



Eugene City Council

125 E. 8th Ave., 2nd Floor
Eugene, OR 97401-2793
541-682-5010 • 541-682-5414 Fax
www.eugene-or.gov

AMENDED AGENDA EUGENE CITY COUNCIL

October 28, 2019

7:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401

Meeting of October 28, 2019;
Her Honor Mayor Lucy Vinis Presiding

Councilors

Betty Taylor, President
Greg Evans
Mike Clark
Jennifer Yeh

Emily Semple, Vice President
Chris Pryor
Claire Syrett
Alan Zelenka

Note: This amended agenda reflects the addition of Item 3.

7:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL MEETING

1. PUBLIC FORUM

2. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Approval of City Council Minutes

- **September 16, 2019, Work Session**
- **September 23, 2019, Work Session**
- **September 23, 2019, Meeting**
- **September 25, 2019, Work Session**
- **October 9, 2019, Work Session**

B. Approval of City Council Tentative Agenda

C. Appointment of Civilian Review Board Representative to Police Commission

3. ACTION: A Resolution Declaring the Right to Safe and Legal Abortion and Affirming the City of Eugene's Commitment to Act in Support of That Right by Authorizing the City to Participate as an Amicus in Forthcoming Federal Lawsuits Challenging Abortion Bans Around the Country.

October 28, 2019, Meeting

The Eugene City Council welcomes your interest in these agenda items. This meeting location is wheelchair-accessible. For the hearing impaired, an interpreter can be provided with 48 hours' notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hours' notice. To arrange for these services, contact the receptionist at 541-682-5010. City Council meetings are telecast live on Metro Television, Comcast channel 21, and rebroadcast later in the week.

El consejo de la Ciudad de Eugene agradece su interés en estos asuntos de la agenda. El lugar de la reunión tiene acceso para sillas de ruedas. Se puede proveer a un intérprete para las personas con discapacidad auditiva si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. También se puede proveer interpretación para español si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. Para reservar estos servicios llame al 541-682-5010. Las reuniones del consejo de la ciudad se transmiten en vivo por Metro Television, Canal 21 de Comcast y son retransmitidas durante la semana.

For more information, contact the Council Coordinator at 541-682-5010,
or visit us online at www.eugene-or.gov.

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Public Forum

Meeting Date: October 28, 2019

Department: Central Services

www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 1

Staff Contact: Beth Forrest

Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5882

ISSUE STATEMENT

This segment allows citizens the opportunity to express opinions and provide information to the council. Testimony presented during the Public Forum should be on city-related issues and should not address items which have already been heard by a Hearings Official or are on the present agenda as a public hearing item.

SUGGESTED MOTION

No action is required; this is an informational item only.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Beth Forrest

Telephone: 541-682-5882

Staff E-Mail: bforrest@eugene-or.gov

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Approval of City Council Minutes

Meeting Date: October 28, 2019
Department: Central Services
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 2A
Staff Contact: Beth Forrest
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5882

ISSUE STATEMENT

This is a routine item to approve City Council minutes.

SUGGESTED MOTION

Move to approve the minutes for the work sessions on September 16, 23, and 25 of 2019; the meeting on September 23, 2019; and the work session on October 9, 2019.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. September 16, 2019, Work Session
- B. September 23, 2019, Work Session
- C. September 23, 2019, Meeting
- D. September 25, 2019, Work Session
- E. October 9, 2019, Work Session

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Beth Forrest
Telephone: 541-682-5882
Staff E-Mail: bforrest@eugene-or.gov

MINUTES

Eugene City Council
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401

September 16, 2019
5:30 p.m.

Councilors Present: Betty Taylor, Emily Semple, Alan Zelenka (*via telephone*), Mike Clark, Greg Evans, Chris Pryor, Claire Syrett, Jennifer Yeh

Councilor Taylor opened the September 16, 2019, work session of the Eugene City Council. Mayor Vinis attended the meeting by phone.

- 1. WORK SESSION: An Ordinance Concerning Accessory Dwellings; Replacing the Term “Secondary Dwelling” with “Accessory Dwelling” Throughout the Eugene Code 1971; Making Additional Amendments to Sections 9.0500, 9.2010, 9.2011, 9.2740, 9.2741, 9.2750, 9.2751, 9.2775, 9.3060, 9.3115, 9.3125, 9.3210, 9.3215, 9.3310, 9.3510, 9.3615, 9.3810, 9.3811, 9.3815, 9.3910, 9.3915, 9.6410, and 9.8030 of that Code; and Addressing the Oregon Land Use Board Of Appeals’ Remand of Ordinance Nos. 20594 And 20595.**

MOTION: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to not take action on the ordinance at the work session.

Council Discussion

- Councilor Clark – agreed with the motion in principal, but also thought the vote could be reversed later in the meeting by the majority.
- Councilor Semple – expressed disappointment that the ordinance was drafted through a straw poll; would like to give more time for residents to comment.
- Councilor Evans – wanted more time to consider the motions Councilor Yeh emailed prior to the meeting; would like to consider how Senate Bill 1051 and House Bill 2001 overlap and pass an ordinance that plans for them both.
- Councilor Syrett – asked for clarification on what was included in the previous public hearing regarding the ordinance and changes made to the draft since that time; was not amenable to the motion.
- Councilor Pryor – affirmed that the ordinance was not significantly different from what was included in the previous public hearing; asked for clarification on whether the City was currently out of compliance with State law; did not support the motion.
- Councilor Zelenka – said he thought a public hearing or more time to hear from constituents at the next public forum would be helpful.
- Councilor Clark – thought some of the changes to the ordinance were significant; preferred not to move quickly, but to have more time for people to weigh in at the next public forum or public hearing.

- Councilor Semple – asked for clarification about the dates when code amendments must be in place; asked what the process would be locally if HB 2001 were to be repealed in the next legislative session; reminded everyone that council instructed staff to work on advocating for a repeal of HB 2001.
- Mayor Vinis – reminded everyone that the topic was on the agenda for “possible action” and council has the option not to vote on a topic at any time.
- Councilor Taylor – wanted more time to respond to public concerns on the topic.
- Councilor Syrett – noted that not all councilors were in favor of changing the regulations on ADUs simply because the state legislature was requiring it, but that some members wanted to make it easier for ADUs to be built.

VOTE: PASSED 5:3, Councilors Yeh, Syrett and Pryor opposed.

Principal Planner Alissa Hunter Hansen gave an overview of the history of council’s discussion of the topic and listed which regulations were included in the previous public hearing. Deputy City Attorney Emily Jerome reviewed the cases appealed to the Land Use Board of Appeals over the summer and explained that the parking regulations had been eliminated from the draft ordinance to comply with State law in time for the deadline at the end of the calendar year.

- Councilor Clark – asked whether council could prohibit ADUs from becoming short-term rentals and any other standards council could include to protect the stability and integrity of neighborhoods; shared plan to introduce a motion to explore the creation of a 10-year property tax exemption on any additional structural value for anyone who builds an ADU

MOTION: Councilor Clark, seconded by Councilor Syrett, moved to direct the City Manager to return to council with language that would allow a 10-year property tax exemption for any additional property value from building an ADU.

- Councilor Clark – said he thought a property tax exemption would make ADUs more affordable to build.
- Councilor Syrett –seconded the motion because she would like to make ADUs more financially accessible to residents; would be interested in strategies.
- Councilor Yeh – wanted to know what other options might accomplish the same outcomes, such as waiving system development charges; proposed friendly amendment— accepted by Councilor Clark and Councilor Syrett—that would include additional strategies to ease the development costs of ADUs.
- Councilor Semple – said she was not supportive of a tax exemption but would be supportive of a reduction in system development charges.
- Councilor Pryor – supported the idea of a property tax exemption, but not of reduced SDCs because people building new properties should be required to fund the community benefits.
- Councilor Evans – echoed Councilor Pryor’s sentiments, noting a gap between the current SDCs and park needs; was supportive of a tax exemption.
- Councilor Clark – disagreed that there was need for more SDCs to fund parks.

- Councilor Zelenka – asked for clarification of the motion
- Councilor Clark – said he wanted to incentivize the building of ADUs; would prefer action on the ordinance to happen at the same time council acts to incentivize ADUs.

RESTATED MOTION (*with friendly amendment*): Move to direct the City Manager to come back with a memo addressing a 10-year property tax exemption for any additional property value attained from an ADU, an SDC discount or credit for ADUs, and other financial incentives identified by staff.

- Councilor Taylor – requested clarification on whether the motion was to be voted upon that evening.
- Councilor Zelenka - requested clarification on whether the City already had SDC credits for ADUs; sought confirmation that a tax exemption would only apply to the city of Eugene portion of the property taxes and which SDCs could be reduced.
- Mayor Vinis – questioned whether there was time to prepare the research memo and also take action on the ADU regulations prior to the State’s deadline.
- Councilor Syrett – said she did not think the concurrent work on the ADU incentives and regulations was necessary and preferred the two conversations to happen sequentially.
- Councilor Semple – said she was not supportive of a property tax exemption, but was willing to vote for the motion in order to move the entire topic of ADU incentives forward for staff to work on.

VOTE: PASSED 6:2, Councilors Taylor and Zelenka opposed.

MOTION: Councilor Yeh, seconded by Councilor Syrett, moved to direct the City Manager to revise the draft ordinance to eliminate the ADU standards for the AG, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4 and S-E zones that apply only to flag lots, making ADUs on flag lots in those zones subject to the same flag lot standards that apply on regular (non-flag lot) lots in the same zone.

- Councilor Yeh – said she found special regulations for flag lots to be unreasonable.
- Councilor Clark – noted the motion, if passed, would reverse the R-1 code amendments from 2014; planned to vote against the motion because he thought it would make the conversation about ADUs more complex, thereby further delaying the building of them.
- Councilor Pryor – recalled that the 2014 code amendments had significant impact in the number of ADUs built; planned to vote for the motion because it would make ADUs more viable.
- Councilor Syrett – said she voted against the code changes in 2014 because they were deliberately designed to restrict ADU development; thought loosening regulations would help to support families and homeowners to build more ADUs, though she did not expect it to be a high number.
- Councilor Taylor – said she thought council should take care not to reverse previous decisions.

- Councilor Zelenka – asked staff which specific standards for flag lots the motion would impact; asked what council’s ability was to restrict lot size standards under HB2001.
- Councilor Semple – asked how many flag lots would be impacted by the motion; asked how density would be calculated with ADUs; thought that flag lots should be treated differently.
- Councilor Clark – wanted to protect the property values and land rights of R-1 homeowners with the 2014 code amendments; noted there seemed to be differences of opinion on what livability means to different people.

MOTION and VOTE: Councilor Evans, seconded by Councilor Clark, moved to table the motion on flag lots. **PASSED 5:3**, Councilors Yeh, Syrett and Pryor opposed.

- Councilor Syrett – noted there was a previous successful effort in 2014 to limit how many people could live in a neighborhood; thought reducing regulations and making ADUs more affordable could increase the numbers of them, but still thought the numbers would be few.
- Councilor Taylor – said people chose their neighborhoods because they were less dense.
- Councilor Clark – clarified that his concerns were about what percentage of the neighborhood is homeowners as opposed to renters; said more renters could result in a different neighborhood character.
- Councilor Yeh – thought the land use code was not the place to address undesirable behaviors and that the City should not presume that renters were bad neighbors; thought council had talked about the topic for a long time and, while they had not reached consensus, they needed to make a decision.
- Councilor Pryor – questioned whether there was a mutually exclusive choice between density and livability; felt the community needed to have a conversation about what livability means in the 21st century compared to what it meant in the 20th century; said council needed to confront the rate of growth it now faces.
- Councilor Zelenka – noted that the impact of the ordinance may vary by neighborhood; asked staff whether there was a way to have different rules for different parts of the city.

The meeting adjourned at 7:01 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Forrest
City Recorder

(Recorded by Cas Casados)

[Link to the webcast of this City Council meeting.](#)

MINUTES

**Eugene City Council and Eugene Urban Renewal Agency
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401**

**September 23, 2019
5:30 p.m.**

Councilors Present: Betty Taylor, Emily Semple, Alan Zelenka, Jennifer Yeh, Mike Clark, Greg Evans, Claire Syrett, Chris Pryor

Mayor Vinis opened the September 23, 2019, work session of the Eugene City Council.

1. Committee Reports and Items of Interest

Council Discussion:

- Mayor Vinis – said she was glad to be back and grateful to Councilor Taylor who presided while she was gone.
- Councilor Semple – talked about the McKenzie Watershed Council Salmon Celebration; said the Human Rights Commission approved a request for closed captioning at the TransPonder gala fundraiser; said that the Human Rights Commission went over its work plan and committees; read an apology for comments made at the Human Rights Commission; said the Sustainability Commission learned about the Carbon Free Challenge and encouraged people to look at their carbon footprint; mentioned a resolution in support of the youth-led climate strike; said that the Sustainability Commission also went over its work plan and committees.
- Councilor Taylor – talked about people continuing to be concerned about 5G, noting that the one area the City might have some control over is aesthetics; asked for information about whether City Council could undo aesthetic decisions that have already been made around cell tower infrastructure to be included at the 5G work session.
- Councilor Syrett – talked about serving on the Lane Area Commission on Transportation and shared that the Oregon Department of Transportation has a new incoming director; said this was an opportunity for future vision of Oregon’s transportation system, including innovation and climate recovery goals.
- Councilor Clark – said that at the Lane Workforce Partnership Board meeting they talked about how the current low unemployment rate affects their budget stream; said the Workforce Partnership is working more closely with the Bureau of Labor and Industries to align some of their programs and he appreciates this good work.
- Councilor Yeh – talked about a Police Commission goal to meet outside of police headquarters because not everyone is comfortable there; shared that upcoming meetings will be at Petersen Barn, Sheldon and Hilyard Community Centers, and the Atrium and they will be asking for community feedback about locations.
- Mayor Vinis – said Sunday Streets was fun and thanked the staff and volunteers who pulled it all together and did a great job planning.

Mayor Vinis adjourned the meeting of the Eugene City Council and convened a meeting of the Eugene Urban Renewal Agency.

2. WORK SESSION AND ACTION: Downtown Riverfront Development—Williams & Dame Associates' Concept Plan

Community Development Director Mike Kinnison, Urban Development Manager Will Dowdy, and Principal Landscape Architect Emily Proudfoot gave an update on the Downtown Riverfront Development and a proposed revision to the Williams & Dame Concept Plan.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Semple – said she's glad to see construction moving along; asked staff to point out where the plaza part of the park will be; said she likes the change in the grade of the riverfront; said she doesn't like the new proposed plan as much as the old plan, but is comfortable with it; shared concerns that the housing will look like dorms and that the Knight Campus employees will take over that housing; shared her desire for the restaurants and retail to be affordable to everyone so that more people feel welcome; said she likes the structured parking; asked how the changes to the housing plans and additional units would affect MUPTE and asked to be kept apprised of how that's going.
- Councilor Syrett – said she was fine with the new concept plan; talked about how higher buildings will create a more urban environment and there will be people in the community who will think that's great and those that don't; said it seems like a better overall use of limited space and likes that there will be more housing units; said she's pleased that the new concept plan creates additional parking spaces and the idea of shared parking represents an opportunity to be innovative in that area; said she looks forward to hearing more about how the City is going to help make shared parking solutions happen when development gets to that point.
- Councilor Pryor – said that he appreciates the proposal and it's a trade that in some respects turns out positively; said he also likes that parking has been acknowledged and accommodated; talked about balancing density with space and aesthetics; talked about creating destinations for everybody at the riverfront so that the area doesn't become exclusively posh, including the plaza and steam plant; said he's happy to support the new plan, but wants to keep these things in mind.
- Councilor Zelenka – said the parking makes a lot more sense in the new concept plan; said the plan is denser and a better use of the land; asked if the number of affordable housing units was changing and if the park footprint was changed.
- Mayor Vinis – said she likes that the affordable housing has moved up and closer to services; asked about the streets that cut through from the railway to the river and the plan to make them shared use streets.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to authorize the Agency Director to amend the Disposition and Development Agreement consistent with the proposed changes in Attachment A. **PASSED 8:0.**

Mayor Vinis adjourned the meeting of the Eugene Urban Renewal Agency and convened a meeting of the Eugene City Council.

3. WORK SESSION: Short-Term Rentals

Building Permit Services Director Lydia Kaye gave a presentation about current regulations related to short-term rentals in Eugene, their benefits and challenges, what other cities have done, and options for local regulations.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Taylor – said she appreciates this topic being discussed now before it becomes a problem like in other communities; said the two biggest problems are the damage to livability

of the neighborhood and the destruction of housing availability; said that having a lot of people coming and going changes the character of the neighborhood and affects parking; said she knows there's two sides and has heard from people who say short-term rentals are ruining neighborhoods and others say that they need to rent short-term in order to pay their mortgage.

- Councilor Zelenka – said he brought up this issue a few years ago but council wasn't interested in discussing at that point; talked about how many of the rentals are around the university; said he's concerned about the impact on the neighborhoods and livability; said he has a problem with people buying homes to exclusively rent them out short-term all year long; shared concerns that they are turning neighborhoods into a business area; said he doesn't have a problem with people occasionally renting their homes short-term, but not all year long; asked how many days per year other jurisdictions have limited short-term rentals to; asked about owner-occupancy requirements without a conditional use permit; asked about the Transient Room Tax and what percentage of short-term rentals are reporting and paying that fee right now.
- Councilor Pryor – said that this information has been helpful and he'd like to be deliberate on implementing regulations; asked about the challenges of imposing inspection requirements; said he wouldn't want to require inspections for this kind of housing if the City doesn't require it for other kinds of housing because it seems like overreaching; said he would discourage including number six on the motion related to inspections and instead require a guest registry
- Councilor Clark – said that guests staying at a short-term rental will report on the condition of a place and people wouldn't stay somewhere with bad reviews; asked how many of the short-term rentals are owned by Expedia, Priceline, or Trip Advisor in Eugene; said it was interesting that the people dealing with where people travel are also owning companies that deal with how houses are bought and sold; shared concerns about the ability to have large companies comply with local regulations; commented that if more ADUs start to be built, the ADU rental income cannot be used towards income to qualify for buying the house but you can use both incomes from the house and ADU if you're buying it for an investment property; said he thinks that will happen to houses around the university.
- Councilor Syrett – said her main interest is to mitigate the negative impacts of short-term rentals on neighborhoods and without regulations, including licensing, there's no effective way to do that; talked about the logic of applying similar standards as hotels and motels and asked if the City requires them to provide guest registries and parking requirements, noting that not all requirements would be the same; said she's not interested in inspections because of the workload and expense; said she supports parking requirements, limiting the number of people, and limiting the number of days the unit can be rented; said she thinks people would complain if standards weren't being met; said she wanted to be deliberate about implementing regulations and is supportive of adopting some.
- Mayor Vinis – asked staff to explain the suggestions made and why certain requirements were not included; commented that there's some sense of urgency about short-term rentals in the community and it might make sense for council to adopt some regulations that are able to be quickly and effectively rolled out, understanding that this is an iterative process and regulations can be added or amended later.
- Councilor Semple – asked about the basis for license ramifications related to complaints; asked about the expense of inspections and complaint response; shared concerns that if the number of days a short-term rental could be rented each year, it may leave some houses empty for the rest of the year.
- Councilor Zelenka – asked how HB 2001's ADU owner-occupancy and parking regulations related to short-term rental regulations; talked about inspections when new houses are built, noting that new short-term rentals could be inspected and the fees would get paid for by the owner; asked a process question about the way the motion is structured, skipping straight to a public hearing before City Council reviews a proposed ordinance; said he had a problem with

requiring online platforms to assist in regulations when many of the current short-term rentals aren't being captured by the online platforms, so it would have limited value.

- Councilor Clark –asked how staff would go about the process of finding out how many short-terms rentals are owned by Expedia, Priceline, or Trip Advisor in Eugene; asked how any regulations would be enforced with these large companies.
- Councilor Taylor – thanked staff for all of the great information provided in the agenda packet.

MOTION: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Zelenka, moved to direct the City Manager to schedule a public hearing on an ordinance that amends Chapter 3 to add a short term rental licensure program and that includes as part of the licensure program at least the following items: 1) yearly license fee; 2) owner-occupancy requirement; 3) limit on the number of days a unit can be rented; 4) basis for license revocation, including number of complaints per calendar year; 5) minimum separation between short-term rentals; 6) inspection requirements; 7) requirements for on-line platforms to assist in regulations; and, 8) parking requirements.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Zelenka –said that the motion is not uncomplicated and there's a lot packed in to it; the way it's written leaves a lot of decisions up to staff that City Council would be voting on; suggested a friendly amendment to replace "direct the City Manager to schedule a public hearing on" with "direct the City Manager to draft and bring back to council for deliberation".
- Councilor Taylor –said she didn't object to the friendly amendment, but that there's also some urgency to do something; suggested creating a deadline; accept a friendly amendment to replace "direct the City Manager to schedule a public hearing on" with "direct the City Manager to draft and bring back to council for deliberation before the end of the year".
- Councilor Clark – said he didn't think that items 5 or 6 needed to be included in the motion, that they are superfluous and won't affectively alter what we come up with.

MOTION TO AMEND AND VOTE: Councilor Clark, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to remove 5) and 6) to keep on a better track. **PASSED 5:3**, Councilors Taylor, Zelenka, and Evans opposed.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Pryor – said he was going to make the same motion as Councilor Zelenka and is supportive of bringing back a draft.
- Councilor Syrett – said there is urgency around this topic in the community, but they need to make sure there is time to hear both from people who offer short-term rentals and those who have experienced the negative effects; said she's glad this won't go straight to a public hearing because she'd like to strike a balance between the competing interests; commented that they're not looking at creating a land use permit process for a home that could be used as a short-term rental, but there may be space to consider that down the road.
- Councilor Evans – asked if a licensure fee could be added to support the administration of the program.
- Councilor Clark – talked about iBuyer programs coming out that will alter the housing market; said that large companies will be renting homes short-term between when they are purchased and sold; said he'd like staff to look into the idea of how this takes into account not just the platforms that are intentionally renting as their ongoing business model, but the ones that are buying and selling homes intentionally without realtors in the process that will have the motivation to rent them on a short-term basis; asked how the City would know if they were

owner-occupied or if they were being rented for short periods of time between purchase and sale.

VOTE ON AMENDED MOTION: PASSED 8:0.

The meeting adjourned at 6:59 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Forrest
City Recorder

(Recorded by Elena Domingo)

Link to the webcast of this City Council meeting: [here](#).

MINUTES

**Eugene City Council
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401**

**September 23, 2019
7:30 p.m.**

Councilors Present: Emily Semple, Betty Taylor, Alan Zelenka, Jennifer Yeh, Mike Clark, Greg Evans, Claire Syrett, Chris Pryor

Mayor Vinis opened the September 23, 2019, meeting of the Eugene City Council.

1. PUBLIC FORUM

1. Arlo Rallen and Laura Allen – asked council to pass a resolution in support of a climate strike.
2. Ralph McDonald - asked council to pass a resolution in support of the weeklong climate strike.
3. Jane Kwiatkowski – proposed ideas related to the Climate Recovery Ordinance goals.
4. Todd Boyle – asked council to create housing that would be set aside for low-income individuals.
5. Otis Root – said he was offended by Councilor Semple’s remarks at an HRC meeting.
6. Fredric Pitts – said he’d like to see ADU regulations relaxed and more multi-family dwellings.
7. Lauren Bailey – expressed concerns about small cell tower placements.
8. Victor Odlivak – spoke against the installation of 5G in the community.
9. Sandy Sanders – spoke against 5G technology.
10. Raging Grannies – sang about the current climate emergency and plan for carbon reductions.
11. Nick Dikas and group – asked council to address the climate emergency.
12. Mary McLellan - gave her time to the others speaking about addressing climate emergency.
13. Amanda Dellinger – spoke in support of making ADUs easier to build in Eugene.
14. Rowan - spoke against the installation of 5G in the community.
15. Jan Spencer – invited everyone to a series of site tours showcasing planning and climate goals.
16. Tiffany Edwards – spoke about the need for ADUs and the many city goals they address.
17. Susan Bliven – spoke about the need for ADUs in the community.
18. Seth Sadofsky - encouraged council to be a leader in fighting climate change.
19. David Igl – asked council to distance themselves from the renaming of Dunn Hall.
20. Arwen Maas-DeSpain – spoke about homelessness as a systems and humanity issue.
21. Terry Waldron – spoke about her fear for the planet’s future and the effects of climate change.
22. William O’Brien – said our planet is dying, causing war, famine, and homelessness.
23. Geronimo Garcia – spoke about Annette Montero’s death and homelessness in the community.
24. Steve Dear – spoke on behalf of the Red Rebel Brigade about the current climate catastrophe.
25. Martha Bryson – talked about public health hazard created by not having enough public toilets.
26. Beverly McDonald – asked council to adopt the climate strike resolution.
27. Lou Ann Elms – spoke about the local access roads near the Hatton annexation.
28. John del Nero – spoke about the local access roads near the Hatton annexation.
29. Christine Rush – asked for Anderson Street to be included in the Hatton Avenue annexation.
30. Artie Leider – expressed frustration with sidewalk camping and wanted to find solutions.
31. Elijah Davis – said that mental health issues are the responsibility of the community.
32. Charlcie Kaylor – spoke in favor of ADU regulations as required by the state legislature.
33. Eliza Kashinsky – shared disappointment with the recent ADU conversation.
34. Kris McAlister – shared concerns about the homeless and solutions not coming fast enough.
35. Kaarin Knudson – encouraged council to comply with state law regarding ADUs.
36. Diana Bilovsky – shared suggestions related to short-term rental regulations.
37. Brennen Matherly – spoke in support of banning Styrofoam and switching to reusables.

38. Cynthia Matherly – spoke in support of banning styrofoam and the climate crisis.
39. Bill Randall – spoke in favor of ADU regulations as required by the state legislature.
40. Pamela Krause – spoke about the need for homeless and respite services in the community.
41. Wayne Martin – asked council to hire individuals with professional homelessness experience.
42. Carmel Snyder – spoke about the benefits of creating ADUs in the community.
43. Majeska Seese-Green – spoke about the need for housing, ADUs, and addressing homelessness.
44. Dharmika Henshel – spoke about the current climate crisis.
45. Lex Warden – encouraged Eugene to be a beacon of hope for the climate’s future.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Taylor – said overpopulation is a part of all the world’s problems; said people speaking about climate are talking about the survival of humanity and its very important; noted that ADUs are currently permitted in Eugene.
- Councilor Syrett – asked to pull the Hatton Annexation from the Consent Calendar because she wanted to address some of the public testimony about it; responded to comments about including unhoused people in conversations that the City is having around homelessness; said City staff has started engaging in this dialogue and is having individuals participate in a formal way; talked about the City declaring homelessness an emergency in 2015.
- Councilor Semple – apologized for her remarks at the Human Rights Commission meeting; thanked the Sustainability Commission for their resolution supporting the youth led climate strike; said she is hoping to get a finalized resolution for council to vote on at the October 14 meeting; mentioned the public hearings on November 18 on polystyrene and plastic bags.
- Councilor Zelenka – mentioned that City Council did declare a housing emergency a few years ago, asking the governor to declare a housing emergency; said he was at the climate strike on Friday and it was heartening to see all the students marching at such a well-attended event.
- Councilor Clark – expressed concern that if current regulations around ADUs are lifted and the City complies with state law, there will not be more ADUs being built because the conversation is more about affordability than changes to the law.
- Mayor Vinis – thanked people for coming to speak; acknowledged that there is an outpouring of recurring issues because those issues have not been resolved, noting that although it may seem like decisions can never be made fast enough around homelessness, it is a priority; said there would be a lot of action around climate decisions in the next few months; said she expects the City to move forward with complying with state law around ADUs.

2. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Approval of Tentative Working Agenda

B. Adoption of a Resolution Annexing Rights-of-Way to the City of Eugene (Sections of: Harvey Avenue; Maynard Avenue from River Road West: Hatton Avenue West to Parnell Drive: Manzana Street from Howard South: and Evergreen Drive from Howard Avenue South to Rosetta Avenue.) (Hatton Annexation; A 19-4)

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to approve the items on the Consent Calendar except for Item B. **PASSED 8:0.**

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Syrett – addressed the additional public comments made about the proposed annexation; asked if the annexation could be passed tonight and then the City could address incorporating the additional properties that would also like to be annexed at a later date; said she wanted to make sure staff were engaged with the individuals and moving the conversation forward; apologized if she did not respond to these individuals who may have emailed about these issues.

- Councilor Clark – asked if he was correct in assuming that Public Works would have to create an LID and charge people commensurately to annex Anderson Lane and bring it up to City standards; said this is related to a previous work session that he'd brought up about how the City's actions will create impacts if they permit homes to be built where roads are less than standard and there's no plan to bring them up to City standards, noting that when those homes are annexed it creates an even larger burden on those houses and the community.

MOTION AND VOTE: Councilor Taylor, seconded by Councilor Semple, moved to approve Item B on the Consent Calendar. **PASSED 8:0.**

The meeting adjourned at 9:23p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Forrest
City Recorder

(Recorded by Elena Domingo)

Link to the webcast of this City Council meeting: [here](#).

ATTACHMENT D

MINUTES

**Eugene City Council
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401**

**September 25, 2019
12:00 p.m.**

Councilors Present: Betty Taylor, Emily Semple, Alan Zelenka, Jennifer Yeh, Mike Clark, Claire Syrett, Chris Pryor

Councilors Absent: Greg Evans

Mayor Vinis opened the September 25, 2019, work session of the Eugene City Council.

1. **EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e)
The City Council met in Executive Session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e) to deliberate with persons designated to negotiate real property transactions.
2. **EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e)
The City Council met in Executive Session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e) to deliberate with persons designated to negotiate real property transactions.

The meeting adjourned at 1:42 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Forrest
City Recorder

(Recorded by Elena Domingo)

Link to the webcast of this City Council meeting: [here](#).

MINUTES

**Eugene City Council
Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401**

**October 9, 2019
12:00 p.m.**

Councilors Present: Emily Semple, Betty Taylor, Alan Zelenka (*via phone*), Jennifer Yeh, Mike Clark, Claire Syrett, Chris Pryor, Greg Evans

Mayor Vinis opened the October 9, 2019, work session of the Eugene City Council.

1. WORK SESSION: Parks and Recreation System Development Charge Methodology Update

Public Works Operations Manager Carolyn Burke, and Deborah Galardi of the Galardi-Rothstein Group presented to council about the Parks and Recreation System Development Charge Methodology. This work session was an opportunity for City Council to further discuss the draft methodology.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Clark – Discussed appreciation for goals surrounding park system despite conflicting community needs; discussed challenges of park SDCs, other permits and fees and the challenges of building housing with these fees in place; would like to see a comparison of surrounding cities’ permits and fees for building.
- Councilor Syrett - Asked staff to discuss how reimbursement fees work.
- Councilor Pryor – Discussed “three legs of the stool”: the need for park SDCs, capacity of community to finance the need, and the timeline to accomplish the need; expressed appreciation for the work staff has done and the need for council to use that information; expressed desire to address this SDC differently than transportation.
- Mayor Vinis – Asked how staff imagines this methodology phasing in over time; requested a list of SDC, permit, and other charges the city is already using for development, in addition to what is being considered now and to come; expressed desire for more information to see the bigger picture of these SDCs fit in with other fees.
- Councilor Clark – Discussed nuances behind conversation; asked what total fees collected would be if council left current SDCs alone; discussed difficulty of building affordable housing while increasing fees for building in Eugene.
- Councilor Syrett – Expressed desire for more information from staff, public hearing, and comments from the public before coming to a conclusion; discussed previous question to staff about when the most recent SDC rate increases took place and what they were; appreciated nuance of conversation and anticipation of further conversation.

2. WORK SESSION: Small Cell Technology in the Right-of-Way

Public Works Director Sarah Medary and Public Works Maintenance Director Scott Milovich presented to council an overview of the existing small cell technology installation program to regulate and permit small cell installations in City right-of-way as well as a description of current and pending installations.

Council Discussion:

- Councilor Taylor – Inquired about the two locations permits were denied; discussed neighborhood placement of poles and asked why the City did not consider aesthetic limitations before permitting new towers; discussed council’s role in discussing aesthetics as well as the public’s input; inquired why property owners are not notified of placements in neighborhoods citing an example in a local neighborhood; inquired if council can ascertain the preferences of property owners; discussed if notices should be able to affect the outcome of a placement of a tower; discussed regulation of aesthetics particular to neighborhoods; supported further discussion of what power council has to regulate aesthetics of towers.
- Councilor Clark – Discussed intention for work session and potential outcomes, i.e., “what can we do”, “what can’t we do”, and “what should we do” when it comes to regulating placements; expressed desire for better information from staff about whether council should be regulating placements, and what the opportunity costs are for regulating or not regulating; discussed with the City Attorney the challenges of regulating towers based on health standards which the City does not have the authority to regulate.
- Councilor Evans – Inquired about EWEB’s standard for permitting as compared with the City of Eugene standards; discussed EWEB’s process and the City’s need for alignment; asked staff how the public can determine if a pole belongs to EWEB or the City; inquired if EWEB poles are those in front of homes and schools; inquired whether EWEB is required to comply if the council puts out a new aesthetic requirement; asked staff if, based on placement standards, the City can deny or reroute a pole in other locations that do not impact residents as directly; inquired about the impact of an ordinance that would regulate placement of towers to industrial and commercial areas as opposed to residential areas; asked what would happen if council drafted an ordinance prohibiting placements of towers in residential areas.
- Councilor Pryor – Expressed confusion about regulation due to aesthetic standards versus banning technology; inquired if staff has assembled any authoritative information to determine if technology needs to be banned; discussed desire to talk about the technology before making decisions; discussed aesthetics of different utility pole installations; discussed desire to have further conversation deliberately; discussed nuances of conversation surrounding banning installations based on aesthetics.
- Councilor Zelenka – Inquired how many poles are owned by the City versus EWEB; discussed City’s current role in challenging FCC regulations and state legislation regarding health effects of 5G technology; inquired if staff has any sense of when state research would surface for the City to use; inquired of staff what “aesthetic” means related to the City’s limitation of regulation to aesthetics and how far the City

could take that as a means for limiting installation; inquired if limitation of towers to industrial and commercial areas would limit ability of service in residential areas; inquired what the “three prong test” means.

- Councilor Yeh – Expressed appreciation for where the City is now in the process; clarified that it doesn’t matter who owns what poles, the outcomes are the same; clarified that there is no 5G in Eugene currently and there would be need for a new permit for new radio frequency; asked about the usage of 5G products in residential areas; discussed implications of banning technology in residential areas; expressed appreciation for Councilor Zelenka’s inquiry about Oregon HB 3375 and difficulty in doing research on one’s own; discussed fears surrounding new technology and appreciation for staff’s perspective.
- Councilor Syrett – Expressed appreciation for Councilor Yeh’s clarifications and comments; inquired about operational poles and use of current 4G technology; echoed Councilor Yeh’s comments about staff’s measured response and City Attorney’s administrative approach to regulation to be more nimble in the City’s response to FCC regulation and citing; reiterated conversation about fears surrounding new technology; asked staff to resend information sent to council regarding a list summarizing what other communities have done.
- Councilor Semple – Clarified permitting for turning on 5G technology on new and existing poles; inquired what authority the council or the City has to regulate emissions; asked who has authority over determining aesthetic standards; discussed public frustration and fears of public; asked if council will have research from HB 3375 before technology is upgraded.

MOTION AND VOTE

Councilor Clark, seconded by Councilor Evans, moved to extend the meeting.
PASSED 8:0.

- Councilor Clark – Clarified that most installations are on EWEB poles; clarified permit requirements related to permission of placement on poles; clarified if pole owner has authority to deny permits and City’s role in facilitating placement.
- Councilor Taylor – Referenced and discussed Councilor Pryor’s comments regarding aesthetics of current transformers; discussed aesthetic standards and whether it’s possible to remove a device if it is aesthetically displeasing; inquired if council can initiate a requirement for separation and setbacks between devices and homes and if the regulation could be retroactive; discussed desire to know what council can do regarding permitting and installation; asked why exact like-standards are not applied to each installation.
- Councilor Zelenka - Discussed standards around aesthetics for various installations of technology in the city; asked staff to send information on aesthetics especially related to the three-pronged test; asked several questions of the City Attorney about the legal impacts of banning installations in residential areas for aesthetics reasons and potential outcomes and timing.
- Councilor Evans – Asked what is known about the status of the litigation against the FCC regarding usurping home rule, as well as the status of moving a bill addressing the topic through the U.S. Congress.

The meeting adjourned at 1:48 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Forrest
City Recorder

(Recorded by Samantha Roberts)

Link to the webcast of this City Council meeting: [here](#).

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Approval of Tentative Working Agenda

Meeting Date: October 28, 2019
Department: City Manager's Office
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 2B
Staff Contact: Beth Forrest
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5882

ISSUE STATEMENT

This is a routine item to approve the City Council Tentative Working Agenda.

BACKGROUND

On July 31, 2000, the City Council held a process session and discussed the Operating Agreements. Section 2, notes in part that "The City Manager shall recommend monthly to the council which items should be placed on the council agenda. This recommendation shall be placed on the consent calendar at the regular City Council meetings (regular meetings are those meetings held on the second and fourth Monday of each month in the Council Chamber). If the recommendation contained in the consent calendar is approved, the items shall be brought before the council on a future agenda. If there are concerns about an item, the item may be pulled from the consent calendar at the request of any councilor or the Mayor. A vote shall occur to determine if the item should be included as future council business." Scheduling of this item is in accordance with the Council Operating Agreements.

RELATED CITY POLICIES

There are no policy issues related to this item.

COUNCIL OPTIONS

The council may choose to approve, amend or not approve the tentative agenda.

CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

The City Manager has no recommendation on this item.

SUGGESTED MOTION

Move to approve the items on the Tentative Working Agenda.

ATTACHMENTS

A. Tentative Working Agenda

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Beth Forrest

Telephone: 541-682-5882

Staff E-Mail: bforrest@eugene-or.gov



City Council Tentative Agenda

Updated October 16, 2019

Meeting Location: Harris Hall, 125 East 8th Avenue, unless otherwise noted

October 2019						
Date	Day	Time	Title		Length	Dept. Contact
21-Oct	Monday	5:00 p.m.	MovingAhead Open House Harris Hall Lobby			
		7:30 pm	 MovingAhead w/LTD Board (Joint Public Hearing)		Chris Henry, PW	
Expected absences for 10/21: none						
23-Oct	Wednesday	12 pm	 Accessory Dwelling Units (Work Session)	90 mins	Alissa Hansen, PDD	
Expected absences for 10/23: none						
28-Oct	Monday	5:30 p.m.	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	45 mins	Michael Kinnison, PDD	
			 Riverfront Urban Renewal Follow-Up (Work Session)			
			 NW Natural Update and CAP 2.0 Energy in Buildings Gap Strategies (Work Session)	45 mins	Ethan Nelson, CS	
		7:30 pm	 Public Forum		Mark Gissiner, PA	
 Appointment of Civilian Review Board Member to Police Commission (Consent Calendar)						
Expected absences for 10/28: none						
30-Oct	Wednesday	12 pm	 Downtown Fiber Update (Work Session)	30 mins	Anne Fifield, PDD	
			 Town Square Update (Work Session)	60 mins	Will Dowdy, PDD	
Expected absences for 10/30: none						



Work Session



Action



Public Hearing



Public Forum



Consent Calendar



Committee Reports/Items of Interest



Ceremonial Matters

October 23, 2019 Pledge of Allegiance

November 2019					
Date	Day	Time	Title	Length	Dept. Contact
12-Nov	Tuesday	5:30 pm	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	90 mins	Chelsea Clinton, CS
			 CAP 2.0 Gap Strategies (Work Session)		
		7:30 pm	 Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag  Public Forum  Town Square (Public Hearing)		Will Dowdy, PDD
Expected absences for 11/12: none			Meeting Location: Bascom/Tykeson Room, Library		
13-Nov	Wednesday	12 pm	 Riverfront Urban Renewal Follow-Up #2 (Work Session)	45 mins	Michael Kinnison, PDD
			 Process Session (Work Session)	45 mins	Beth Forrest, CS
Expected absences for 11/13: none					
18-Nov	Monday	5:30 pm	 Sustainability Commission Annual Report and Work Plan (Work Session)	45 mins	Mark Nystrom, CS
			 URA – Steam Plant Deal Points (Work Session)	45 mins	Amanda D’Souza, PDD
		7:30 pm	 CAP 2.0 & Gap Strategies (Public Hearing)  Ordinance on Polystyrene (Public Hearing)  Ordinance on Single-Use Checkout Bags (Public Hearing)		Chelsea Clinton, CS Anna Reid, PDD Anna Reid, PDD
Expected absences for 11/18: none					
20-Nov	Wednesday	12 pm	 Transit Tomorrow (Work Session)	45 mins	Rob Inerfeld, PW
			 Eugene/Springfield Consolidated Plan (Work Session)	45 mins	Stephanie Jennings, PDD
Expected absences for 11/20: Taylor, Evans, Semple					
25-Nov	Monday	5:30 p.m.	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	60 mins	Kristie Hammitt, CS
			 Community Safety Update (Work Session)		
			 2021 Update (Work Session)	30 mins	Stephanie Scafa, PW

25-Nov	Monday	7:30 pm	 Public Forum  Resolution Annexing Vacant Property West of 830 Willow Ave. (Consent Calendar)  Resolution Annexing Land Located on Spring Boulevard. (Consent Calendar)  Resolution Annexing Land Located at 625 Howard Avenue (Consent Calendar)  Ordinance on Polystyrene (Action)  Ordinance on Single-Use Checkout Bags (Action)		Althea Sullivan, PDD Rodney Bohner, PDD Rodney Bohner, PDD Anna Reid, PDD Anna Reid, PDD
<i>Expected absences for 11/25: none</i>					
27-Nov	Wednesday	12 pm	** MEETING CANCELLED **		
<i>Expected absences for 11/27: none</i>					

December 2019					
ate	Day	Time	Title	Length	Dept. Contact
9-Dec	Monday	5:30 pm	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest  Downtown Activity Zone (Work Session)  TBD (Work Session)	45 mins 45 mins	Michael Kinnison, PDD
9-Dec	Monday	7:30 p.m.	 Public Forum  Resolution Acknowledging Receipt of FY19 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (Consent Calendar)  URA – Resolution Acknowledging Receipt of FY19 URA Annual Financial Report (Action)  Supplemental Budget #1 (Public Hearing and Action)  URA Supplemental Budget #1 (Public Hearing and Action)		Finn Cronin, CS Finn Cronin, CS Vicki Silvers, CS Vicki Silvers, CS
<i>Expected absences for 12/09: none</i>					

11-Dec	Wednesday	12 pm	 CAP 2.0 Final Action (Action)	45 mins	Chelsea Clinton, CS
			 Short-Term Vacation Rentals (Work Session)	45 mins	Lydia Kaye, PDD
<i>Expected absences for 12/11: none</i>					

Council Break: December 12 – January 8

January 2020						
Date	Day	Time	Title		Length	Dept. Contact
8-Jan	Wednesday	5:30 pm	2020 State of the City			Elena Domingo, CS
Expected absences for 1/8: none					Hult Center – Soreng Theater	
13-Jan	Monday	5:30 pm	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	45 mins		
			 TBD (Work Session)			
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins		
		7:30 pm	 Election of Council Officers for 2020			
 Public Forum						
Expected absences for 1/13: none						
15-Jan	Wednesday	12 pm	 Parks and Rec SDCs (Work Session)	45 mins	Carolyn Burke, PW	
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins		
Expected absences for 1/15: none						
21-Jan	Tuesday	5:30 pm	 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins		
			 TBD (Work Session)			
		7:30 pm	 Ordinance on Parks and Rec SDCs (Public Hearing)			Carolyn Burke, PW
Expected absences for 1/21: none					Location: Harris Hall	
22-Jan	Wednesday	12 pm	 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins		
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins		
Expected absences for 1/22: Evans						

27-Jan	Monday	5:30 p.m.	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)		
		 TBD (Work Session)			
		7:30 pm	 Public Forum		
Expected absences for 1/27: none					
29-Jan	Wednesday	12 pm	 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
Expected absences for 1/29: none					

February 2020						
Date	Day	Time	Title		Length	Dept. Contact
10-Feb	Monday	5:30 pm	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest		45 mins	Carolyn Burke, PW
			 Parks and Rec SDCs Ordinance (Work Session and Poss. Action))			
		 TBD (Work Session)		45 mins		
		7:30 pm	 Public Forum			
Expected absences for 2/10: none						
12-Feb	Wednesday	12 pm	 Parks & Rec. Bond Levy Update (Work Session)		45 mins	Craig Carnagey, PW
			 TBD (Work Session)		45 mins	
Expected absences for 2/12: none						
18-Feb	Tuesday	5:30 pm	 TBD (Work Session)		45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)			
		7:30 pm	 TBD (Public Hearing)			
Expected absences for 2/18: none						
Location: Harris Hall						
19-Feb	Wednesday	12 pm	 TBD (Work Session)		45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)		45 mins	
Expected absences for 2/19: none						

24-Feb	Monday	5:30 p.m.	 Committee Reports and Items of Interest	45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)		
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
		7:30 pm	 Public Forum		
Expected absences for 2/14: none					
26-Feb	Wednesday	12 pm	 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
			 TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
Expected absences for 2/26: none					

March 2020						
Date	Day	Time	Title		Length	Dept. Contact
9-Mar	Monday	5:30 pm		Committee Reports and Items of Interest	45 mins	
				TBD (Work Session)		
				TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
		7:30 pm		Public Forum		
Expected absences for 3/9: none						
11-Mar	Wednesday	12 pm		TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
				TBD (Work Session)	45 mins	
			Expected absences for 3/11: none			

Council Break: March 12 – April 8

 Work Session	 Action	 Public Hearing	 Public Forum	 Consent Calendar
 Committee Reports/Items of Interest	 Ceremonial Matters	 Pledge of Allegiance		

Approved Work Session Polls to be Scheduled	Councilor	Date Approved
Update Sign Code for Digital Display Technology – <i>follow-up TBD</i>	Pryor	2/14/19
Short-term Vacation Rentals – <i>scheduled 12/11/19</i>	Taylor	5/23/19
5G/Small Cell – include experience of other cities – <i>follow-up TBD</i>	Clark	5/20/19
Follow-Up Work Sessions to be Scheduled		
TAC Implementation Commercial Setbacks		

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Appointment of Civilian Review Board Representative to Police Commission

Meeting Date: October 28, 2019
Department: Police Auditor
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 2C
Staff Contact: Mark Gissiner
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5005

ISSUE STATEMENT

This item is to propose the approval of Civilian Review Board member, Lindsey Foltz, to serve jointly on the Civilian Review Board and the Police Commission.

BACKGROUND

Council Ordinance Number 20374 (adopted on December 19, 2006), Section 2.246 (2), authorizes the Civilian Review Board to nominate one of its members to serve jointly on the Civilian Review Board and the Police Commission. This nomination is subject to the approval or rejection by the City Council. At the October 8, 2019, meeting of the Civilian Review Board, its members voted Lindsey Foltz to serve in this role.

The Civilian Review Board serves as an advisory body to the City Council and the Police Auditor. This is consistent with the principles of community policing and government accountability. The Civilian Review Board may develop policy recommendations and this appointment to the Police Commission will give the Civilian Review Board an opportunity to communicate policy recommendations for the Police Department. The Civilian Review Board voted to recommend Ms. Foltz to perform these functions.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL DIRECTION

None.

COUNCIL OPTIONS

The City Council may approve the appointment of Lindsey Foltz as the Civilian Review Board representative to the Police Commission or decline to approve this appointment.

AUDITOR'S RECOMMENDATION

The Auditor recommends the appointment of Lindsey Foltz to serve jointly on the Civilian Review Board and Police Commission.

SUGGESTED MOTION

Move to appoint Lindsey Foltz to serve jointly on the Civilian Review Board and Police Commission.

ATTACHMENTS

None.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Mark Gissiner

Telephone: 541-682-5005

Staff E-Mail: MGissiner@eugene-or.gov

RESOLUTION NO. ____

**A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE RIGHT TO SAFE AND
LEGAL ABORTION AND AFFIRMING THE CITY OF EUGENE'S
COMMITMENT TO ACT IN SUPPORT OF THAT RIGHT BY
AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO PARTICIPATE AS AN AMICUS IN
FORTHCOMING FEDERAL LAWSUITS CHALLENGING
ABORTION BANS AROUND THE COUNTRY.**

A. State governments across the nation are passing laws that expressly or effectively ban abortion in those states.

B. Oregon is the only state with no additional statutory restrictions on abortion.

C. Eugene voters have a history of supporting reproductive freedom and defeating anti-abortion ballot measures, including defeating, with 69 percent of the vote, Measure 106, which would have denied insurance coverage for abortion to the most vulnerable Oregonians including those who rely on the Oregon Health Plan, as well as our dedicated public employees.

D. The City of Eugene has also demonstrated its commitment to the health of Eugene residents by mandating that employers provide paid sick leave prior to it being mandated by the state.

E. Oregon House Bill 3391, The Reproductive Health Equity Act of 2017, codified the right to safe and legal abortion in the State of Oregon.

F. Every person who can become pregnant needs access to the full range of safe, affordable, and comprehensive reproductive healthcare services throughout their life, including screenings for cancer and sexually transmitted infections, contraceptive services, abortion care, prenatal care, labor and delivery services, and postpartum care.

G. Various states have, in recent months, proposed legislation that would prevent a person who can become pregnant from obtaining an abortion as early as six weeks after conception, when a person is often not yet aware of their pregnancy.

H. Some of this legislation also threatens healthcare providers with prison time of up to 99 years and fines for performing abortions in their capacity as medical professionals.

I. Such legislation will have profoundly negative impacts on the health of people who can become pregnant by effectively eliminating access to all safe and legal abortion services.

J. Since 1976, federal legislation known as the Hyde Amendment has prohibited the use of federal funds for abortion services and because of the systems of structural racism and socioeconomic inequality, people of color are disproportionately impacted by the lack of access to safe abortion; and therefore are more likely to experience unintended pregnancy than white people, reinforcing the disproportionate impact of restrictions on safe and legal abortion.

K. Rather than implementing regressive, medically unnecessary, and extreme policies banning or severely restricting access to abortion, policy makers should instead focus on the maintenance and expansion of services which aim to reduce unintended pregnancies, and

promote individual bodily autonomy and promote the success of all families by investing in comprehensive sexuality education, access to the full range of reproductive healthcare, paid family and medical leave, and high quality, affordable childcare.

NOW, THEREFORE,

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EUGENE, a
Municipal Corporation of the State of Oregon, as follows:**

Section 1. The City of Eugene unequivocally condemns any attempt to restrict, prohibit, or otherwise impede access to safe and legal abortion care. Access to safe and legal abortion care is vital to the health of people who can become pregnant and our broader community.

Section 2. The City of Eugene remains committed to eliminating stigma surrounding abortion so that every person in our city who makes the choice to access safe and legal abortion care will feel supported, welcome, and safe doing so. Likewise, the City of Eugene will continue to stand with healthcare providers who provide essential high-quality, affordable reproductive healthcare, and human sexuality education to thousands of Eugene residents, with or without insurance coverage and regardless of citizenship status.

Section 3. The Council directs the City Manager to have staff monitor suits that may arise from Ohio Senate Bill 23 and Alabama State's expansive legislation restricting abortion rights, as well as other restrictive abortion legislation. If a suit is filed, the City Manager shall have staff evaluate opportunities to participate as an amicus. The Council authorizes the City to participate as an amicus in litigation challenging the legality of these laws at the time and in the cases the City Manager believes to be most effective and strategically valuable. Additionally, the City Manager is encouraged to have staff reach out to and work with attorneys representing other state and local governments across the State of Oregon and the country to build a coalition of amici willing to participate in legal challenges to these and other laws limiting access to abortion services.

Section 4. The Council requests the Speaker of the Oregon State House of Representatives and the President of the Oregon State Senate to ensure that no Oregonians face additional barriers to accessing reproductive healthcare as a result of federal rulemaking.

Section 5. The Council requests that the Office of Government Relations deliver copies of this resolution, upon adoption, to the Governor of Oregon, the Speaker of the Oregon State House of Representatives, and the President of the Oregon State Senate, and to any other interested parties.

Section 6. This Resolution is effective immediately upon its passage by the City Council.

The foregoing Resolution adopted the ____ day of _____, 2019

City Recorder