

Urban Renewal Process

The Park Blocks and Farmers Market have been following the Council-approved process laid out in the 2016 Downtown Urban Renewal Plan. The process outlines four steps that give the Agency Board more information about the projects before Board action. The process steps are:

- 1) An opportunity for the community to share aspirations and vision for the projects.
- 2) A presentation by staff to the Agency Board summarizing the public engagement, sharing cost estimates and presenting funding mechanisms.
- 3) A public hearing on whether the projects should move forward and how they should be funded.
- 4) Agency Board deliberation about using Urban Renewal funds for the projects, and subsequent action.

The Concept Design process conducted last year fulfilled the requirements of step #1. Step #2 was begun at the Agency Board's work session on October 30, 2019, which summarized the public engagement, and at Council's work session on February 24, 2020, which included a list of funding options; and Step #2 will be completed at this work session with the presentation of cost estimates. Attachment A describes a summary of funding sources identified for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market. Attachment B is the list of other funding options that were presented at the February work session.

If the Agency Board wishes to continue to consider the use of Urban Renewal funds for the Park Blocks and/or Farmers Market, the next step is the public hearing described above (#3). After the public hearing, the Board will have the opportunity to deliberate and take action (#4).

Schematic Design

The Schematic Designs for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market have given more shape and detail to the concept design presented to City Council in October of 2019.

Park Blocks

Through Schematic Design, the team has continued to refine the Park Blocks design working closely with stakeholders such as the Eugene Saturday Market, performing arts groups and the Downtown Ambassadors. The design team led by Cameron McCarthy has maintained fidelity to the vision established with community engagement, while honing in on details of paving and utility layouts with the goal of creating a flexible space that can be used for regular large scale events like the Eugene Saturday Market as well as for other community events both large and small. Feedback from downtown operations staff has led to the public accessible restroom being moved to the southwest Park Block from its earlier location in the Farmers Market pavilion.

Farmers Market Pavilion and Plaza

In February, staff shared a concept for the Farmers Market Pavilion design that takes inspiration from the greenhouses used by our farmers to shelter and cultivate crops year-round, and employs economical materials, including wood and transparent polycarbonate. The Schematic Design responds to community comments received at our open house. While maintaining the simple shapes and light atmosphere within the Pavilion, the design

team led by FFA has lowered the pavilion's profile and enhanced the connection to the adjacent properties on W. Park Street. Large sliding barndoor doors open the pavilion to the outdoor plaza, creating a large unified area for the Lane County Farmers Market to grow. Lighting within the plaza makes a festive atmosphere for regular market days and special evening events.

Right-of-Way

Throughout the project, a widely shared value has been connection: connection among the four Park Blocks, and connection to the buildings and businesses that surround them. This is primarily achieved in the treatment of the Rights-of-Way as curbless streets. These streets combine a holistic approach to safety with ease of movement among the Park Blocks, Farmers Market, City Hall and adjacent businesses. The Schematic Design has also incorporated the 8th Avenue bike lane that was designed during the Central Eugene In Motion process. The Schematic Design continues to retain on-street parking for access to local businesses that surround the Park Blocks.

Proposed Next Steps

If the Agency Board provides direction to move forward on implementation, the following next steps would occur:

- Public Hearing and Agency Board Action on Park Blocks and Farmers Market project and funding options, including the use of Urban Renewal funds.
- Work session to review Schematic Design and cost estimate for City Hall, and for Council direction on continuing with the final architectural drawings.
- Construction drawings for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market.
- Construction drawings for the City Hall.
- Council/Agency Board actions on budget authorization for construction.
- Construction for the Park Blocks and Farmers Market.
- Construction for the City Hall.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL / AGENCY BOARD DIRECTION

[June 13, 2016, Work Session](#)

City Council passed two motions and approved Ordinance 20564 to amend the Downtown Urban Renewal Plan to increase the spending limit and include the Park Blocks and Farmers Market as new projects.

MOTION TO AMEND AND VOTE: Councilor Syrett, seconded by Councilor Poling, moved to amend to raise the amount of the spending limit by an additional million dollars to be added to the LCC project. PASSED 5:3, Councilors Brown, Taylor and Zelenka opposed.

MOTION TO AMEND AND VOTE with friendly: Councilor Zelenka, seconded by Councilor Brown, moved to amend under section a, 2nd sentence beginning with the effective date of the 2016 amendment, the Agency will also be able to use tax increment funds in the plan area to help create an improved permanent Farmers' Market not to exceed \$4 million, as well as to improve any public parks, public plazas, restrooms, open spaces, streets, and sidewalks within the plan area not

June 22, 2020 Work Session – Item 1

to exceed \$5.2 million. Subsection Band the end of the sentence add the language not to exceed \$3 million of tax increment funds. Under subsection C after “the Agency may provide” insert up to \$6 million of tax increment funds as part of the redevelopment. PASSED 5:3, Councilors Taylor, Poling and Clark opposed.

[December 14, 2016, Work Session](#)

Following the Coordinated Downtown Development report by Cameron McCarthy, which explored location options for City Hall, the Lane County Courthouse and Farmers Market, City Council deliberated over the preferred option of the three scenarios described in the analysis. At this work session, Council directed the City Manager to negotiate the purchase of the Butterfly Lot and sale of the former City Hall site, for the purpose of implementing “Scenario C,” a City Hall and Farmers Market on the site of the Butterfly Lot.

[June 26, 2017, City Council Work Session and Agency Board Meeting](#)

City Council authorized the City Manager to purchase the northern half of the Butterfly Lot from Lane County to be the site of the new City Hall. Immediately following this action, a meeting of the Urban Renewal Agency Board was convened, and the Board directed the Agency Director to purchase the southern half of the Butterfly Lot to be the site of an expanded Farmers Market.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Zelenka, seconded by Councilor Clark, moved to authorize the City Manager to enter into a purchase and sale agreement and an intergovernmental agreement with Lane County and the Urban Renewal Agency consistent with the terms of the property exchange deal sheet and contingent upon the Lane County Board of Commissioners accepting an identical property exchange deal sheet no later than close of business June 27, 2017. PASSED 7:0.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Zelenka, seconded by Councilor Clark, moved to authorize the Agency Director to enter into a purchase and sale agreement and an intergovernmental agreement with Lane County and the Eugene City Council consistent with the terms of the property exchange deal sheet and contingent upon the Lane County Board of Commissioners accepting an identical property exchange deal sheet no later than close of business June 27, 2017. PASSED 7:0.

[May 15, 2019, City Council Work Session](#)

City Council authorized the City Manager to take the necessary next steps to design City Hall for the northern half of the Butterfly Lot.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to direct the City Manager to take the necessary next steps to design City Hall on the Butterfly Lot in planning for the Town Square. PASSED 7:1, Councilor Clark opposed.

[October 30, 2019, Agency Board Work Session](#)

The Agency Board directed the Agency Director to advance the Park Blocks and Farmers Market design based on the proposed Concept Design and return for work sessions in early 2020.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to direct the Agency Director to advance the Town Square design based on the proposed Concept Design and return for work sessions in early 2020. PASSED 6:1, Councilor Taylor opposed.

June 22, 2020 Work Session – Item 1

February 10, 2020, City Council Work Session

City Council directed the City Manager to design City Hall without additional floors of leasable real estate; without underground parking; to achieve LEED Silver certification; and to a seismic standard above Life Safety, with the intent of City Hall being repairable after an earthquake.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Semple, seconded by Councilor Yeh, moved to direct the City Manager to design City Hall without additional floors of leasable real estate. PASSED 5:4, Mayor Vinis broke the tie and voted in favor with Councilors Zelenka, Yeh, Syrett, and Pryor.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Semple, seconded by Councilor Yeh, moved to direct the City Manager to design City Hall without underground parking. PASSED 7:1, Councilor Taylor opposed.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Semple, seconded by Councilor Yeh, moved to direct the City Manager to design City Hall to achieve LEED Silver certification. PASSED 6:2, Councilors Clark and Evans opposed.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Semple, seconded by Councilor Yeh, moved to direct the City Manager to design City Hall to a seismic standard above Life Safety, with the intent of City Hall being repairable after an earthquake. PASSED 6:2, Councilors Clark and Evans opposed.

AGENCY BOARD OPTIONS

If the Agency Board would like to consider advancing the Park Blocks and/or Farmers Market then—after an opportunity for community input at a public hearing—a second work session can be scheduled for deliberation and action. The following options would clarify the intent of the Agency Board and guide staff's next steps:

1. Schedule a Public Hearing about whether the Park Blocks and Farmers Market should move forward, and if so, how they should be funded and return to the Agency Board for deliberation prior to the Council's summer break.
2. Schedule a work session for further discussion prior to any other steps.
3. Do not move forward at this time.

SUGGESTED MOTION

Move to direct the Agency Director to schedule a Public Hearing on the Park Blocks and Farmer's Market projects in order to solicit public feedback on whether the Park Blocks and Farmers Market projects should move forward, as well as the appropriate funding mechanisms for the projects. The Agency Director is further directed to schedule a meeting to allow the Agency Board to deliberate on the projects. The meeting should be scheduled after the public hearing but prior to July 30, 2020.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Summary of Identified Park Blocks and Farmers Market Funding Sources
- B. Draft Potential Funding Sources for Town Square (Feb. 24, 2020)
- C. Schematic Cost Estimate

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Will Dowdy
Telephone: 541-682-5340
Staff E-Mail: wdowdy@eugene-or.gov

SUMMARY OF IDENTIFIED PARK BLOCKS AND FARMERS MARKET FUNDING SOURCES

The three identified sources of funds for Park Blocks and Farmers Market are described below and include Downtown Urban Renewal, Lane County contribution, and Park SDCs. Additional funding options are described in Attachment B, “Draft Potential Funding Sources for Town Square,” which was sent to Council ahead of the February 24, 2020 work session.

Urban Renewal

The 2016 Plan amendment identified the Farmers Market and Park Blocks & Open Space as two projects eligible for Urban Renewal tax increment funds. For each project, the Plan amendment set out a maximum amount of tax increment financing that could be used for that purpose. At the time of the amendment, elements of each project were yet to occur (e.g. public engagement, design engineering for fiber, project negotiations for Farmers Market, project scoping for Park Blocks and 1059 Willamette redevelopment) so Council considered a range of opportunities within each project before selecting a cap for the amount of tax increment for each project.

UR: Farmers Market

Improvements to benefit the Farmers Market were originally eligible for up to \$4M of tax increment funds from the 2016 Plan amendment plus \$0.5M from the 2010 Plan amendment. Using a matrix of estimated project levels, Council directed the City Manager to include in the Plan amendment below the moderate level for Farmers Market. The moderate level was described to include open air pavilion on purchased land with demolition of the Butterfly Lot or a building with land and demolition provided at no cost. (This was prior to the Butterfly Lot negotiation with the County.) In January 2019, the Agency purchased the southern half of the Butterfly Lot for \$1.25M (half of the total \$2.5M price for the entire lot). The remaining balance of eligible tax increment funds is \$3.25M.

UR: Park Blocks & Open Space

The Park Blocks, other downtown open spaces and the connecting streets and walkways are eligible projects for up to \$5.2M of tax increment funds. Using a matrix of estimated project levels, Council directed the City Manager to include in the Plan amendment below the lowest level for Park Blocks (which had been estimated at \$8.2M and described to include restrooms, lighting, seating, some landscaping and accessibility improvements; Willamette to Willamette 2-way traffic conversion; Broadway Plaza seating, lighting, opening walls; and Hult Center plaza accessibility improvements).

Other Downtown Urban Renewal funds (not for the Park Blocks or Farmers Market)

The 2016 Plan also included the Downtown Fiber project (up to \$3M of tax increment funds) and 1059 Willamette (the former Lane Community College Center building; up to \$6M of tax increment funds). While the Plan made these projects eligible for amounts listed here, Council approved an increase to the spending limit that is less than the sum of the individual projects. (See table below). Staff will bring updates on the 1059 Willamette project to the Agency Board later this year.

UR: Project Delivery and Administration

The plan identifies funds for project delivery administration, which includes scoping costs, project management and administrative functions.

2016 Maximum Tax Increment Spending Allowed by Project	
High-Speed Fiber	\$ 3.0M
Farmers Market	\$ 4.0M*
Park Blocks & Open Space	\$ 5.2M
Old LCC Building (1059 Willamette)	\$ 6.0M
Project Delivery and Administration	\$ 4.2M**
Sum of Project Maximums	\$22.4M
2016 Spending Limit Increase	\$19.4M

*The Farmers Market had \$500,000 dedicated in the 2010 Plan amendment for a total of \$4.5M.

**No cap was put on project delivery and administration in the 2016 Plan amendment, but this was the estimated amount that would be needed to deliver the projects.

Lane County Contribution

As part of the agreement for the City to sell the former City Hall Lot to Lane County and Lane County to sell the Butterfly Lot to the City and Agency, Lane County agreed to contribute \$940,000 to the Farmers Market project: \$500,000 in cash and the remaining \$440,000 in cash or in-kind services.

Park SDCs

The Park System Development Charges (SDCs) fund includes up to \$1.5M that can be used as a 97% match for family-friendly play features in a downtown neighborhood park. The fountain area of the Park Blocks is identified for these funds.

ATTACHMENT B

DRAFT POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES FOR TOWN SQUARE (Feb. 24, 2020)

The following list was sent to Council by Twylla Miller, Finance Director, prior to the February 24, 2020 work session.

Asset Sales

Current assets that could be sold are parking garages or surface parking lots. It is estimated that the Pearl Street parking garage could be sold for approximately \$2.5 million and that the City Hall surface lot could be sold for approximately \$1.0 million.

Challenges: Parking and mobility is critical to continuing the job and property value growth in downtown Eugene. The City of Eugene uses all six parking structures and a few surface lots to manage downtown economic activity, including growth in employee and housing needs. The sale of the parking property would have an impact to the Parking Fund with a loss of revenue and parking management resources.

Downtown Urban Renewal Funds:

The urban renewal plan could be amended to add additional projects (including City Hall) and increase the maximum indebtedness. The Urban Renewal district could then obtain bank financing to fund address the funding gap. The Downtown District is set to terminate after sufficient funds are accumulated to pay off allowable projects. For every additional \$10M borrowed the life of the district would need to be extended about 5 years.

Challenges: This would require a Type One amendment which would take six to nine months to complete after council action. The amendment would be processed through an ordinance that would be subject to referral. This action would also require concurrence from overlapping tax jurisdictions such as Eugene 4J.

Donations:

Community members could be asked to provide donations for portions of this project. The amount that could be raised is unknown, but it is likely not a significant funding source.

Challenges: The City Hall portion of the Town Square project would likely be more difficult to find donors for. The Farmers Market or Park Blocks may have a higher likelihood of achieving donations/naming rights.

Energy Incentives:

Energy incentives would be pursued regardless of funding strategy and would not be enough to substantively address the funding gap.

General Capital Transfer Allocations:

If the City dedicated \$500K per year from the \$3.4 million base general capital transfer for building preservation, approximately \$6-7 million could be raised through the issuance of 20-year full faith

and credit bonds.

Challenges: This action would constrain the ability to adequately maintain/preserve GF buildings. Even with the addition of newer buildings, a large component of the GF facility inventory is significantly older with the average age of GF buildings over 30 years old. There is a risk to providing inadequate funding for building preservation as well as increased costs over time.

General Obligation Bonds:

The City could issue (with voter approval) \$15 million in G.O. Bonds with the cost to the typical home estimated at \$15-20 on average per year for 20 years.

Challenges: Eugene voters would need to approve the ballot measure for the bonds, so there is a risk that the measure would fail.

General Fund Reserves:

The General Fund Reserve for Revenue Shortfall could be used beginning in FY22. Up to \$1 million annually could be used, however by FY26 there would only be a 3.8% RRSF achieved (rather than the 8% target) if \$1 million per year was used for four years, using current forecast assumptions and projections. If \$500K were allocated beginning in FY22 a 4.9% RRSF would be achieved in FY26.

Challenges: Generally, it is not recommended to drop below a 5% RRSF. Having at least a 5% RRSF allows a prudent reserve level to respond to unexpected events such as a major employer closing in the city, the next recession, other federal actions such as the recent FCC action and other unfunded mandates. Without an adequate reserve, if an event happened, the City would immediately have to cut services and staff in response, rather than having adequate time to develop an appropriate strategy to manage the situation. Also, dropping to a lower reserve level could endanger the City's high bond rating (Aa1) as the rating agency (Moody's) would be concerned about the City's financial stability and management. A lower bond rating would translate into higher borrowing costs in the future for the City and taxpayers.

Grants: There may be funds available for the project from grants. To date, grant opportunities have not been identified.

Local Option Property Tax Levy:

Voters could be asked to authorize an annual property tax levy for up to 10 years for capital purposes. This would be counted towards the Measure 5 tax rate limit. The levy could be used to provide the debt service on bank financing of \$15M for the project. This would result in an annual levy of about \$2 million with the average cost to the median home of \$25-30 per year over the life of the levy.

Challenges: Eugene voters would need to approve the ballot measure for the levy, so there is a risk that the measure would fail. The City may have other levy renewals for libraries and parks on the ballot in the next few years, as well as levies by the County or 4J. Additionally, the levy would count toward the Measure 5 tax rate limit. While there is still 61 cents under the cap before

compression begins, this additional levy would use up about 10 cents of the remaining capacity.

Parking Funds:

To the extent that there are funds available for capital projects in the Parking Funds, those might be tapped to pay for a portion of the City Hall parking requirements; however, providing parking in the Riverfront redevelopment area will require significant contributions from Parking Funds in the next few years.

Challenges: The parking program already has a significant amount of existing infrastructure needs with the parking funds currently running with thin margins. If parking funds were prioritized for this purpose, other projects would need to be delayed.

Systems Development Charges:

SDCs, if available would add additional park area but would not be able to be utilized for the current funding gap.

Telecommunications Tax Funds:

The City's telecommunications tax could be used to pay for new City technology related projects and purchases, such as installing fiber to the site, purchasing and installing telecommunications equipment. There is already \$2 million in Telcom Funds set aside for the City Hall component of the project in the City Hall Fund. While there may be some additional funds available in the Telecom Fund there would need to be a telecom nexus for use of the funds.

Challenges: If appropriate project costs related to Town Square were identified for additional Telecom Funds, other Telecom projects and equipment purchases would likely need to be delayed, depending on the amount of additional Telecom Funds identified for this project.

Other Miscellaneous Funds:

The City could continue to look for opportunities to set funds aside for this project, including any one-time dollars that are identified over the next few years. An example would be dedication of a portion of the Marginal Beginning Working Capital, if available.

SCHEMATIC COST ESTIMATE

Cost Estimate

When the Concept Design was presented to Council in November 2019, the associated cost estimate was \$12M for the Park Blocks and \$13M for the Farmers Market. That estimate included work in the Right of Way (ROW) for Park Street and Oak Street (8th Avenue was not included, since it is part of a Public Works project for that corridor). Looking ahead, the project team is working with Public Works to evaluate ROW costs, funding and any efficiencies that would come by shifting the full ROW scope into Public Works' purview.

In the table below, the Concept Design estimate (from November) is shown in two ways: as originally presented and then with the ROW as a separate line item for the sake of comparison to the Schematic Design estimate and future estimates.

	CONCEPT DESIGN (NOV. 2019)		SCHEMATIC DESIGN (APR. 2020)
	AS PRESENTED	WITH ROW EXTRACTED	
PARK BLOCKS	\$12M	\$10-11M	\$10-11M
FARMERS MARKET	\$13M	\$11-12M	\$9-10M
RIGHT OF WAY	(included)	\$2-4M	\$2-4M
TOTAL	\$25M*	\$25M	\$21-25M

*As noted above, this estimate includes ROW work on Park Street and Oak Street.

These cost estimates include direct costs for the construction, as well as contractor expenses, design consultants, permit fees, System Development Charges, escalation and contingencies. The escalation assumed a Q4 2020 construction start, which will need to be adjusted due to COVID-related delays.

Identified Funds

The following funds have been previously identified as funds for which the project is eligible; some funds are specifically applicable to the Farmers Market, whereas other funds can be used flexibly between either the Farmers Market or the Park Blocks.

Funds for Farmers Market		Funds for Park Blocks and Right of Way	
UR: Farmers Market	\$3.25M	UR: Park Blocks / Open Space	\$5.20M
Lane County contribution	\$0.94M	Parks SDCs	\$1.45M
Subtotal	\$4.19M	Subtotal	\$6.65M
			Total
			\$10.74M

Additional funding for the ROW is being explored with Public Works Engineering.