



Eugene City Council

101 W 10th Ave., Suite 203

Eugene, OR 97401

541-682-5010 • 541-682-5414 Fax

www.eugene-or.gov

AMENDED AGENDA EUGENE CITY COUNCIL

July 26, 2021

5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION

Due to Governor Brown's Stay Home, Save Lives Executive Order to combat the spread of Covid-19, this meeting will be held remotely using virtual meeting technology.

Information about online or other options for access and participation will be available at <https://www.eugene-or.gov/3360/Webcasts-and-Meeting-Materials>

**Meeting of July 26, 2021;
Her Honor Mayor Lucy Vinis Presiding**

Councilors

Jennifer Yeh, President

Mike Clark

Randy Groves

Emily Semple

Claire Syrett, Vice President

Greg Evans

Matt Keating

Alan Zelenka

5:30 p.m. CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION

- 1. WORK SESSION: Ad Hoc Committee on Police Policy Update**
- 2. WORK SESSION: Response to Hate Crimes**

For the hearing impaired, an interpreter can be provided with 48 hours' notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hours' notice. To arrange for these services, contact the receptionist at 541-682-5010. City Council meetings are telecast live on Metro Television, Comcast channel 21, and rebroadcast later in the week.

El consejo de la Ciudad de Eugene agradece su interés en estos asuntos de la agenda. El lugar de la reunión tiene acceso para sillas de ruedas. Se puede proveer a un intérprete para las personas con discapacidad auditiva si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. También se puede proveer interpretación para español si avisa con 48 horas de anticipación. Para reservar estos servicios llame al 541-682-5010. Las reuniones del consejo de la ciudad se transmiten en vivo por Metro Television, Canal 21 de Comcast y son retransmitidas durante la semana.

For more information, contact the Council Coordinator at 541-682-5010
or visit us online at www.eugene-or.gov.

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Work Session: Ad Hoc Committee On Police Policy Update

Meeting Date: July 26, 2021
Department: Central Services
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 1
Staff Contact: Sarah Medary
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5010

ISSUE STATEMENT

The City Manager, Police Auditor and Municipal Judge have developed a set of criteria as well as an overall project management approach and timeline for next steps for the Ad Hoc Committee on Police Policy's report (AHCPP). This work session is an opportunity for City Council to give feedback on and approve the criteria and overall approach moving forward.

BACKGROUND

Eugene City Council created the Ad Hoc Committee on Police Policy (AHCPP) in July 2020 to review current police policies related to Campaign Zero's outlined policy solutions and the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing and prepare and submit a report to council recommending policy changes to current police policy. The City Council received that report on May 17, 2021 and directed the City Manager, Police Auditor and Municipal Judge (Policy Team) to work together and give a joint recommendation on a path forward. During that meeting, City Council expressed a strong desire to understand the criteria or buckets and path before proceeding with individual recommendations.

Matrix vs Buckets: The City Council discussed the idea of sorting into buckets and different ideas about what those buckets might be. For example, the idea of near term or long term, already completed or underway, easy to implement vs harder, etc. The policy team evaluated many bucket options and ultimately determined that developing a matrix with clear steps and considerations could better inform next steps. This was partly due to the value judgement that is involved with placing the recommendations in any bucket and the desire for the policy team to stay neutral on the recommendations and instead focus on providing a path.

The Criteria: The draft matrix that City Council will be asked to provide feedback on and approve includes categories for sorting based on who has decision making authority, what specifically needs to be changed, progress or implementation status and who would be the recommended review team. The policy team recommends the matrix be a flexible document that may need categories or information added as more in depth review begins on any one of the policy recommendations. The draft criteria is included below and can be modified and approved by City Council during the work session.

Decision Making Authority determines whether recommendations need approval by:

Executive – could include City Manager, Police Chief, Police Auditor, etc.

City Council

Lane County

State of Oregon

Federal Government

What Needs to Change includes:

City Code

Collective Bargaining Agreement

Training/Personnel

Eugene Police Policy Manual

City Budget

City Charter

Case Law

State Law

Federal Law

Status includes:

In Progress or Completed (prior to 7/26/21)

Unknown Path

Under Review

Approved and in Process

Complete

No Further Action

Path Forward: With the approval of the criteria, a sorting team will go through all of the recommendations and place them on the matrix. The sorting team will be comprised of the City Manager, City Attorney, Police Chief, a representative from the Employee Resource Center and the Police Auditor. This team would return after summer break with a complete matrix and allow City Council to give further direction on next steps. Should the City Council direct further analysis of specific recommendations, this team will make a recommendation about who should be on the review/analysis team.

The policy team advises that the next steps be a consistent set of questions and analysis for each recommendation and associated review and analysis teams. The policy team will continue working on those questions and the City Council will have a chance to review and approve after summer break after each recommendation has been sorted. The team also recommends establishing a City Council sounding board to work with the policy team through the fall to help guide the process.

Timeline and Communication: One of the benefits of the matrix is the ability to easily communicate the required steps and status of any of the recommendations. This will be available on the City's website where community members can quickly and easily see what's happening or

coming up. The policy team recommends a quarterly update with City Council and supports the AHCPP's recommendation for a one year check in with the organizations and individuals represented on the committee.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL DIRECTION

On July 20, 2020 the Council approved the following motion:

Move to create an ad hoc council committee to review current police policies related to Campaign Zero's outlined policy solutions and the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing and prepare and submit a report to council recommending policy changes to current police policy.

This work shall be completed by January 31, 2021, after which time the ad hoc committee will dissolve. The ad hoc committee shall be comprised of 30 members to include two representatives from the Civilian Review Board, Police Commission, Human Rights Commission, Black Unity, Centro Latino Americano, LULAC, NAACP, Blacks in Government, Transponder, the 15th Night Youth Advisory Committee, Asian/Pacific Islander Community Action Team, Eugene Islamic Center, and a community Indigenous Peoples group. In addition to the 30 committee members, councilors can join the committee as non-voting participants. City Council members will serve as participants for the purpose of listening, learning, and asking questions to better understand the community concerns and the recommendations that come from the committee.

Each group will select two representatives to serve on the ad hoc committee and must notify the Council of the selected representatives no later than September 1, 2020. The group's notification to Council of the group's two selected representatives serves as the appointment of those two people to the ad hoc committee until the committee dissolves. No proxies will be allowed for appointed members. Any seat on the ad hoc committee not filled by September 1, 2020, shall remain vacant for the duration of the committee and quorum shall be determined by number of filled committee seats. The Mayor will appoint four members of the ad hoc committee. [July 20, 2020 Council WS](#)

On September 14, 2020 City Council adopted a motion concerning compensation for ad hoc committee members. [September 14, 2020 Council WS](#)

On 9th November 2020, the Council adopted a motion to extend the AHCPP to March 31st 2021 and to allow compensation for subcommittee meeting hours. [November 9, 2020 Council WS](#)

At its work session on March 8, 2021, the Council adopted a motion to extend the AHCPP to April 30, 2020. [March 8, 2021 Council WS](#)

At its work session on May 17, 2021, the Council adopted a motion directing the City Manager, Police Auditor and Municipal Judge to recommend a path forward prior to their 2021 summer break. [May 17, 2021 Council WS](#)

COUNCIL OPTIONS

The City Council will receive an overview of the proposed criteria, matrix and overall project approach and have an opportunity to modify and approve the path forward.

COUNCIL MOTION

Move to approve the criteria, matrix, timeline and project approach as outlined in the agenda item summary for evaluating the work of the Ad Hoc Committee on Police Policy.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. AHCPP Draft Matrix

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Sarah Medary
Telephone: 541-682-5010
Staff E-Mail: smedary@eugene-or.gov

AHCPP RECOMMENDATION MATRIX - DRAFT 7/26/21

			Implements		Decision Making Authority					What Needs To Change								Current Status						Updates and Comments	Review Team
AHCPP Ref	Policy Area	Recommendation	CZ	21st	Executive	City Council	County	State	Federal	City Code	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Training/Personnel	EPD Policy Manual	City Charter	Case Law	State Law	Federal	In Progress	Unknown Implementation Path	Under Review	Approved and In Process	Complete	No Further Action		
UF-112	Use of Force	Overhaul EPD crowd control policy																							
UF-100	Use of Force	Revise “Duty to Intercede” policy																							
UF-101	Use of Force	Revise “De-escalation” policy																							
UF-102	Use of Force	Create an “Unreasonable force” policy																							
UF-103	Use of Force	Revise existing use of deadly force policy																							
UF-105	Use of Force	Track all threats of the use of force																							
UF-106	Use of Force	Institute regular training on updated use of force policies																							
UF-107	Use of Force	Give community members input when vetting new technologies																							
UF-108	Use of Force	Expand non-sworn response services																							
UF-109	Use of Force	Demilitarize EPD uniforms and equipment																							
UF-110	Use of Force	Limit the use of chemical weapons																							
AHCPP-111	Use of Force	Limit “Open Carry” firearms																							
HT-100	Hiring and Training	Evaluate EPD training programs from a diversity, equity and inclusion perspective																							
HT-101	Hiring and Training	Review EPD engagement with marginalized groups																							
HT-102	Hiring and Training	Review EPD hiring practices																							
HT-103	Hiring and Training	Review EPD policies and practices for fostering an inclusive workplace																							
HT-104	Hiring and Training	Improve bias assessment and anti-bias training																							
HT-105	Hiring and Training	Hire an equity-focused staff member into EPD																							
AHCPP-107	Hiring and Training	Review officer evaluation metrics																							
CO-95	Community Oversight	Extend the expiration period for complaints																							
CO-96	Community Oversight	Make Civilian Review Board findings of fact binding on the Chief of Police																							
CO-97	Community Oversight	Allow the CRB to consider the entire event when looking at police misconduct																							
CO-102	Community Oversight	Give the CRB power to obtain additional evidence																							
CO-101	Community Oversight	Limit officer testimony if body-worn camera footage is lost or destroyed																							
CO-89	Community Oversight	A minimum of a quorum of CRB members be from marginalized groups																							
CO-88	Community Oversight	No current or former EPD employee should be a member of the CRB																							
CO-87	Community Oversight	CRB members receive a stipend																							
CO-86	Community Oversight	Give council final say on police policy proposals																							
CO-93	Community Oversight	The city council should engage community in evaluating civilian oversight structures																							
CO-92	Community Oversight	Increase accountability during police stops																							
CO-91	Community Oversight	Give the CRB subpoena power																							
CO-90	Community Oversight	Drug test officers after an incident that results in serious injury or death																							
AHCPP-110	Community Oversight	Create a public database of police stops																							
AHCPP-109	Community Oversight	Give the CRB adjudication authority																							
AHCPP-108	Community Oversight	Create a disciplinary matrix																							
BWC-106	Body-Worn Camera	Prohibit muting/editing of body-worn camera footage																							
BWC-105	Body-Worn Camera	Create a disciplinary matrix for body-worn camera policy																							
BWC-104	Body-Worn Camera	Prevent officers from reviewing footage before completing incident reports/statements																							

BWC-103	Lobby the state legislature to make body																					
	Body-Worn C: and dash cam footage public record																					
BWC-102	Require justification in court for the denial																					
	of FOIA requests for body/dash cam																					
BWC-101	Body-Worn C: footage																					
	Body/dash cam footage should be stored																					
BWC-100	Body-Worn C: externally to EPD																					
	Body-Worn C: Reaffirm the right to film police																					
IIP-115	Independent I Give the CRB its own investigative team																					
IIP-114	Independent I Give the CRB investigative powers																					
IIP-107	No police union officials or committee																					
	Independent I members should serve on IDFIT teams																					
IIP-106	Civilian prosecutors should handle cases of																					
	Independent I potential criminal misconduct by police																					
IIP-105	Lobby for the removal of disciplinary																					
	Independent I matters from collective bargaining																					
IIP-104	Lobby for changes to state law to make the																					
	civilian complaints process more																					
IIP-103	Independent I transparent																					
	Lobby for changes to state law to allow																					
IIP-102	Independent I within 48 hours of a deadly force incident																					
	Incorporate procedural justice into the																					
	Independent I internal disciplinary process																					

EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Work Session: Response to Hate Crimes

Meeting Date: July 26, 2021
Department: Central Services
www.eugene-or.gov

Agenda Item Number: 2
Staff Contact: Fabio Andrade
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5277

ISSUE STATEMENT

This work session is in response to a Council-initiated poll to discuss the City of Eugene's response to hate crimes, potential options for increasing penalties for hate crimes, and/or other means of deterring these crimes in the community.

BACKGROUND

A hate crime, known as a bias crime under Oregon law, is any crime motivated by a person's real or perceived association with a protected class. In 2019, the FBI recorded the highest number of bias crimes over the past decade. According to the FBI annual report, the nature of bias crimes has also become more violent in the past three annual reports. In 2020, bias crimes connected to the COVID-19 pandemic and racial equity protests after the killing of George Floyd may lead to even higher numbers being reported once the 2020 annual national report is released.

At the local level, Eugene Police Department (EPD) recorded 54 hate crimes in 2020, a 12% increase over the 48 cases reported in 2019. Several community members have shared in public meetings and in communication with city officials a perception that more cases of bias crimes are happening and being underreported. Fear of no investigation or punishment for aggressors has been documented by the U.S. Department of Justice as a contributing factor to underreporting of bias crimes.

The Eugene City Council created a Human Rights Commission (HRC) in 1971. Later, through the HRC, a volunteer-run program to assist victims of hate crimes and discrimination was started. Since 2012, the Rights Assistance Program has been operated by staff and is now housed in the Human Rights and Neighborhood Involvement (HRNI) Office. HRNI collects data on criminal incidents reported to Eugene Police and non-criminal incidents and produces an annual report of hate and bias activity in Eugene. The data available from 2012 to 2020 indicates that bias activity is a constant issue affecting many Eugene residents, especially those in marginalized communities.

At the State of Oregon (ORS 166.155), a person commits a bias crime in the second degree if the person:

- (a) *Tampers or interferes with property, having no right to do so nor reasonable ground to believe that the person has such right, with the intent to cause substantial inconvenience to*

July 26, 2021 Work Session – Item 2

another person because of the person's perception of the other person's race, color, religion, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability or national origin;

(b) Intentionally subjects another person to offensive physical contact because of the person's perception of the other person's race, color, religion, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability or national origin; or

(c) Intentionally, because of the person's perception of race, color, religion, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability or national origin of another person or of a member of the other person's family, subjects the other person to alarm by threatening:

(A) To inflict serious physical injury upon or to commit a felony affecting the other person, or a member of the other person's family; or

(B) To cause substantial damage to the property of the other person or of a member of the other person's family.

At the City of Eugene, EC 4.731 defines Bias Crimes in the Second Degree when a person:

(a) Tampers or interferes with property, having no right to do so nor reasonable ground to believe that the person has such right, with the intent to cause substantial inconvenience to another because of the person's perception of the other person's unhoused status, race, color, religion, national origin, disability, gender identity or sexual orientation;

(b) Intentionally subjects another person to offensive physical contact because of the person's perception of the other person's unhoused status, race, color, religion, national origin, disability, gender identity or sexual orientation; or

(c) Intentionally, because of the person's perception of unhoused status, race, color, religion, national origin, disability, gender identity or sexual orientation of another person or of a member of the other person's family, subjects such other person to alarm by threatening:

1. To inflict serious physical injury upon or to commit a felony affecting the other person, or a member of the other person's family; or

2. To cause substantial damage to the property of the other person or of a member of the other person's family.

In addition to reporting bias crimes as defined in EC 4.731, the City of Eugene collects information, support victims, and publishes annual reports of non-criminal bias incidents motivated by a real or perceived association of a victim with the following classes:

- Association and political affiliation
- Color
- Disability
- Familial, marital, and partnership status
- Gender identity
- Housing status
- National origin
- Race/ethnicity
- Religion
- Sexual orientation
- Source of income

People who have been victimized because of their association, or perceived association, to any of the protected classes above may report cases online at www.eugene-or.gov/repothate, report in person at the HRNI office, or by phone at 541-682-5177. Criminal cases are reported to Eugene Police via 911 or the non-emergency hotline. HRNI provides information and referral to support services to victims who report their cases to Eugene Police or to HRNI.

Law enforcement

EPD takes an active role to protect all people in Eugene against intimidation and harassment based upon bias and prejudice; and works in tandem with HRNI.

Chief Skinner and dedicated investigators provide outreach for Black, Latino/Hispanic, Jewish, Muslim, and LGBTQ+ communities and work with affected victims in wake of bias incidents and hate crimes.

The EPD Investigations Division, including all of the Special Investigations Unit (SIU), the Captain and Lieutenant have made a priority of meeting with members of affected communities and groups, commissions, task forces, neighborhood groups and others to discuss bias investigations. Outreach to underrepresented groups may significantly increase in the future as the city exits COVID restrictions.

Bias crimes investigations by closed status and year:

Final Investigation Status by Detective / Investigator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	YTD 21	Total
Active / Pending	0	0	1	2	12	12	27
Cleared by Arrest	11	13	11	11	8	1	55
Exception Cleared - Prosecution Declined	0	1	0	1	3	0	5
Referred to Prosecutor	0	0	1	1	2	1	5
Suspended	30	42	19	19	19	4	133
Unfounded	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Agency Totals (Distinct Investigations)	41	57	32	35	44	18	227

- Between January 1st, 2016 and July 9th, 2021 there have been 303 Incident Reports (or simply, "Reports") with at least one charge flagged as a bias or hate crime
- Of those, 227 (~75%) have corresponding Investigation Case Files (or "Investigations")
- Of the 227 Investigations, five are currently dispositioned (closed) as, "Referred to Prosecutor", with another five closed as, "Exception Cleared – Prosecution Declined", at some point over the course of the investigation
- This equates to approximately 4% of Investigations being referred to prosecution over a five-year period

Recent changes in legislation

On June 11, 2021, Governor Brown signed SB 398, creating the Class A misdemeanor of "crime of intimidation by display of a noose." SB 398 will go into effect on January 1, 2022. The City Council can amend the City Code to add the crime of intimidation by display of a noose. Addition of the crime to the City Code will allow for the crime to be prosecuted by the City Prosecutor in the Eugene Municipal Court as an offense against the City. If the Council desires to do so, this AIS

July 26, 2021 Work Session – Item 2

includes a motion directing the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing on a code amendment mirroring SB 398.

On April 21, 2021, the City Council amended EC 4.731 to reflect the changes made by the state legislature in 2019 through SB 577; specifically, by changing the crime from “Intimidation in the Second Degree” to “Bias Crime in the Second Degree” and adding the categories of gender identity and disability to the list of characteristics that can give rise to a bias crime. Also on April 21, the City Council further expanded the list of categories beyond those set forth in state statute by adding unhoused status to the list.

On May 20, 2021, President Biden signed the COVID-19 Hate Crimes Act. This act will result in guidance to local law enforcement agencies regarding hate crimes reporting as described below.

SEC. 4. GUIDANCE.

(a) GUIDANCE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.—The Attorney General shall issue guidance for State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies, pursuant to this Act and other applicable law, on how to:

- (1) establish online reporting of hate crimes or incidents, and to have online reporting that is equally effective for people with disabilities as for people without disabilities available in multiple languages as determined by the Attorney General;*
- (2) collect data disaggregated by the protected characteristics described in section 249 of title 18, United States Code; and*
- (3) expand public education campaigns aimed at raising awareness of hate crimes and reaching victims, that are equally effective for people with disabilities as for people without disabilities.*

(b) GUIDANCE RELATING TO COVID-19 PANDEMIC.—The Attorney General and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in coordination with the COVID-19 Health Equity Task Force and community-based organizations, shall issue guidance aimed at raising awareness of hate crimes during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Potential changes in legislation

The City’s Bias Crime in the Second Degree, EC 4.731, has a maximum penalty of \$2,500 or 1 year in jail, or both. For the parallel state crime of bias in the second degree, state statute allows for a penalty of up to \$6,250 or 1 year in jail, or both. Based on these state law maximums, the City Council could increase the maximum penalty for violating EC 4.731 to \$6,250 or 1 year in jail, or both. If the Council desires to do so, this AIS includes a motion directing the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing increasing the maximum monetary penalty for violating EC 4.731 to \$6,250.

Council can also start a process of code amendment that adds the crime of intimidation by display of noose, mirroring Oregon SB 398.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL DIRECTION

The City Council has passed ordinances and resolutions that provide guidance to City staff and reinforce Council's commitment to protecting all residents against bias crimes and discrimination.

- [Human Rights Municipal Code provisions](#) EC 4.613 - 4.655 (adopted in 1971, with the most recent amendment adopted in 2014.)
- [Resolution 5073](#) (adopted 2012) in Support of a Statement of Principles for Immigrant Integration.
- [Resolution 5150](#) (adopted in 2016) Supporting the Oregon Convention on Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women.
- [Resolution 5154](#) (adopted in 2016) Declaring the City of Eugene's Commitment in Protecting Refugees.
- [Resolution 5174](#) (adopted in 2016) Declaring the City of Eugene's Commitment to Being a Welcoming, Inclusive and Safe Community for Everyone.
- [Resolution 5190](#) (adopted 2017) Declaring the City of Eugene's Opposition to Anti-Semitic Hate and Bias Crimes.
- [Resolution 5274](#) (adopted in 2019) Condemning white nationalism and alt-right activities and groups.
- [Resolution 5298](#) opposing all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID-19 and declaring May as Asian and Pacific Islander Heritage Month.

COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Direct the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing on a code amendment that adds the crime of intimidation by display of noose, mirroring Oregon SB 398.
2. Direct the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing increasing the maximum monetary penalty for violating EC 4.731 to \$6,250.
3. Take no action.

SUGGESTED MOTIONS

1. Move to direct the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing on a code amendment adding the crime of intimidation by display of noose.
2. Move to direct the City Manager to prepare an ordinance and schedule a public hearing increasing the maximum monetary penalty for violating EC 4.731 to \$6,250.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. SB 398

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Fabio Andrade
Telephone: 541-682-5277
Staff E-Mail: fandrade@eugene-or.gov

81st OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY--2021 Regular Session

Enrolled Senate Bill 398

Sponsored by Senators BURDICK, MANNING JR; Senators DEMBROW, FREDERICK, GELSER, JAMA, WAGNER, Representatives ALONSO LEON, BYNUM, CAMPOS, DEXTER, GRAYBER, KOTEK, MEEK, PHAM, REYNOLDS, RUIZ, SALINAS, SANCHEZ, WILDE (Presession filed.)

CHAPTER

AN ACT

Relating to intimidation by display of a noose; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 161.005.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. (1) A person commits the crime of intimidation by display of a noose if:

(a) The person, with the intent to intimidate another person or place another person in fear of imminent bodily harm, knowingly places a noose:

(A) On public property; or

(B) On private property without the written consent of the property owner;

(b) The other person is intimidated or placed in fear of imminent bodily harm by the display; and

(c) A reasonable person would be intimidated or placed in fear of imminent bodily harm by the display.

(2) Intimidation by display of a noose is a Class A misdemeanor.

(3) As used in this section:

(a) "Intimidate" means to threaten another person in a manner that compels or deters the other person's conduct.

(b) "Noose" means a tied loop in the end of a length of rope or cord.

SECTION 2. ORS 161.005 is amended to read:

161.005. ORS 161.005 to 161.055, 161.085 to 161.125, 161.150 to 161.175, 161.190 to 161.275, 161.290 to 161.373, 161.405 to 161.485, 161.505 to 161.585, 161.605, 161.615 to 161.685, 161.705 to 161.737, 162.005, 162.015 to 162.035, 162.055 to 162.115, 162.135 to 162.205, 162.225 to 162.375, 162.405 to 162.425, 162.465, 163.005, 163.095, 163.107, 163.115, 163.125 to 163.145, 163.149, 163.160 to 163.208, 163.196, 163.215 to 163.257, 163.261, 163.263, 163.264, 163.266, 163.275, 163.285, 163.305 to 163.467, 163.432, 163.433, 163.472, 163.505 to 163.575, 163.665 to 163.693, 163.700, 163.701, 163.715, 164.005, 164.015 to 164.135, 164.138, 164.140, 164.205 to 164.270, 164.305 to 164.377, 164.395 to 164.415, 164.805, 164.857, 164.886, 165.002 to 165.102, 165.109, 165.118, 165.805, 165.815, 166.005 to 166.095, 166.350, 166.382, 166.384, 166.660, 167.002 to 167.027, 167.057, 167.060 to 167.100, 167.117, 167.122 to 167.162, 167.203 to 167.252, 167.310 to 167.340, 167.350, 167.810 and 167.820 and section 1 of this 2021 Act shall be known and may be cited as Oregon Criminal Code of 1971.

Passed by Senate April 1, 2021

.....
Lori L. Brocker, Secretary of Senate

.....
Peter Courtney, President of Senate

Passed by House June 1, 2021

.....
Tina Kotek, Speaker of House

Received by Governor:

.....M.,....., 2021

Approved:

.....M.,....., 2021

.....
Kate Brown, Governor

Filed in Office of Secretary of State:

.....M.,....., 2021

.....
Shemia Fagan, Secretary of State